MATH 629 Lecture Notes

Pongsaphol Pongsawakul

Spring 2024

Contents

1	From Riemann to Lebesgue	2
	1.1 Riemann Integral	2
	1.2 Lebesgue null sets	4
2	Measures	6
	2.1 Introduction	6
	2.2 Construction of Measure	7

1 From Riemann to Lebesgue

§1.1 Riemann Integral

Definition 1.1.1. $P = \{a = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_n = b\}$ is a partition of [a, b].

Definition 1.1.2. If P, P' are partitions of [a, b] and $P \subseteq P'$, then P' is a refinement of P.

Definition 1.1.3. Given a bounded function $f:[a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$ and a partition $P = \{a = x_0 < x_1 < \cdots < x_n = b\}$ we define

$$m_i(f) = \inf_{t \in [x_{i-1}, x_i]} f(t)$$

$$M_i(f) = \sup_{t \in [x_{i-1}, x_i]} f(t)$$

define the lower sum as

$$L(f, P) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} m_i(f)(x_i - x_{i-1})$$

and the upper sum as

$$U(f, P) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} M_i(f)(x_i - x_{i-1})$$

Lemma 1.1.4

Given a bounded function $f:[a,b]\to\mathbb{R}$ and partitons P of [a,b]. Suppose that P' is a refinement of P then

$$(b-a)\inf_{t\in[a,b]} f(t) \le L(f,P) \le L(f,P') \le U(f,P') \le U(f,P) \le (b-a)\sup_{t\in[a,b]} f(t)$$

Corollary 1.1.5

Suppose that P_1, P_2 are partitions of [a, b] then $L(f, P_1) \leq U(f, P_2)$

Proof. Let $P' = P_1 \cup P_2$ then P' is a refinement of P_1 and P_2 and use Lemma 1.1.4 \square

Lemma 1.1.6

Suppose that $f:[a,b]\to\mathbb{R}$ is bounded. Then

$$(b-a)\inf_{t\in[a,b]}f(t)\leq \sup_{P}L(f,P)\leq \inf_{P}U(f,P)\leq (b-a)\sup_{t\in[a,b]}f(t)$$

Definition 1.1.7. A function $f:[a,b]\to\mathbb{R}$ is Riemann integrable if

$$\sup_{P} L(f, P) = \inf_{P} U(f, P)$$

and the common value is called the Riemann integral of f and is denoted by $\int_a^b f$

Lemma 1.1.8

Suppose that $f:[a,b]\to\mathbb{R}$ is bounded. Then f is Riemann integrable if and only if for any $\varepsilon>0$ there exists a partition P such that

$$U(f,P) - L(f,P) < \varepsilon$$

Proof. (\Rightarrow) For any $\varepsilon > 0$. Suppose that f is Riemann integrable. Then there exists P_1, P_2 such that

$$L(f, P_1) \ge \int_a^b f - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

$$U(f, P_2) \le \int_a^b f + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

let $P = P_1 \cup P_2$ then

$$U(f,P) - L(f,P) \le \varepsilon$$

 (\Leftarrow) For any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists P_{ε} such that

$$U(f, P_{\varepsilon}) - L(f, P_{\varepsilon}) < \varepsilon$$

since ε is arbitrary, we have

$$\sup_{P} L(f, P) = \inf_{P} U(f, P)$$

Theorem 1.1.9

If $f:[a,b]\to\mathbb{R}$ is continuous on [a,b] then f is Riemann integrable.

Proof. f is continuous on a compact set, so, f is uniformly continuous. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for any $x, y \in [a, b]$ if $|x - y| < \delta$ then $|f(x) - f(y)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{(b - a)}$. Let N be such that $\frac{(b - a)}{N} < \delta$ and let $P = \{x_i := a + \frac{(b - a)i}{N}\}$ then

$$U(f,P) - L(f,P) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} (M_i(f) - m_i(f)) \frac{(b-a)}{N}$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\varepsilon}{(b-a)} \frac{(b-a)}{N}$$

$$= \varepsilon$$

Remark 1.1.10. Let $f(x) = \mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{Q}}(x)$ defined on the [0,1]. Then U(f,P) = 1 and L(f,P) = 0 for any partition P. So, f is not Riemann integrable.

§1.2 Lebesgue null sets

Definition 1.2.1. For the closed interval I = [a, b], the length of I, denoted as $\ell(I)$ is defined as $\ell(I) = b - a$

Definition 1.2.2. A set E is said to be a Lebesgue null set if for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a sequence of intervals $\{I_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ such that

$$E \subseteq \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n$$

and

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \ell(I_n) < \varepsilon$$

Lemma 1.2.3

Countable unions of Lebesgue null sets are Lebesgue null sets.

Proof. For any $\varepsilon > 0$ and for each Lebesgue null sets E_n there exists $I_{E_n,i}$ such that

$$E_n \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} I_{E_n,i}$$

and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \ell(I_{E_n,i}) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2^n}$$

then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \ell(I_{E_n,i}) < \varepsilon$$

Definition 1.2.4. A set $E \subseteq [a,b]$ has content zero if for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists I_1, I_2, \ldots, I_n such that

$$E \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} I_i$$

and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \ell(I_i) < \varepsilon$$

Lemma 1.2.5

Suppose that $E \subseteq [a, b]$ is a compact Lebesgue null set then E has content zero.

