# Uni Scientific Writing Notes

# Felix Pojtinger

# October 22, 2021

# Contents

1	Intr	oduction	3											
	1.1		3											
	1.2		3											
2	Org	anization	4											
3	Ove	rview	4											
4	What is the Scientific Method?													
	4.1	Writing Style	4											
	4.2		4											
5	Forr	nulating Scientific Questions	5											
	5.1	Logic and Conclusion	5											
	5.2	The Purpose of Writing	5											
	5.3		5											
	5.4		6											
	5.5		6											
	5.6	Experiments	6											
	5.7		6											
	5.8		7											
	5.9		7											
	5.10	•	7											
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	7											
			8											
6	Plar	nning Scientific Papers	8											
	6.1	Exposé	8											
	6.2		8											
	6.3		9											
	6.4		9											
	6.5	Planning the Main Section	Λ											

6 6	Planning	the Paper	for this	Module							10

Uni Scientific Writing Notes

# 1 Introduction

### 1.1 Contributing

These study materials are heavily based on professor Charzinski's "Anleitung zum wissenschaftlichen Arbeiten" lecture at HdM Stuttgart.

**Found an error or have a suggestion?** Please open an issue on GitHub (github.com/pojntfx/uni-sciwriting-notes):



Figure 1: QR code to source repository

If you like the study materials, a GitHub star is always appreciated :)

#### 1.2 License



Figure 2: AGPL-3.0 license badge

Uni Scientific Writing Notes (c) 2021 Felix Pojtinger and contributors

SPDX-License-Identifier: AGPL-3.0

# 2 Organization

- Primarily based on the inverted classroom principle
- Sent files should not contain metadata on person-specific info (make pseudonymous)
- Paper must be sent in by 2022-01-09
- Notes must be sent in by 2022-02-27
- Paper may be in German or English

#### 3 Overview

- 1. What is the scientific method?
- 2. Formulating scientific questions
- 3. Designing experiments
- 4. Analyzing experiments
- 5. Planing scientific papers
- 6. Researching topics and staying up-to-date
  - 1. Finding papers  $\rightarrow$  Sci-Hub
  - 2. Analyzing papers
  - 3. Referencing papers
- 7. Writing a scientific paper

#### 4 What is the Scientific Method?

#### 4.1 Writing Style

- Structure should not follow the timeline research, but the semantic structure of the discovery
- No rhetorical questions
- No judgmental formulations
- Sentences should be able to stand on their own; reference people and things by their name, not implicit references
- Do not use the present tense when referring to past events, even if it is popular in journalism
- Do not use metaphors which are highly imprecise, even if they are common among technical people
- "I" should not be used in texts
- Summaries should be about the effect of the research on the subject, not the author's view on the subject
- The "motivation" at the start of the paper should not be the personal factors, but prior pointers

#### 4.2 Typical Criteria

• Complexity of the theme

- Amount of personal research
- Quality of the content
- Depth of research
- Selection of sources
- Implementation of prior knowledge
- Structure of the paper
- Visual style (used fonts, formatting etc.)
- Quote style (standardized quotes)

# 5 Formulating Scientific Questions

## 5.1 Logic and Conclusion

- Argumentation
- Logical conclusions
- Proofs (i.e. mathematical proofs)
- Experiments and their design, execution and analysis
- New analysis is always based on existing knowledge
- There are different levels of formalism: Argumentation, validation, predicate-based proofs
- Referencing ideas can be done in an "informal" way (whitepapers etc.), but they must not be the base of any claims!

## 5.2 The Purpose of Writing

- Communication is the primary purpose of scientific writing
- But scientific writing is also a means of analysis
  - Formulating thesis helps to grasp the connections between arguments
  - Clear formulation makes it much harder to avoid critical questions
  - Gaps in analysis and open questions become obvious and lead to new research opportunities
  - Writing leads to a deeper internal understanding
- Even if scientific writing is limited to Uni, research methods are always required

### 5.3 The Scientific Thought Model

- 1. Outlook
- 2. Own research
  - 1. Discussion
  - 2. Proofs, research, experiments, studies
  - 3. Hypothesis, underlying idea
- 3. Summary of the current state of research/technology ("related work")
- 4. Sources (own and external)

#### 5.4 Quality Assurance

- New ideas should be able to be based on existing works
- Peer reviews try to check the quality of scientific works and ensures that existing work can serve as a solid base
- Own share of own work must be made obvious

#### 5.5 Scientific Questions

- Formulation a concrete question is required in order to reduce the scope of topics
- The question doesn't have to be clear in the beginning of the writing process, but must be at the end
- The focus is always on the question, not the means: "Does the raft algorithm work reliably?" for example would not include/require an implementation of the raft algorithm, so always make the implementation a requirement of the question!
- The scientific question is not the title of the paper
- Just like the goals of the research need to be clearly defined, the "non-goals" need to be too!

