

# MA576 HW1

Aidan Fischer

September 2023

I pledge my honor that I have abided by the Stevens Honor System

## Q1

a)

We want to show that  $x^T L L^T x \geq 0$

$A = L L^T$  is an  $n \times n$  matrix with the  $(j, k)^{th}$  element being a dot product of the  $j^{th}$  and  $k^{th}$  rows of  $L$

The elements of  $x^T A$  are

$$(x^T A)_n = \sum_{k=1}^n x_k * A_{k,n} = \sum_{k=1}^n x_k * L_{n,:} \cdot L_{k,:}$$

$$x^T A x = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n x_i * x_k * L_{i,:} \cdot L_{k,:}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n x_i * x_i * L_{i,:} \cdot L_{i,:} + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1, k \neq i}^n x_i * x_k * L_{i,:} \cdot L_{k,:}$$

In the second sum, we can consider only the lower diagonal elements twice because the summation body is equal for  $(i, k)$  and  $(k, i)$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n x_i * x_i * L_{i,:} \cdot L_{i,:} + 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} x_i * x_k * L_{i,:} \cdot L_{k,:}$$

This seems like a familiar form.

$$||L^T x||^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n (L^T x)_i^2$$

where

$$(L^T x)_i = \sum_{j=1}^n x_j L_{j,i}$$

So

$$\|L^T x\|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \sum_{j=1}^n x_j L_{j,i} \right)^2$$

Consider the elements of this summation. The expansion of the square term will have 1  $x_j^2 L_{j,i}^2$  term per j, and 2  $x_j x_k L_{j,i} L_{k,i}$  terms per j.

Considering all i's here, we are left with 1  $x_j^2 L_{j,i}^2$  term per j per i, and 2  $x_j x_k L_{j,i} L_{k,i}$  terms per (j,k)  $j \neq k$  per i. This representation doesn't group terms, but we can group by rows (dot product). In this case, we get exactly the prior sum for  $x^T A x$ , since both summations have the same terms.

Therefore,  $x^T A x = \|L^T x\|^2$ . Since this is a norm, this is always  $\geq 0$ . Therefore,  $LL^T$  is psd.

**b)**

Taking the conclusion from part a, we can show that L being full row rank means that A is pd.

$L^T x$  is a linear combination of the columns of  $L^T$ , which are the rows of  $L$ .

By definition, L having full row rank means all of its rows are linearly independent. If L does not have full row rank, then at least 2 of its rows are linearly dependent.

This means that if L is not full row rank, you can construct an  $x \neq 0$  that will make  $L^T x = 0$  by combining the linearly dependent rows and therefore  $\|L^T x\|^2 = 0$ , meaning A is not pd.

If L is full row rank, then by definition, no linear combination of its rows can result in the zero vector. Because a norm is always greater than 0 with a vector that is not the 0 vector, this means for L with full row rank,  $\|L^T x\| > 0$  and therefore A is pd.

If A is pd, that means  $\|L^T x\| > 0$  for all x. That means no linear combination of L's rows results in the 0 vector. By definition, that means L's rows are linearly independent, and therefore L is full row rank.

Therefore, A is pd iff L is full row rank.

## Q2

a)

If  $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  is pd, then  $x^T Q x > 0$  for all  $x$ .

$$(x^T Q)_i = \sum_{j=1}^n x_j Q_{i,j}$$

$$x^T Q x = \sum_{j=1}^n (x^T Q)_j x_j = \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n x_j x_k Q_{j,k}$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n x_j x_k Q_{j,k} = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 Q_{i,i} + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^n x_i x_j Q_{i,j}$$

The diagonal elements of  $Q$  must be positive because we can construct an  $x$  such that  $x^T Q x \leq 0$  in the other cases.

Say there is a  $Q$  such that some diagonal element  $Q_{i,i} \leq 0$ . Choose  $x$  such that  $x_j = 0$  for all  $j \neq i$ . Then set  $x_i \neq 0$ . The first sum will be  $\leq 0$  because most terms will be 0 and  $x_i^2 Q_{i,i} \leq 0$ . The second sum will be 0 because either  $x_i$  or  $x_j$  will be 0 since only one element of  $x$  is nonzero. Therefore,  $x^T Q x \leq 0$  and  $Q$  is not pd.

b)

If there are positive and negative elements in the diagonal of  $Q$ , then we can construct an  $x_n$  such that  $x_n^T Q x_n < 0$  and  $x_p$  such that  $x_p^T Q x_p > 0$

Say there is a  $Q$  such that  $Q_{i,i} > 0$  and  $Q_{j,j} < 0$ .

Construct  $x_n$  such that all elements are 0 except for the  $j^{th}$  element. By similar logic to Q2a,  $x_n^T Q x_n < 0$ .

