

1. a) Let  $P$  be defined as a t.p. of  $[a, b]$  s.t.

$$P := \{c_i, [x_i, x_{i-1}]\}_{i=1}^n.$$

By AP,  $\frac{b-a}{n} \leq f$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Then we have that  $P = [a, a + \frac{b-a}{n}], [a + \frac{b-a}{n}, a + \frac{2(b-a)}{n}], \dots, [a + \frac{n(b-a)}{n}, b]$  is such a partition, for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

b) By definition, if  $f$  is R.I. then  $\exists L \in \mathbb{R}$  s.t.  $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0$  s.t. if

$P$  is a t.p. of  $[a, b]$  and  $\|P\| \leq \delta$ , then

$$|S(f, P) - L| \leq \epsilon.$$

Assume by contradiction that  $\int_a^b f = L_1$  and  $\int_a^b f = L_2$   
with  $L_1 \neq L_2$ . By definition also,  $\int_a^b f = \lim_{\|P\| \rightarrow 0} S(f, P)$ .

So  $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0$  s.t. if  $\|P\| - 0 < \delta$ , then

$$|S(f, P) - L_1| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

and  $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists \delta_2 > 0$  s.t. if  $\|P\| - 0 < \delta_2$  then

$$|S(f, P) - L_2| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$

Let  $f := \min\{f_1, f_2\}$ . We know if  $L_1 \neq L_2$ , then  $|L_1 - L_2| > 0$ ,  
so by T.I.,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < |L_1 - L_2| &= |L_1 - S(f, P) + S(f, P) - L_2| \\ &\leq |L_1 - S(f, P)| + |S(f, P) - L_2| \end{aligned}$$

But since  $\epsilon$  was arbitrary,  $L_1$  must equal  $L_2$ .

2. a) By statement,  $f$  and  $g$  are R.I. on  $[a,b]$ . Then by definition,  
 $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0$  s.t. if  $P$  is a t.p. of  $[a,b]$  and  $\|P\| < \delta$ , then  
 $|S(f, P) - \int_a^b f| < \epsilon$  and  $|S(g, P) - \int_a^b g| < \epsilon$ .

Proof goals:

1) Prove  $f+g$  is R.I.

2) Prove  $\int_a^b f+g = \int_a^b f + \int_a^b g$

1) Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then,  $\exists \delta_1 > 0$  s.t. if  $P_1$  is a b.p. of  $[a,b]$  and  $\|P_1\| < \delta_1$ ,  
then  $|S(f, P_1) - L_1| < \epsilon/2$  for  $L_1 = \int_a^b f$ .

Further,  $\exists \delta_2 > 0$  s.t. if  $P_2$  is a t.p. of  $[a,b]$  and  $\|P_2\| < \delta_2$ ,  
then  $|S(g, P_2) - L_2| < \epsilon/2$  where  $L_2 = \int_a^b g$ .

So,

$$|S(f, P_1) - L_1| + |S(g, P_2) - L_2| < \epsilon$$

So  $f+g$  is R.I. on  $[a,b]$ .

2) Rewrite the integrals as sums:

$$\int_a^b f+g = \sum_{i=1}^N (f+g)(c_i)(x_i - x_{i-1})$$

and

$$\int_a^b f = \sum_{i=1}^N f(c_i)(x_i - x_{i-1}) \text{ and } \int_a^b g = \sum_{i=1}^N g(c_i)(x_i - x_{i-1})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then, } \int_a^b f + \int_a^b g &= \sum_{i=1}^N f(c_i)(x_i - x_0) + g(c_i)(x_i - x_0) \dots \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N (x_i - x_{i-1})(f+g)(c_i). \end{aligned}$$

By additivity of functions.

Now, by definition of integrals as limits,  $\int_a^b f = \lim_{\|P\| \rightarrow 0} S(f, P)$ ,  
 $\int_a^b g = \lim_{\|P\| \rightarrow 0} S(g, P)$ , and  $\int_a^b (f+g) = \lim_{\|P\| \rightarrow 0} S(f+g, P)$ .

So  $\int_a^b f + \int_a^b g = \lim_{\|P\| \rightarrow 0} S(f, P) + \lim_{\|P\| \rightarrow 0} S(g, P)$  which we previously  
showed is equal to

$$\lim_{\|P\| \rightarrow 0} S(f+g, P)$$

and this is exactly  $\int_a^b (f+g)$ . So  $\int_a^b (f+g) = \int_a^b f + \int_a^b g$ .

b) Since  $g \geq f$ ,  $\forall n_i \in [a, b]$ ,  $g - f \geq 0$ . If  $g = f$ , then  $\int_a^b f = \int_a^b g$  trivially. So we consider only  $g > f$ .

