Math 331 Miltern 1 12) it 5. R be bounded from below the S has an infinum Since SER has a lower bound, there is some XES that is the least value contained in S. By the diffition of abover bound, (the least number contained in SER) we know there exists some XES that is the greatest, lower bound. Since SER, the number x must be contained in the set of real numbers R. This meets the criteria for the definition of an infummy (the greatest laver bound xell) so, inf(s) exists What x:=inf(s). Since x is the greatest lover bound of S, there exists no value sES st sex. Setting E>0, we have that x < x = E. Ys & S we also have that x < s by def. of an infimum. Since E>O and SCR we can combine the inequality to show there must be some SES st. xsscx+E. 2. Show if (an) n=0 is Cauchy, (an) n=1 is Cauchy (VE>0) let (an) n=0 be a Cauchy seq. st. if n=Nx |an-L| < E(VE>0) Since by def. of a Cauchy seq. we know an converges, we can reference the product rule of convergent sequences. If an converges, an an also converges. So, an an = an is a convergent sequence. Thus, $\forall n \geq N_A$ $|\vec{a}_n - L| < \epsilon$. Since convergence shows a sequence is cauchy, and "the' value n=1, dues not impact convergence, (an) is a cauchy sequence. 3. Let (and be a seq of non-neg R (and for any not)

Detire by = a, + az + ... an Shan if (by) banded from above (then (b.) converges, and E70 Let by be bounded from above. So, it by is bounded

from above, and an ER, bis the sum of finitely many red numbers and converges to some limit LER. So, Ibn-LICE. Thus, by the defi of convergence, 4. Let (An) be the seq. non-neg R defined by: an = van-1 + van-2 (n > 3)

Since an 15 defined recursively, and n > 3

id: ne know that the limit of an will be some value

Ate an st. A = van-1 + van-2. So, by PMI, and = Nan + Nan-1, \forall n \geq 3.

Thus, and = an + an -1 = an Su, since this is true for any if also follows for an and lin (an) = an - an = A