Notes on pitch detection and linear prediction

Lucio Bianchi

January 14, 2014

1 Pitch detection

1.1 Zero-Crossing Rate

Zero-Crossing Rate is the rate of sign-changes along a waveform x(n) of duration N, i.e. the number of times that the signal crosses the zero level reference:

$$zcr = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} |sign(x(n)) - sign(x(n-1))|.$$
 (1)

Starting from the zero-crossing rate, we can estimate the pitch of a sound signal as

$$pitch = zcr \cdot \frac{Fs}{2}.$$
 (2)

1.2 Autocorrelation

The autocorrelation function is defined as

$$r(l) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x(n)x(n-\tau).$$
 (3)

The autocorrelation function has the following properties.

- For a pure tone, the ACF exhibits peaks at $L, 2L, 3L, \ldots$, where L is the period of the tone. The peak at lag L will be higher than peaks at $2L, 3L, \ldots$
- For a real signal:
 - the fundamental frequency component will behave like a pure tone, with the highest peak at lag ${\cal L}$
 - other harmonics will produce one peak (not the highest) at lag L.

Thanks to these observations we can conclude that a large peak in r(l) will occour at the lag L corresponding to the period of the fundamental frequency of the signal, resulting from the sum of the contribustions due to all harmonic components.

1.3 Cepstrum

A speech signal y(n) can be modeled as the superposition of an excitation x(n) (possibly containing the pitch) and resonances h(n) (due to vocal tract, . . .):

$$Y(\omega) = H(\omega)X(\omega). \tag{4}$$

Since $X(\omega)$ will contain the pitch, we aim at separating these two components. The separation is not trivial, but in the literature have been proposed to exploit properties of the logarithms:

$$\log|Y(\omega)| = \log|H(\omega)X(\omega)| = \log|H(\omega)| + \log|X(\omega)|. \tag{5}$$

By observing the signal $\mathcal{F}^{-1}\{\log|Y(\omega)|\}$, we can notice two components:

- a quick oscillation, due to the harmonic structure of the speech;
- a slow behavior, related to resonances.

Hence, we can separate the two components in time domain:

$$\mathcal{F}^{-1}\{\log|Y(\omega)|\} = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\{\log|X(\omega)|\} + \mathcal{F}^{-1}\{\log|H(\omega)|\},\tag{6}$$

where

- the part of $\mathcal{F}^{-1}\{\log|Y(\omega)|\}$ towards the origin describes the spectral envelope, while
- the part of $\mathcal{F}^{-1}\{\log|Y(\omega)|\}$ far from the origin describes the excitation.

2 Linear prediction

The idea behind linear prediction is to approximate a voiced speech signal as the superposition of a linear combination of past samples of the signal and an excitation signal:

$$S(z) = \sum_{p=1}^{P} a_p z^{-p} S(z) + gX(z).$$
 (7)

By defining

$$A(z) = \sum_{p=1}^{P} a_p z^{-p}$$
 (8)

we can write

$$S(z) = A(z)S(z) + gX(z), \tag{9}$$

$$S(z) (1 - A(z)) = gX(z), \tag{10}$$

$$S(z) = \frac{g}{1 - A(z)}X(z). \tag{11}$$

Consider the linear combination of past samples as a predictor for the signal s(n)

$$\hat{s}(n) = \sum_{p=1}^{P} a_p s(n-p). \tag{12}$$

We can define the prediction error as

$$e(n) = s(n) - \hat{s}(n) = s(n) - \sum_{p=1}^{P} a_p s(n-p),$$
(13)

hence

$$E(z) = (1 - A(z)) S(z). (14)$$

The parameters a_p are usually chosen by minimizing the expected value of the squared prediction error, i.e.

$$\min_{a_n} E[e^2(n)], \tag{15}$$

which leads to Yule-Walker equations

$$\sum_{p=1}^{P} a_p r(l-k) = -r(l), \text{ for } 1 \le l \le P,$$
(16)

where r(l) = E[s(n)s(n-l)] is the autocorrelation function.

In order to solve numerically the problem of computing the parameters a_p , we can rewrite (16) in matrix form by defining the parameter vector

$$\mathbf{a} = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & \dots & a_P \end{bmatrix}^T, \tag{17}$$

the autocorrelation matrix (which has the topology of a Toeplitz matrix)

$$[\mathbf{R}]_k^l = r(l-k),$$

$$\mathbf{R} = \begin{bmatrix} r(0) & r(1) & \dots & r(P-1) \\ r(1) & r(2) & \dots & r(P-2) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ r(P-1) & r(P-2) & \dots & r(0) \end{bmatrix}, (18)$$

and the vector

$$\phi = \begin{bmatrix} r(1) & \dots & r(P) \end{bmatrix}^T. \tag{19}$$

Hence (16) written in matrix form is

$$\mathbf{Ra} = \phi, \tag{20}$$

which can be inverted as

$$\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{R}^{-1}\phi. \tag{21}$$

3 Voiced/Unvoiced classification

A simple but effective idea to discriminate between voiced and unvoiced speech is based on three classification criteria:

- Cepstrum intensity,
- Zero-Crossing Rate,
- Short-time energy.

Cepstrum intensity The use of Cepstrum intensity to identify a voiced signal is based on the consideration that voiced segments have strong and sharp peaks due to periodicity. Hence we look for the maximum of the cepstrum in the *i*th segment of the speech signal $s_i(n)$

$$C_i = \max(\operatorname{Re}\{\mathcal{F}^{-1}\{\log|s_i(n)|\}\}). \tag{22}$$

The *i*th segment is likely to be voiced if

$$C_i > \tau_{\text{cep}}, \text{ where } \tau_{\text{cep}} = \text{median}(C).$$
 (23)

Zero-Crossing Rate The use of Zero-Crossing Rate is motivated by the fact that zer is higher for unvoiced rather than unvoiced segments. The ith segment is likely to be voiced if

$$zcr_i < \tau_{zcr}$$
, where $\tau_{zcr} = median(zcr)$. (24)

Short-time Energy The use of Short-Time Energy is motivated by the fact that voiced segments have higher energy than unvoiced segments. The short-time energy is defined as the energy of the *i*th frame, i.e.

$$ste_i = \sum_{n=1}^{N} |s(n)|^2.$$
 (25)

The *i*th segment is likely to be voiced if

$$ste_i > \tau_{ste}$$
, where $\tau_{ste} = median(ste)$. (26)