By definition of the Riemann Integral,  $\forall \epsilon > 0$ ,  $\exists \delta > 0$  s.t. if  $P$  is a t.p. of  $[a, b]$ , and  $\|P\| < \delta$ , then

$$|S(f, P) - \int_a^b f| < \epsilon$$

and same for  $g$ ;  $\forall \epsilon > 0$ ,  $\exists \delta_2 > 0$  s.t. if  $P$  is a t.p. of  $[a, b]$ , and  $\|P\| < \delta_2$ , then

$$|S(g, P) - \int_a^b g| < \epsilon.$$

We then have

$$S(f, P) = \sum_{i=1}^N f(c_i)(x_i - x_{i-1})$$

$$S(g, P) = \sum_{i=1}^N g(c_i)(x_i - x_{i-1})$$

and we see that for each term  $g(c_i)$ , this will still be greater than each term  $f(c_i)$ , so  $S(g, P) > S(f, P)$ .

Now, let  $\delta := \min\{\delta_1, \delta_2\}$ .

We have

$$-\epsilon < S(f, P) - \int_a^b f < \epsilon \quad (\star)$$

and

$$-\epsilon < S(g, P) - \int_a^b g < \epsilon. \quad (\star\star)$$

Then  $\int_a^b f - \epsilon < S(f, P) < \epsilon + \int_a^b f$  and  $\int_a^b g - \epsilon < S(g, P) < \epsilon + \int_a^b g$ .

Since  $S(g, P) > S(f, P)$ , we know  $S(g, P) > \int_a^b f - \epsilon$  and  $\epsilon + \int_a^b g > S(f, P)$ , and  $\int_a^b f - \epsilon < \epsilon + \int_a^b g$ .

We then have  $\int_a^b f < 2\epsilon + \int_a^b g$ . Since  $\epsilon$  was arbitrary, we have

$$2\epsilon = \epsilon.$$

$$\text{So } \int_a^b f < \int_a^b g.$$

3. From statement, if  $f$  is R.I. on  $[a, b]$ , then  $\forall \epsilon > 0$ ,  $\exists \delta > 0$ , s.t. if  $P$  is a.t.p. of  $[a, b]$  and  $\|P\| < \delta$ , then  $|S(f, P) - \int_a^b f| < \epsilon$ .

If  $|f(x)| \leq M$  for all  $x \in [a, b]$ , then we know  $f$  is bounded above by  $M$ .

So, rewriting the sum we have

$$S(f, P) = \sum_{i=1}^N f(c_i)(x_i - x_{i-1})$$

and every  $f(c_i) \leq M$ .

The greatest this sum can be, then, is when,  $\forall c_i$ ,  $f(c_i) = M$ , which gives

$$\sum_{i=1}^N M(x_i - x_{i-1}).$$

Similarly, the maximum value for  $x_i - x_{i-1}$  is when  $x_i = b$  and  $x_{i-1} = a$ . So the maximum possible value for  $S(f, P)$  is  $M(b-a)$ .

Since  $\int_a^b f = \lim_{\|P\| \rightarrow 0} S(f, P)$ , and  $M, b$ , and  $a$  are constants, we have

$$\int_a^b f \leq M(b-a).$$

4. Since  $f$  is Riemann integrable, we know  $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0$  s.t. if  $\Omega$  is a partition with  $\|\Omega\| < \delta$ , then  $|S(f, \Omega) - \int_a^b f| < \epsilon$ .

And by statement, we know  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|P_n\| = 0$ . So, let  $\|P_n\| < \delta$ .

By definition of a limit,  $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists N > 0, n \geq N$ , then  $\|P_n\| < \delta$ .

We know  $L=0$  so  $\|P_n\| < \epsilon$ . Since  $\|P_n\|$  is always positive,  $P_n < \epsilon$ .

Let  $\delta = \epsilon$ . Then  $P_n < \delta$ , so  $\|P_n\| < \delta$ . Then, since we know

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|P_n\| = 0, \forall \epsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0$  s.t.  $\delta > \|P_n\|$  and

$$\lim_{\|P_n\| \rightarrow 0} S(f, P_n) = \int_a^b f$$

by the definition of a Riemann integral.

5. We know by statement that  $f$  is R.I. on  $[a, c]$ .

Now, let  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  be two t.p. of  $[a, b]$ . Let  $c$  be close enough to  $b$  s.t.  $b - c < \varepsilon$ . The Cauchy Criterion states that  $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0$  s.t.  $\|P_1\| < \delta$  and  $\|P_2\| < \delta$  then  $|S(f, P_1) - S(f, P_2)| < \varepsilon$ .

Now we separate  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  s.t.

$$P_{1a} = \{c_i, [x_{i-1}, x_i] \in P_1; [x_{i-1}, x_i] \subseteq [a, c]\}$$

$$P_{1b} = \{c_i, [x_{i-1}, x_i] \in P_1; [x_{i-1}, x_i] \subseteq [c, b]\}$$

Then, define  $\tilde{P}_{1a} = P_{1a} \cup \{c, [x_{N,a}, c]\}$  to include the section around  $c$  within  $[a, c]$ . And define  $\tilde{P}_{1b} = \{c, [c, x_{N,a+1}]\}$ .

