

Communication Evaluation Form

Feedback on Communication Skills of: Peter Kapsiar

Communication Evaluation Form	1
Evaluator 1	2
Evaluator 2	3
Evaluator 3	4
Evaluator 4	5
Evaluator 5	6
Evaluator 6	7

Evaluator 1

Evaluator member name:	Alexandros Karayiannis				
The following scale applies: (1 = Not clear at all, 5 = Very clear) Mark your choice with an X	1	2	3	4	5
1. How clearly did Peter communicate during the project?				X	
2. How open was Peter to receiving feedback?					X
3. How active was Peter in giving feedback?			X		
4. How actively did Peter participate in team discussions and decision-making?				X	
5. Can you give an example where Peter clearly communicated his ideas when needed?	In every meeting, Peter shows excellent communication and understanding skills.				
6. What could Peter improve in terms of communication?	Everyone has room for improvement, so I would say you could improve in terms of communication progress being made in the project.				
7. Any final comments or positive feedback on Peter's communication?	None.				

Evaluator 2

Evaluator member name:	Niks Grahovskis				
The following scale applies: (1 = Not clear at all, 5 = Very clear) Mark your choice with an X	1	2	3	4	5
1. How clearly did Peter communicate during the project?				x	
2. How open was Peter to receiving feedback?				x	
3. How active was Peter in giving feedback?					x
4. How actively did Peter participate in team discussions and decision-making?					x
5. Can you give an example where Peter clearly communicated his ideas when needed?	Meeting when he was a chairman				
6. What could Peter improve in terms of communication?	Be more open and ask for a help when needed				
7. Any final comments or positive feedback on Peter's communication?	He is a communicative member that always discuss the progress of the project				

Evaluator 3

Evaluator member name:	Oliver Nemess				
The following scale applies: (1 = Not clear at all, 5 = Very clear) Mark your choice with an X	1	2	3	4	5
1. How clearly did Peter communicate during the project?					x
2. How open was Peter to receiving feedback?					x
3. How active was Peter in giving feedback?				x	
4. How actively did Peter participate in team discussions and decision-making?					x
5. Can you give an example where Peter clearly communicated his ideas when needed?	When he led the meetings.				
6. What could Peter improve in terms of communication?	He needs to stand out more for himself				
7. Any final comments or positive feedback on Peter's communication?	He communicates very clearly and he's open for feedback at any time.				

Evaluator 4

Evaluator member name:	Joey Harms				
The following scale applies: (1 = Not clear at all, 5 = Very clear) Mark your choice with an X	1	2	3	4	5
1. How clearly did Peter communicate during the project?				X	
2. How open was Peter to receiving feedback?				X	
3. How active was Peter in giving feedback?					X
4. How actively did Peter participate in team discussions and decision-making?					X
5. Can you give an example where Peter clearly communicated his ideas when needed?	He did a lot in meetings				
6. What could Peter improve in terms of communication?	Maybe try to talk about how exactly he sees certain issues				
7. Any final comments or positive feedback on Peter's communication?	Good.				

Evaluator 5

Evaluator member name:	Pharrell Buckman				
The following scale applies: (1 = Not clear at all, 5 = Very clear) Mark your choice with an X	1	2	3	4	5
1. How clearly did Peter communicate during the project?					X
2. How open was Peter to receiving feedback?					X
3. How active was Peter in giving feedback?				X	
4. How actively did Peter participate in team discussions and decision-making?					X
5. Can you give an example where Peter clearly communicated his ideas when needed?	In the first week when you came up with the ideas for the project.				
6. What could Peter improve in terms of communication?	Nothing to improve				
7. Any final comments or positive feedback on Peter's communication?	No				

Evaluator 6

Evaluator member name:	Amir Ranjbar Maki				
The following scale applies: (1 = Not clear at all, 5 = Very clear) Mark your choice with an X	1	2	3	4	5
1. How clearly did Peter communicate during the project?					*
2. How open was Peter to receiving feedback?				*	
3. How active was Peter in giving feedback?				*	
4. How actively did Peter participate in team discussions and decision-making?					*
5. Can you give an example where Peter clearly communicated his ideas when needed?	He participates in group meetings prepared and actively				
6. What could Peter improve in terms of communication?	Nothing to be improved				
7. Any final comments or positive feedback on Peter's communication?	No				