

## Redaction Summary Report

Incident: 24019623

Event ID: 2400182124

Claimed Redactions: 30

Statutory Basis: C.R.S. § 24-72-303(4)(b)(II)

### Summary:

- The exception log claims 30 redactions across multiple files tied to Incident 24019623.
- Only a small number of visible redactions appear in the released documents.
- Redactions span: the Incident Report, Event Report, and possibly other unprovided records (e.g. BWC, metadata).

### Visible Redactions Found:

#### 1. Incident Report (Incident\_24019623-Redacted.pdf)

- Victim's name inconsistently redacted.
- Narrative section hides identity; case header reveals it.
- Violates consistency and undermines statutory justification.

#### 2. Event Report (Event ID 2400182124-Redacted.pdf)

- 4 visible redactions, likely dispatchers or internal system tags.
- No signs of sensitive victim/witness data redacted here.

#### 3. Other Reports Referenced by Exception Log:

- None of the 6 additional summaries (22-0129 through 24-0205) contain visible redactions, aside from 1–2 instances of staff names (e.g. records employee, reviewing officer).
- These do not qualify as protected under § 303(4)(b)(II).

### Evaluation:

- Majority of claimed redactions not observable.
- Use of § 303(4)(b)(II) seems overly broad.
- Some redactions may be unjustified or constitute waiver (e.g. inconsistent victim redaction).

### Conclusion:

The agency's redaction count and justification appear overstated or incorrectly applied.

Several redactions are either inconsistent, redundant, or not supported by the cited statute.

Prepared by: ChatGPT Assistant (April 2025)

On behalf of: Harry Cooper