SonarQube Usage Report

Group 3: Mattia Guazzaloca, Enea Guidi, Lorenzo Liso, Matteo Lorenzoni, Paolo Marzolo

As in the previous sprint we decided to use SonarQube only at the end because we believe it is a very useful tool to refine the written code. We then performed a first scan of the code to detect any bugs and code smells that we proceeded to fix, as you can see from the following snapshot. The reason for the failure of the report was due to the fact that within the project we are not using any testing framework and therefore coverage value is equal to zero. In addition, we were able to find a way to exclude some files from the scan and this has allowed us to reduce to zero the lines of duplicate code that were due to a JSON file containing a dump of some tweets we used during development.

Failed

1 conditions failed

On New Code

0.0%	Coverage on New Code is less than 80.0%

New Code
Since November 22, 2020
Started 22 days ago

Overall Code

0 New Bugs — Reliability **A**

0 New Vulnerabilities — Security **A**

0 New Security Hotspots — 100% Reviewed — Security Review **A**

0 Added Debt — 0 New Code Smells — Maintainability **A**

0.0% Coverage on 228 New Lines to cover

0.0% Duplications on 752 New Lines

Issues | — Bugs — Code Smells — Vulnerabilities

new code
20
15
10
5
0

Mon 23 | Wed 25 | Fri 27 | Nov 29 | December | Thu 03 | Sat 05 | Mon 07 | Wed 09 | Fri 11

December 14, 2020, 10:32 PM
not provided

December 14, 2020, 10:01 PM
Quality Gate: **Red (was Green)**

⤴ Activity