

Questions	Scores
1	10
2	2
3	1
4	1
5	2
6	1
7	0
8	0
9	0
10	0

TOTAL.

17/65

### HW 8

- a) Fix any  $\delta > 0$  and let  $[a, b]$  be an interval w/  $a < b$ .  
Find a tagged partition  $P$  of  $[a, b]$  st  $\|P\| < \delta$ .

$$\text{We want } \frac{b-a}{n} < \delta \Leftrightarrow \frac{b-a}{y} < \frac{n\delta}{x}$$

5/5

$$\Delta P. \forall x > 0, \forall y \in \mathbb{R}, \exists N \in \mathbb{N}$$

$$\text{Let } P := \{(c, [x_{i-1}, x_i]) : i = 1, \dots, n\}$$

$$P := \begin{cases} x_i = a + i \left( \frac{b-a}{n} \right) \\ x_0 = a \\ x_i = a + \frac{b-a}{n} \\ x_2 = a + 2 \left( \frac{b-a}{n} \right) \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} \text{So, } x_n = a + n \left( \frac{b-a}{n} \right) = b \\ \text{and, } x_i = a + i \frac{b-a}{n} \\ \Rightarrow x_i - x_{i-1} = a + i \frac{b-a}{n} - (a + (i-1) \frac{b-a}{n}) \\ 0 < |L_1 - L_2| < \epsilon = i \frac{b-a}{n} - i \frac{b-a}{n} + \frac{b-a}{n} \end{cases}$$

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} 0 \leq \lim_{x \rightarrow \delta} |L_1 - L_2| \leq \lim_{x \rightarrow \delta} x \rightarrow 0 \leq |L_1 - L_2| \leq 0 \rightarrow L_1 = L_2$$

- b) Suppose that  $f$  is R.I. Show in the def of RI that the number  $L$  is unique

$f$  is R.I. on  $[a, b]$  if  $\exists L$  st. for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is a  $\delta > 0$  st.  $\|P\| < \delta$  implies  $|\sigma - L| < \epsilon$  where  $\sigma$  is the Riemann Sum of  $f$  over the part.  $P$  of  $[a, b]$ .  $L$  is the RI of  $f$  over  $[a, b]$ ,  $\int_a^b f(x) dx = L$

Proof: Assume that  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  are the RI's of  $f$  over  $[a, b]$ .  
Goal: show that  $L_1 = L_2$ .

Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . For each  $i = 1, 2 \exists \delta_i > 0$  st.  $\|P\| < \delta_i \Rightarrow |\sigma - L_i| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ .  
when  $P$  is a partition of  $[a, b]$

cont'd.

# HW 6 Cont'd.

1b.) Take  $\delta := \min[\delta_1, \delta_2]$ . Fix a partition  $P$  of  $[a, b]$ .

10/10

cont'd.

Suppose  $\|P\| < \delta$ ,  $\delta \leq \delta_i$  for  $i=1, 2$

5/5

Thus,  $0 \leq |L_1 - L_2| \leq |\sigma - L_1| + |\sigma - L_2| < \epsilon$

Since  $\epsilon > 0$  is arbitrary,  $0 \leq |L_1 - L_2| < \epsilon$  is true for all  $\epsilon > 0$ . Therefore,  $|L_1 - L_2| = 0$ , and  $L_1 = L_2$ .

Thus,  $L$  is unique.

2.) Suppose  $f$  and  $g$  are R.I. on  $[a, b]$

a.) Show that  $\int_a^b (f+g) = \int_a^b f + \int_a^b g$ .

since  $L(f) = U(f)$   
for all RI fct's.

2/10

2/5

Since  $f$  and  $g$  are both RI on  $[a, b]$ , they are both continuous functions. Since  $f$  and  $g$  are RI, we can write  $\int_a^b f$  and  $\int_a^b g$  as the lower/upper integrals  $L(f) = U(f)$ .  
so,  $\int_a^b f + \int_a^b g = L(f) + L(g) = U(f) + U(g)$

$$\Rightarrow U(f+g) \leq U(f) + U(g)$$

$$\text{or } \int_a^b (f+g) \leq \int_a^b f + \int_a^b g$$

$$\int_a^b (f+g) \geq \int_a^b f + \int_a^b g =$$

This doesn't show that  $f+g$  is Riemann Inte.

and  $U(f+g) \geq L(f) + U(g)$  since  $L(f) = U(f)$  and  $L(g) = U(g)$   
 $\Rightarrow \int_a^b f + \int_a^b g = \int_a^b (f+g)$



