

Analysis of Open-Unmix Model Experimental Study

Research Questions

The study focused on two main questions: 1. Understanding how batch size, hidden size, and samples per track affect the model's loss and training time
2. Exploring if modifications to the bidirectional LSTM could enable real-time audio separation without significant quality loss

Experimental Design

Hypotheses

1. Batch size and hidden size increases would reduce model loss, with non-linear training time relationships
2. Replacing bidirectional LSTM with unidirectional LSTM could achieve real-time separation
3. Hidden size has a direct relationship with loss convergence up to a certain point, after which it becomes inversely proportional

Variables

1. **Independent Variables**
 - Number of epochs
 - Number of parallel workers
 - Batch size
 - Hidden size
 - Samples per track
 - LSTM directionality
2. **Control Variables**
 - Patience parameters
 - Learning rate decay settings
 - Frequency bandwidth
 - Number of audio channels
3. **Dependent Variables**
 - Training and validation loss
 - Training time
 - Model accuracy on validation set

Experimental Results

Model Variations and Performance

1. **Base Model (open-unmix)**
 - Batch size: 8
 - Hidden size: 256

- Samples per track: 16
- Loss: 9.536
- Training time: 7:50 (1 epoch)
- 2. **open-unmix2**
 - Reduced batch size to 4
 - Loss improved to 8.55
 - Similar training time: 7:43
- 3. **open-unmix3**
 - Increased batch size to 16
 - Doubled hidden size to 512
 - Loss increased to 11.2
 - Training time: 7:42
- 4. **open-unmix4**
 - Same settings as open-unmix3
 - Extended training to 4 epochs
 - Loss reduced to 3.2
 - Training time increased to 31:09:00
- 5. **open-unmix5**
 - Batch size: 32
 - Hidden size: 512
 - Samples per track: 32
 - Achieved lowest loss: 2.26
 - Training time: 1:18:00 (5 epochs)

Key Findings

1. **Batch Size Impact**
 - No straightforward relationship between batch size and loss reduction
 - Training time not directly related to batch size due to proportional batch number reduction
2. **Hidden Size Effects**
 - Optimal hidden size found to be 256 for 16kHz signals
 - Larger hidden sizes led to potential overfitting
 - ReLU activation layers helped maintain model robustness with increased hidden size
3. **Real-time Processing**
 - Unidirectional LSTM showed potential for real-time processing
 - Performance heavily dependent on hardware capabilities
 - 16kHz frequency cropping significantly improved processing speed

Conclusions

1. **Training Parameters**
 - Complex relationship between batch size and model performance
 - Training time more dependent on epochs than batch size
 - Hidden size optimization crucial for preventing overfitting

2. **Real-time Processing**

- Achievable with unidirectional LSTM
- Success dependent on hardware capabilities
- Frequency cropping helps achieve faster processing

3. **Model Architecture**

- 256 hidden size optimal for 16kHz signals
- ReLU activation important for model stability
- Trade-off between processing speed and output quality

Future Directions

- Further optimization of unidirectional LSTM for real-time applications
- Exploration of different frequency cropping resolutions
- Potential applications in commercial music processing systems like Apple Music