Construct  $x_p$  such that all elements are 0 except for the  $i^{th}$  element. By similar logic to Q2a,  $x_p^T Q x_p > 0$ .

Therefore,  $Q$  is indefinite because there exists  $x$  such that  $x^T Q x > 0$  and there exists  $x$  such that  $x^T Q x < 0$ .

## Q3

```
import numpy as np
import math
from tqdm import trange
```

```

# Yields a randomly generated positive definite matrix
# by generating a randomly sized symmetric matrix with
# positive diagonal elements
def pd_generator(seed=None, minsize=2, maxsize=30):
    r = np.random.default_rng(seed)
    while True:
        # Choose a random size between minsize and maxsize
        s = math.floor((maxsize - minsize) * r.random() + minsize)
        # Generate a fully random array
        res = r.standard_normal(size=(s, s))
        # Convert to symmetric matrix
        res = (res + res.T)/2
        # Ensure diagonal dominance
        # Reference: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Diagonally\_dominant\_matrix
        for i in range(s):
            res[i, i] = np.sum(np.abs(res[i, np.arange(s) != i])) + r.random()
        yield res

# Sylvester's Criterion
def sylvesters(A):
    s = A.shape[0]
    for i in range(1, s+1, 1):
        if np.linalg.det(A[:i, :i]) <= 0:
            return False
    return True

# Run 1 million tests
def main():
    g = pd_generator()
    for _ in trange(1000000):
        A = next(g)
        assert sylvesters(A)

if __name__ == "__main__":
    main()

```

## Q4

We have

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$$

$$a + b = c + d$$

To get the eigenvalues, we use

$$\begin{pmatrix} a - \lambda & b \\ c & d - \lambda \end{pmatrix}$$

and set the determinant to 0.

$$\text{We obtain the equation } ad - \lambda d - \lambda a + \lambda^2 - bc = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda^2 - (a + d)\lambda = bc - ad$$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda^2 - (a + d)\lambda + \frac{a^2 + 2ad + d^2}{4} = bc - ad + \frac{a^2 + 2ad + d^2}{4}$$

$$\Rightarrow \left(\lambda - \frac{a+d}{2}\right)^2 = bc + \frac{a^2 - 2ad + d^2}{4}$$

$$\Rightarrow \left(\lambda - \frac{a+d}{2}\right)^2 = bc + \left(\frac{a-d}{2}\right)^2$$

Manipulating the 2nd given equation, we can obtain  $a - d = c - b$

$$\Rightarrow \left(\lambda - \frac{a+d}{2}\right)^2 = bc + \left(\frac{c-b}{2}\right)^2$$

$$\Rightarrow \left(\lambda - \frac{a+d}{2}\right)^2 = bc + \frac{c^2 - 2bc + b^2}{4}$$

$$\Rightarrow \left(\lambda - \frac{a+d}{2}\right)^2 = \frac{c^2 + 2bc + b^2}{4}$$

$$\Rightarrow \left(\lambda - \frac{a+d}{2}\right)^2 = \left(\frac{b+c}{2}\right)^2$$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda - \frac{a+d}{2} = \pm \frac{b+c}{2}$$

$$\Rightarrow 2\lambda - (a + d) = \pm(b + c)$$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda = \frac{(a+d) \pm (b+c)}{2}$$

$$a + b = c + d \Rightarrow a = c + d - b \Rightarrow a - c = d - b$$

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{(a+d) + (b+c)}{2} = \frac{a+b+c+d}{2} = \frac{a+b+a+b}{2} = a + b$$

and

$$\lambda_2 = \frac{(a+d) - (b+c)}{2} = \frac{a+d-b-c}{2} = \frac{a+a-c-c}{2} = a - c$$

In which case we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1 - & \begin{pmatrix} a - (a+b) & b \\ c & d - (a+b) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -b & b \\ c & d - b - a \end{pmatrix} \\ & = \begin{pmatrix} -b & b \\ c & a - c - a \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -b & b \\ c & -c \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_2 - & \begin{pmatrix} a - (a-c) & b \\ c & d - (a-c) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} c & b \\ c & d - a + c \end{pmatrix} \\ & = \begin{pmatrix} c & b \\ c & a + b - a \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} c & b \\ c & b \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

The eigenvectors are obtained through

$$\begin{pmatrix} -b & b \\ c & -c \end{pmatrix} * v_1 = 0$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} c & b \\ c & b \end{pmatrix} * v_2 = 0$$

The first gives  $v_1 = k_1 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$

The second gives  $v_2 = k_2 \begin{pmatrix} b \\ -c \end{pmatrix}$

Therefore  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$  is an eigenvector of A

## Q5

a)

$$f(x) = e^{\|x\|_2^2}$$

We know that  $\|x\|_2^2 = \sum_j x_j^2$

The gradient of  $\sum_j x_j^2$  is  $2x$  because the partial derivative of the sum with respect to each  $x_j$  is  $2x_j$