# HW 6 Cont'd

- 3.) Let  $f: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be Riemann Int. on  $[a, b]$  and suppose that  $|f(x)| \leq M \quad \forall x \in [a, b]$  show that  $\int_a^b f \leq M(b-a)$

1/6

Let  $y, x \in [a, b]$  &  $\epsilon > 0$ . Since  $f$  is  $\epsilon$ -R.I.,  $f$  is bounded on  $[a, b]$  by  $M$ . Then  $|f(y) - f(x)| = \left| \int_x^y f - \int_x^x f \right|$

x

$$= \left| \int_x^y f + \int_x^x f \right| = \left| \int_x^y f \right|$$

$$\Rightarrow \left| \int_x^y f \right| \leq M|y-x|$$

Since  $x, y$  are arbitrary pt's in  $[a, b]$ , it should follow that

$$\int_a^b f \leq M(b-a)$$

not sure if this is true...

- 4.) Suppose that  $f$  is RI on  $[a, b]$ . Let  $(P_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  be a seq. of t.p.'s of  $[a, b]$  s.t. the seq.  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|P_n\| = 0$ . Prove that the seq.  $(S(f, P_n))_{n=1}^\infty$  converges to  $\int_a^b f$ .

1/5

For all  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $\exists \delta > 0$  such that  $\|P\| < \delta$ , then  $|S(f, P) - \int_a^b f| < \epsilon$ .

x

- 5.) Let  $f: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a bounded fct. Suppose that  $f$  is Riemann integrable on  $[a, c]$  for any  $c \in (a, b)$ . Show that  $f$  is RI on  $[a, b]$ .

2/5

$f$  is RI on  $[a, c]$  so  $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0$  s.t. if  $\|P\| < \delta$  in  $[a, c]$ , then  $|S(f, P) - \int_a^c f| < \epsilon$ .

Let  $P_1$  &  $P_2$  be tagged part's of  $[a, b]$ , and let  $c \in (a, b)$  s.t.  $b - c < \epsilon$ . Using the Cauchy criteria, we have that if  $P_{1a} \neq P_{2a}$  are t.p.'s of  $[a, c]$ , &  $\|P_{1a}\| < \delta_2$  &  $\|P_{2a}\| < \delta_2 \Rightarrow |S(f, P_{1a}) - S(f, P_{2a})| < \epsilon$

Since  $|S(f, P_{1a})| < M(b-c) < M \cdot \epsilon$ ,  $|S(f, P_{2a})| < M(b-c) < M \cdot \epsilon$  then  $|S(f, P_1) - S(f, P_2)| = |S(f, P_{1a}) + S(f, P_{1b}) - S(f, P_{2a}) - S(f, P_{2b})|$

Since  $|S(f, P_{1a})| < M(b-c) < M \cdot \epsilon$  and  $c \in [a, b]$ , this shows that if  $f$  is RI on  $[a, c]$  where  $c \in [a, b]$ , it must also be RI on  $[a, b]$ .

What is  $P_{1a}, P_{1b}, P_{2a}, P_{2b}$ ?

## HW 6 cont'd

1/10

6.  $f: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$   $f(x) = k$  for every  $x \in [a, b]$  where  $k \in \mathbb{R}$ .

a.) Show that  $f$  is RI on  $[a, b]$  and that  $\int_a^b k dx = k(b-a)$

$f$  is RI on  $[a, b]$  since it is bounded, and continuous.

We know from a th'm in class <sup>(FTC)</sup> that if  $G$  is an antiderivative for  $f$  on  $[a, b]$ , then  $\int_a^b f = G(b) - G(a)$ . Since  $f(x)$  is a constant  $k$ , we have that  $\int_a^b k dx = kx \Big|_a^b = k(b) - k(a) = k(b-a)$ .

b.) Let  $f(x) = \sin^2 x$  where  $x \in [a, b]$  and assume the fct.  $g(x) := \cos(kx)$  is integrable on  $[a, b]$  for any  $k \in \mathbb{R}$ . Show that  $f$  is RI on  $[a, b]$ .

You have to use the def. & prop. from sections 6.1 & 6.2.

0/5

7.) Show the fct  $f: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by  $f(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } 0 \leq x < \frac{1}{2} \\ 0, & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} \leq x \leq 1 \end{cases}$  is RI in  $[0, 1]$ .



steps: show for every partition  $P$  with  $\|P\| \rightarrow 0$

$f(x) = 0$  at discontinuity, so it is ok ✓  
bounded + cont. (exception at  $x = 1/2, y = 0$ )

Prove it by using the definition.