# **Tokenization**

"Token": a unit of text, considered indivisible by the algorithm

#### Could be a:

- letter
- word
- phrase?
- morpheme?

See also: segmentation

## **Motivating application**

Sentiment analysis: How did the author of a document feel? *Good* or *bad*? (binary classification)

#### Algorithm:

- count the frequency of the tokens in good documents and bad documents
  - o e.g. good: 75% "woo", 25% "oow"; bad: 25% "woo", 75% "oow"
- count the frequency of the tokens in a candidate document
  - 80% "woo", 20% "oow"
- compare
  - candidate token proportions are closer to good

### Considerations

We want tokens to:

- bear information
  - Models can be simpler if tokens contain more information
  - Longer tokens bear more information
- occur frequently
  - We can train models if tokens occur frequently
  - Longer tokens occur less frequently

English uses ~26 letters, ~100,000 words, ~5 letters per word

## Letter-based tokenization

#### Pros:

- tokens occur frequently
- easy to compute

#### Cons:

• very little information per token

## Word-based tokenization

#### Pros:

• good tradeoff between information and frequency

#### Cons:

- harder to compute
- larger vocabulary

# Simple example

Input:

"John does not like dogs"

Desired output:

"John", "does", "not", "like", "dogs"

# Simple example, solution

Input:

"John does not like dogs"

Split on \s+.

Output:

"John", "does", "not", "like", "dogs"

## More troublesome example

### Input:

"John Doe has cynophobia, i.e. he doesn't like dogs."

#### Desired output:

"John Doe", "has", "cynophobia", ",", "i.e.", "he", "does", "n't", "like", "dogs", "."

## More troublesome example, solution

#### Input:

"John Doe has cynophobia, i.e. he doesn't like dogs."

```
segment sentences
detect contractions
detect acronyms
```

#### Output:

"John Doe", "has", "cynophobia", ",", "i.e.", "he", "does", "n't", "like", "dogs", "."

## References

- NLTK tokenizers
- Penn Treebank tokenizer
  - Python
  - Javascript
- Stanford tokenizer

# **Empirical tokenization**

Where do heuristic tokenizers fail?

- named entities
  - Will Smith
  - Blue Origin
- lemmas
  - talk, talked, talking
- sub-word translation
  - solar system (English)/Sonnensystem (German)

Some common phrases and morphemes may be good tokens (high-frequency, high-information).

# Byte-pair encoding (BPE)

- Developed for general compression
- Iteratively replaces the most frequent pair of symbols with a new combined symbol
- For NLP, instead combine most frequent pair of tokens into a combined token
- Stop when the desired number of merges is completed or a maximum vocabulary size is reached
  - These parameters can be configured

## **BPE References**

- Gage 1994
- Sennrich et al. 2015