Using the chain rule, then, the gradient of  $f(x)$  is  $2e^{\|x\|_2^2}x$

$$\nabla f(x)_i = 2e^{\|x\|_2^2}x_i$$

$$\nabla^2 f(x)_{ij} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}(2e^{\|x\|_2^2}x_i)$$

For the non diagonal entries, the  $x_i$  is a constant and we can use the chain rule again to get

$$\nabla^2 f(x)_{ij} = 4e^{\|x\|_2^2}x_i x_j$$

For the diagonal entries, since we are taking the derivative with respect to  $x_i$  again, we need to use the product rule.

$$\nabla^2 f(x)_{ii} = 2e^{\|x\|_2^2} + 4e^{\|x\|_2^2}x_i^2$$

Therefore,

$$\nabla^2 f(x) = 2e^{\|x\|_2^2}(2xx^T + I)$$

b)

The gradient elements are

$$\nabla g(x)_i = \prod_{j=1, j \neq i}^n x_j$$

Because  $x_i$  is a constant when taking a derivative with respect to  $x_j$  when  $j \neq i$

Because of this, the diagonal entries of the Hessian are 0 and the nondiagonal entries  $\nabla^2 g(x)_{ij}$  are  $\prod_{k=1, k \neq i, j}^n x_k$

## Q6

$$\lim_{(x,y) \rightarrow (0,0)} \frac{xy - \sin(x)\sin(y)}{x^2 + y^2}$$

The gradient of the numerator is  $[y - \cos(x)\sin(y), x - \sin(x)\cos(y)]^T$

The Hessian of the numerator is  $\begin{pmatrix} \sin(x)\sin(y) & 1 - \cos(x)\cos(y) \\ 1 - \cos(x)\cos(y) & \sin(x)\sin(y) \end{pmatrix}$

The gradient of the denominator is  $[2x, 2y]^T$

The Hessian of the denominator is  $\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$

The Taylor expansion of a function  $f(x_0+h)$  is  $f(x_0) + \nabla f(x_0)^T h + \frac{1}{2} h^T \nabla^2 f(x_0) h + r(h)$

For the numerator,  $f((0,0)) = 0$ , and the gradient and Hessian are also 0s. Therefore we are left with just  $r_f(h)$  in the numerator.

For the denominator,  $f(x_0)$  and the gradient are 0, but the Hessian isn't. The denominator is  $h_x^2 + h_y^2 + r_g(h)$

Leaving us with

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow (0,0)} \frac{r_f(h)}{h_x^2 + h_y^2 + r_g(h)}$$

Notice that  $h_x^2 + h_y^2 = \|h\|^2$

Dividing the numerator and denominator by  $\|h\|^2$ , we get

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow (0,0)} \frac{\frac{r_f(h)}{\|h\|^2}}{1 + \frac{r_g(h)}{\|h\|^2}}$$

By Taylor's theorem, because  $x_0 = (0,0)$ , the two  $\frac{r(h)}{\|h\|^2}$  terms tend towards 0 as  $h$  approaches  $(0,0)$ . Therefore, the limit is  $0/1=0$ .

## Q7

For each problem, I am plugging in the gradients and Hessians into Taylor's approximation formula.

**a)**

$$g(x, y, z) = e^{xe^y} + e^{ze^y} + y^2(1 + x + z)$$

$$\nabla g(x, y, z) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{xe^y} e^y + y^2 \\ e^{xe^y} x e^y + e^{ze^y} z e^y + 2y(1 + x + z) \\ e^{ze^y} e^y + y^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\nabla^2 g(x, y, z) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{2y} e^{xe^y} & e^{xe^y} x e^{2y} + e^{xe^y} e^y + 2y & 0 \\ e^{xe^y} e^y + x e^{xe^y} e^{2y} + 2y & x^2 e^{xe^y} e^{2y} + x e^{xe^y} e^y & e^{ze^y} e^y + z e^{ze^y} e^{2y} + 2y \\ 0 & + z^2 e^{ze^y} e^{2y} + z e^{ze^y} e^y + 2(x + z + 1) & e^{ze^y} e^{2y} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$p_0 = (0, 1, 0)$$

$$g(p_0) = 1 + 1 + 1 = 3$$

$$\nabla g(p_0) = \begin{pmatrix} e + 1 \\ 2 \\ e + 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\nabla^2 g(p_0) = \begin{pmatrix} e^2 & e + 2 & 0 \\ e + 2 & 2 & e + 2 \\ 0 & e + 2 & e^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$g(x, y, z) \approx g(p_0) + \nabla g(p_0)^T ((x, y, z) - p_0) + \frac{1}{2} ((x, y, z) - p_0)^T \nabla^2 g(p_0) ((x, y, z) - p_0)$$

$$= 3 + (e + 1 \quad 2 \quad e + 1) \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y - 1 \\ z \end{pmatrix} + \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} x & y - 1 & z \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e^2 & e + 2 & 0 \\ e + 2 & 2 & e + 2 \\ 0 & e + 2 & e^2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y - 1 \\ z \end{pmatrix}$$

$$g(x, y, z) \approx 3 + xe + x + 2y - 2 + ze + z + 2y^2 + 2exy + 4xy + 2eyz + 4yz - 4y + e^2 x^2 - 2ex - 4x + e^2 z^2 - 2ez - 4z + 2$$