Proof. For any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a sequence of interval $\{I_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $E \subseteq \bigcup I_n$ and $\sum \ell(I_n) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$. Suppose that $I_n = [a_n, b_n]$, then let

$$J_n = \left(a_n - \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{n+3}}, b_n + \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{n+3}}\right) \supseteq E_n$$

then from the compactness of E, there exists a finite subcover $J_{n_1}, J_{n_2}, \ldots, J_{n_k}$ such that $E \subseteq \bigcup J_{n_i}$ then we construct a finite closed interval K_i by

$$K_i = \left[a_{n_i} - \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{n_i + 2}}, b_{n_i} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{n_i + 2}} \right]$$

then $E \subseteq \bigcup K_i$ and $\sum \ell(K_i) < \varepsilon$

2 Measures

§2.1 Introduction

We define the $\ell([c,d]) = d-c$ and If $E = [c_1,d_1] \cup [c_2,d_2]$ where $d_1 < c_2$ then $\ell(E) = d_1 - c_1 + d_2 - c_2$. This is consistent with the definition

$$\ell(E) = \int \mathbb{1}_E(x) \, \mathrm{d}x$$

where the integral denotes the Riemann integral.

if $E \subseteq [a, b]$ reference interval is

$$\int_a^b \mathbb{1}_E \, \mathrm{d}x$$

Remark 2.1.1. The consistency of the definition also works with the set (c, d), [c, d), and (c, d], where the length of all of them is d - c.

Remark 2.1.2. we defnote $\mathbb{1}_E$ to be such that

$$\mathbb{1}_E = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in E \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin E \end{cases}$$

Example 2.1.3

Let $f(x) = \mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{Q}}(x)$ defined on the [0,1]. Then U(f,P) = 1 and L(f,P) = 0 for any partition P.

Fix the reference interval [a, b] and consider subset of [a, b]

Let $\mathcal{A} = \text{collection of sets for which } \int_{[a,b]} \mathbb{1}_E \, dx \text{ exists.}$

If $A_1, \ldots, A_n \in \mathcal{A}$, we can make the set to be mutually disjoint by taking $E_1 = A_1$, $E_2 = A_2 \setminus A_1$, $E_3 = A_3 \setminus (A_1 \cup A_2)$, and so on.

Example 2.1.4

For $E_1, E_2 \in \mathcal{A}$, we have

$$\mathbb{1}_{E_1 \cap E_2}(x) = \mathbb{1}_{E_1}(x) \mathbb{1}_{E_2}(x)$$

Example 2.1.5

For the Riemann integral, we have

$$\int_a^b f(y) = \int_{a-v}^{b-v} f(v+y)$$

and we want

$$\int \mathbb{1}_E(x) \, \mathrm{d}x = \int \mathbb{1}_{v+E}$$

where $v + E = \{v + x : x \in E\}$

Let $E = \mathbb{Q} \cap [0,1]$ countable set, we can enumerate r_1, r_2, r_3, \ldots such that

$$E = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \{r_n\}$$

and

$$\int \mathbb{1}_{\{r_k\}} = 0$$

E should have length zero but according $\mathbbm{1}_E$ is not Riemann integrable.

§2.2 Construction of Measure

Suppose that \mathcal{C} be a collection of sets.

Can we define on suitable large collection of subset of \mathbb{R} ?

a set sunction $\mu: \mathcal{C} \to [0, \infty) \cup \{\infty\}$ such that if $\{E_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ is a sequence of disjoint set in \mathcal{C} then

$$\bigcup E_j = \mathcal{C}$$

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_j\right) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_j)$$

$$\mu([a,b]) = b - a, \ \mu([0,1]) = 1$$

Can we do this for the collection of all subset of \mathbb{R} ?

Answer: No, Vitali set.

Lemma 2.2.1

We cannot define a measure on the collection of all subset of \mathbb{R} .

Proof. Assume μ exists then for $E \subseteq F$ then $\mu(E) \leq \mu(F)$. From the disjoint, we get $\mu(F) = \mu(E) + \mu(F \setminus E)$. Now, we define a special set E. We consider an equivalence relation on \mathbb{R} , saying $x \sim y$, if $x - y \in \mathbb{Q}$. Then \mathbb{R} is a disjoint union of equivalence classes. We can form a set E with the property that each equivalence class has exactly one member in E (and that member belongs to [0,1)). Let r_1, r_2, \ldots be an enumeration of the rational numbers in [-1,1]. let $A = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} (r_k + E)$, then $A \subseteq [-1,2] = [-1,0] \cup [0,1] \cup [1,2] \Longrightarrow \mu(A) \leq 3$. Claim: $A \supset [0,1] \Longrightarrow \mu(A) \geq 1$. Pick $x \in [0,1), x \sim w, w \in E \cap [0,1)$ so $x - w \in [-1,1]$ is rational. $A = \bigcup_{+} (R_k + E)$ If $y = r_k + w_k = r_k + w_l \in E \Longrightarrow w_k \sim w_l \Longrightarrow k = l$ (because E has exactly one member in eqch equivalence class). then

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{+}(r_k+E)\right) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu(r_k+E) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu(E)$$

If $\mu(E) = 0$ then $\mu(A) = 0$. If $\mu(E) > 0$ then $\mu(A) = \infty$.