#### 5.6 Experiments

- Gathering of data
- Hypothesis
  - Creating the hypothesis
  - Designing the experiment
  - Executing the experiment
  - Testing the hypothesis with the result
  - Further, refined hypothesis ideas
- The hypothesis is often "my idea/solution/architecture works"
  - Experiments support the hypothesis
  - Paper then describes the current technological state, experiments and results
- All dependencies and state required to reproduce the experiment must be notes

#### 5.7 Methods of Experiments

- 1. Design
  - 1. Matches the scientific question
  - 2. Creativity is required
  - 3. Viability in time, budget and with available technology
- 2. Planning
  - 1. Prevention of side effects
  - 2. No convenience samples

- 3. No unethical experiments
- 3. Execution
  - 1. With proper process
  - 2. Proper documentation, including all unexpected incidents
- 4. Analysis
  - 1. Objective analysis
  - 2. No suppression of "unwanted" results
- 5. Interpretation
  - 1. Objective interpretation
  - 2. Usage of statistics: Is the result even statistically relevant?
  - 3. Testing the feedback loop: Has the research question actually been answered?
- 6. Description: Include all information required to reproduce the experiment
- 7. Archiving: Storage of raw data and analysis ("data can only be preserved if it massively replicated!")

#### 5.8 Hypothesis

- Verification using proofs
- Validation based on empirical data
- Multiple supporting hypothesis can build a theory

#### 5.9 Experiment Design

- Experiments should produce a result
- Testing in a specific set of parameters
  - Searching for optimal parameter combinations
  - Checking for valid sets
- Sensitivity analysis
  - Checking the hypothesis with parameters
  - Checking if parameters influence results
- Hypothesis tests: Statistically testing the results of experiments

#### 5.10 Analysis

- Be neutral
- Always ask question about results, even if they are positive
- Search for additional sources
- Comment on unknown factors, don't hide them they are means of finding the next topic to research on!

### 5.11 Working with "Outliers"

- Don't remove or ignore them
- Test if they are relevant: Do more research are they statistically relevant?
- If they are not relevant: Classify and document

#### 5.12 Comparisons

- The new is not automatically better
  - Comparison with a baseline reference is required
  - Detailed description of the reference system used is required
- Define the used dimensions for the comparison
  - Differences often occur in different dimensions
  - Elaborate why dimensions are being used
- Fair basis: i.e. not using an under powered server
- Also point out that the tool might perform worse under different dimensions (i.e. memory constrained systems)
- Comparison by
  - Comparison the reference solution and the new solution
  - Comparison of the new solution with existing literature

# 6 Planning Scientific Papers

#### 6.1 Exposé

- Might be required
- Significant research requires planning
  - Assessment of feasibility
  - Usage of time slots
  - Focus on the most important goals or topics
- Short description of the planned research
  - Which problem is the basis of the planned research?
  - Prior, existing research and open questions
  - The main scientific question: Which question is the research going to answer?
  - Goal of the research
  - What theories is the research based on
  - Methods
  - Materials
  - Structure
  - How much time are the individual slots expected to take

#### 6.2 Structure

- Based on argumentation or path of discovery
- Balanced
- Not too much hierarchy
- Minimum length of the chapters and sections
- Total average ~50-60 pages
- Per chapter  $\sim 3-10$  pages
- Typical:
  - Abstract (no section number, in both English and German)

- Introduction (including overview)
- Related work
- Main investigation (multiple sections)
- Results
- Summary and conclusions
- References

#### 6.3 Basic Procedure

- Clarification
  - Which questions should be answered?
  - What are the non-goals?
- Creating the project plan
- Getting up to date from a technical perspective
  - Which state is the research based on?
  - Search and analyzing papers
- Own works
  - Sometimes simply structuring the comparison
  - Normally: Experiments!
    - \* Definition
    - \* Execution
    - \* Analysis
- Selecting tools (BibTeX, LaTeX)
- Sketching
  - Creating a structure (i.e. mind maps)
  - Taking note of keywords and images
- Writing
  - Main section
  - Introduction
  - Abstract and summary
- Last checks

#### 6.4 Planning

- Every project needs planning
- Sketched planning needs to happen early in the project
  - Literature studies are often underestimated
  - Own works
  - Writing (min. four weeks before time is over!)
- More fine-tuned research with more knowledge
- Current state of research must be checked during own research
- Immediate active countermeasures are required
  - Plan must be changed
  - Asses severity of changes

# 6.5 Planning the Main Section

- Structure is central
- Amount of pages per section is required
- Contents per section must be planned: Keywords, sources, images
- Writing takes time; start writing meta before actually starting to write

### 6.6 Planning the Paper for this Module

- Formulating the scientific question
- Creating a structure
- Searching and analysising literature
- Refining the structure (two layers) including page numbers
- Selecting graphics (with sources)
- Writing
- Checking
- Submitting the paper