

Travlendar+ project Neroni, Pozzi, Vetere



POLITECNICO
MILANO 1863

Design Document

Deliverable:	DD
Title:	Design Document
Authors:	Cristiano Neroni, Davide Pozzi, Maurizio Vetere
Version:	1.0
Date:	10-January-2021
Download page:	https://github.com/pollo-fritto/PozziNeroniVetere
Copyright:	Copyright © 2021, Neronil Pozzil Vetere – All rights reserved

Contents

Table of Contents	3
List of Figures	4
List of Tables	4
1 Introduction	5
1.1 Purpose	5
1.2 Scope	5
1.3 Definitions, Acronyms, Abbreviations	5
1.3.1 Definitions	5
1.3.2 Acronyms	5
1.3.3 Abbreviations	5
1.4 Revision history	5
1.5 Reference Documents	5
1.6 Document Structure	5
2 Architectural Design	7
2.1 Overview	7
2.2 Component view	7
2.3 Deployment view	7
2.4 Runtime view	7
2.5 Component interfaces	7
2.6 Selected architectural styles and patterns	7
2.7 Other design decisions	7
3 User Interface Design	8
4 Requirements Traceability	9
5 Implementation, Integration and Test Plan	10
5.1 Implementation	10
5.2 Integration	10
5.3 Test Plan	10
6 Effort Spent	11
References	12

List of Figures

List of Tables

1 Introduction

1.1 Purpose

The purpose of this document is to provide more technical and detailed information about the software discussed in the RASD document. It will represent a strong guide for the programmers that will develop the application considering its different parts: the basic service and the two advanced functions. In this DD we present hardware and software architecture of the system in terms of components and interactions among those components. Furthermore, this document describes a set of design characteristics required for the implementation by introducing constraints and quality attributes. It also gives a detailed presentation of the implementation plan, integration plan and the testing plan. In general, the main different features listed in this document are:

- The high-level architecture of the system
- Main components of the system
- Interfaces provided by the components
- Design patterns adopted

Stakeholders are invited to read this document in order to understand the characteristics of the project being aware of the choices that have been made to offer all the functionalities also satisfying the quality requirements.

1.2 Scope

Clup is an application that aims to avoid users from crowding outside supermarkets when doing grocery shopping in pandemic times.

The application can be used both by store customers and store managers. On one hand users can virtually queue by Clup to enter the supermarket and they are provided with real time information about the line, in this way they can arrive at the entrance only when they are allowed to enter. On the other hand the application monitors and stores the information about people fluxes; this data is then provided to store managers who can take actions depending on the situation. The few paragraphs just read represent an overview of the main functionalities offered by the system: more detailed information can be found on the RASD document.

1.3 Definitions, Acronyms, Abbreviations

1.3.1 Definitions

1.3.2 Acronyms

1.3.3 Abbreviations

1.4 Revision history

1.5 Reference Documents

1.6 Document Structure

- Chapter 1 describes the scope and purpose of the DD, including the structure of the document and the set of definitions, acronyms and abbreviations used.
- Chapter 2 contains the architectural design choice, it includes all the components, the interfaces, the technologies (both hardware and software) used for the development of the application. It also

includes the main functions of the interfaces and the processes in which they are utilised (Runtime view and component interfaces). Finally, there is the explanation of the architectural patterns chosen with the other design decisions.

- Chapter 3 shows how the user interface should be on the mobile and web application.
- Chapter 4 describes the connection between the RASD and the DD, showing the matching between the goals and requirements described previously with the elements which compose the architecture of the application.
- Chapter 5 traces a plan for the development of components to maximize the efficiency of the developer team and the quality controls team. It is divided in two sections: implementation and integration. It also includes the testing strategy.
- Chapter 6 shows the effort spent for each member of the group.
- Chapter 7 includes the reference documents.

2 Architectural Design

2.1 Overview

The architecture of the application is structured according to three logic layers:

- Presentation level (P) handles the interaction with users. It contains the interfaces able to communicate with them and it is responsible for rendering of the information. Its scope is to make understandable the functions of the application to the customers.
- Business logic or Application layer (A) takes care of the functions to be provided for the users. It also coordinates the work of the application, making logical decisions and moving data between the other two layers.
- Data access layer (D) cares for the management of the information, with the corresponding access to the databases. It picks up useful information for the users in the database and passes them along the other layers.

The architecture has to be made in client-server style. Client and server are being allocated into different physical machines and their communication takes place via other components and interfaces located in the middle of the structure, composed by hardware and software modules. The process begins with the invocation of a method to provide any functionality to the client, like sending a report or requiring some information about violation or accidents. Then, the invocation of a specific method is caught by the server and its behaviour depends on the required function.

2.2 Component view

2.3 Deployment view

2.4 Runtime view

2.5 Component interfaces

2.6 Selected architectural styles and patterns

2.7 Other design decisions

3 User Interface Design

4 Requirements Traceability

5 Implementation, Integration and Test Plan

5.1 Implementation

5.2 Integration

5.3 Test Plan

6 Effort Spent

References

- [1] S. Bernardi, J. Merseguer, and D. C. Petriu. A dependability profile within MARTE. *Software and Systems Modeling*, 10(3):313–336, 2011.