**b)**

$$h(x) = \langle x, Ax \rangle + e^{\langle c, x \rangle}$$

$$\text{Derivative of } \langle x, Ax \rangle = 2Ax$$

$$\nabla h(x) = 2Ax + c e^{\langle c, x \rangle}$$

$$\frac{\partial x^T A x}{\partial x_i x_j} = 2A_{i,j}$$

$$\nabla c_i e^{\langle c, x \rangle} = c_i * c * e^{\langle c, x \rangle}$$

$$\nabla^2 h(x) = 2A + c c^T e^{\langle c, x \rangle}$$

$$x_0 = (1, 1, 1, \dots, 1)^T$$

$$h(x) \approx h(x_0) + \nabla h(x_0)^T (x - x_0) + \frac{1}{2} (x - x_0)^T \nabla^2 h(x_0) (x - x_0)$$



$$h(x) \approx \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n A_{i,j} + e^{\sum_{i=1}^n c_i} + (2x^T A^T + c^T e^{\sum_{i=1}^n c_i})(x - x_0) \\ + \frac{1}{2}(x - x_0)^T (2A^T + c c^T e^{\sum_{i=1}^n c_i})(x - x_0)$$

## Q8

a)

$\mathcal{A}$  is open because despite being a union of closed sets, for any member at the boundary of those closed sets, I can choose  $B(c, r) \subseteq \mathcal{A}$  with  $c$  a boundary point of that single closed set with  $r > 0$  by considering the next set in the given sequence. This is because  $\frac{1}{n+1} < \frac{1}{n}$  and  $\frac{n+1}{n+2} > \frac{n}{n+1}$ .

$\mathcal{B}$  is open because given any point  $x \in \mathcal{B}$ , there is a  $B(x, r) \subseteq \mathcal{B}$  with  $r > 0$  because no matter how close the maximum of the absolute values of  $x_1$ ,  $x_2$ , and  $x_3$  are to 1, they cannot be equal to one and therefore we can choose  $r = 1 - \max(|x_1|, |x_2|, |x_3|) > 0$ .

$\mathcal{C}$  is neither open nor closed. It is not open because it contains boundary points where no  $B(c, r) \subseteq \mathcal{C}$  exists with  $r > 0$ .  $x = [0, 1]^T$  is an example of this. No radius will work because  $x_1$  cannot be less than 0. It is not closed because the point  $y = [0, 0]^T \notin \mathcal{C}$ , yet it is a limiting sequence of points in the set with  $y_1 = 0$  and  $y_2 > 0$ .

$\mathcal{D}$  is closed because it contains its limiting points.

b)

As open sets, the interiors of  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  are themselves.

The interior of  $\mathcal{C}$  replaces the  $\leq$  in its definition with a  $<$

The interior of  $\mathcal{D}$  replaces the  $\geq$  with a  $>$

The closure of  $\mathcal{A}$  contains 0 and 1 (since those numbers are the limiting numbers of the set boundaries of the closed sets). Therefore the closure of  $\mathcal{A}$  is  $[0, 1]$

The closure of  $\mathcal{B}$  replaces the  $<$  in its definition with a  $\leq$

The closure of  $\mathcal{C}$  replaces the  $<$  in its definition with a  $\leq$

As a closed set, the closure of  $\mathcal{D}$  is itself.

c)

$\mathcal{A}$  is bounded by  $B(0, 1)$

$\mathcal{B}$  is bounded by  $B([0, 0, 0]^T, \sqrt{3})$  This is because each of the elements of  $\mathbf{x}$  can be up to 1. The magnitude of  $[1, 1, 1]$  is  $\sqrt{3}$

$\mathcal{C}$  is not bounded because  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  are unrestricted except by themselves. They can tend towards infinity.

$\mathcal{D}$  is bounded by  $B([0, 0, 0]^T, 1)$  because the sum of squares of  $x_1$ ,  $x_2$ , and  $x_3$  cannot be greater than 1 if each of them is at most 1, because between 0 and 1, the square function decreases the number, and if one of them is equal to 1, the restraints mean the other 2 must be 0.

## References

matrixcookbook.pdf fundamentals.pdf