Further

$$P_{2a} = \{c_i, [x_{i-1}, x_i] \in P_2; [x_{i-1}, x_i] \subseteq [a, c]\} \Rightarrow \tilde{P}_{2a} = P_{2a} \cup \{c, [x_{2Na-1}, c]\}$$

$$P_{2b} = \{c_i, [x_{i-1}, x_i] \in P_2; [x_{i-1}, x_i] \subseteq [c, b]\} \Rightarrow \tilde{P}_{2b} = P_{2b} \cup \{c, [x_{2Nb+1}, c]\}$$

Then, we know

$$|S(f, P_1) - S(f, P_2)| < \varepsilon$$

and from our partitions we know

$$|S(f, P_1) - S(f, P_2)| = |S(f, \tilde{P}_{1a}) + S(f, \tilde{P}_{1b}) - S(f, \tilde{P}_{2a}) - S(f, \tilde{P}_{2b})|$$

and by triangle inequality this is

$$\text{" " } \leq |S(f, \tilde{P}_{1a}) - S(f, \tilde{P}_{2a})| + |S(f, \tilde{P}_{1b}) + S(f, \tilde{P}_{2b})|$$

all by the Cauchy criterion.

Since  $f$  is bounded, we can denote this bound as  $M$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} S(f, \tilde{P}_{1b}) &\leq \sum_{i=1}^N |f(c_i)(x_i - x_{i-1})| \\ &\leq M \sum_{i=1}^N x_i - x_{i-1} \\ &= M(b - c), \end{aligned}$$

so  $S(f, \tilde{P}_{1b}) \leq M(b - c)$ . And by the same logic,  $S(f, \tilde{P}_{2b}) \leq M(b - c)$ .

Then  $|S(f, \tilde{P}_{1a}) - S(f, \tilde{P}_{2a})| < \varepsilon$ , which we know because  $f$  is R.I. on  $[a, c]$ . Then, our whole expression is less than  $\varepsilon + 2M(b - c) = \varepsilon(1 + 2M)$ . So, define our original  $\varepsilon$  as  $\frac{\varepsilon}{1 + 2M}$ .

(e) a) If  $f$  is R.I. on  $[a,b]$  then  $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0$  s.t. if  $P$  is a t.p. of  $[a,b]$  and  $\|P\| < \delta$  then

$$|S(f, P) - \int_a^b f| < \varepsilon.$$

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and replace  $f$  by  $k$ :

$$|S(k, P) - \int_a^b k| < \varepsilon.$$

By definition

$$\int_a^b k = \lim_{\|P\| \rightarrow 0} S(k, P)$$

And we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^n f(c_i)(x_{i-1} - x_i) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n k(x_{i-1} - x_i) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n k(b-a). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\lim_{\|P\| \rightarrow 0} k(b-a) = \int_a^b k$$

and since  $k, b$ , and  $a$  are constants,  $\lim_{\|P\| \rightarrow 0} k(b-a) = k(b-a)$ .

So, since each  $L$  is unique,

$$\int_a^b k = k(b-a).$$

b) If  $f$  is RI, then  $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0$  s.t. if  $P$  is a t.p. of  $[a,b]$  and  $\|P\| < \delta$ , then

$$|S(f, P) - \int_a^b f| < \varepsilon.$$

By definition

$$\lim_{\|P\| \rightarrow 0} S(f, P) = \int_a^b f$$

and

$$S(f, P) = \sum_{i=1}^n f(c_i)(x_{i-1} - x_i)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n \sin^2(c_i)(x_{i-1} - x_i)$$

and by a trig identity:

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1 - \cos(2c_i)}{2} (x_{i-1} - x_i)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n [1 - \cos(2c_i)] (x_{i+1} - x_i)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n [1 - \cos(2c_i)] (b-a),$$

Then

$$\int_a^b f = \frac{1}{2} \lim_{\|P\| \rightarrow 0} \sum_{i=1}^n b-a - \frac{1}{2} \lim_{\|P\| \rightarrow 0} \sum_{i=1}^n \cos(2c_i)(b-a)$$

Since we know  $b$  and  $a$  are constants,  $\lim_{\|P\| \rightarrow 0} \sum_{i=1}^n (b-a) = (b-a)$  and by assumption  $\cos(2x)$  is R.I. so,  $f$  is R.I. on  $[a, b]$ .

7. Show that the function  $f: [0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$f(x) := \begin{cases} 1, & 0 \leq x \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ 0, & \frac{1}{2} \leq x \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

is R.I. on  $[0,1]$ .

If  $f$  is R.I. then  $\forall \epsilon > 0$ ,  $\exists \delta > 0$  s.t. if  $P$  is a t.p. of  $[0,1]$ , and  $\|P\| < \delta$ , then

$$|S(f, P) - \int_0^1 f | < \epsilon$$

Let there be two tagged partitions of  $[0,1]$ , denoted by  $P_1$  and  $P_2$ , for the intervals  $[0, \frac{1}{2}]$  and  $[\frac{1}{2}, 1]$  respectively.

So  $P_1 := \{(c_i, [x_{i-1}, x_i]) ; c \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]\}$  and  $P_2 := \{(c_i, [x_i, x_{i+1}]) ; c \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]\}$ .

Then  $S(f, P) = S(f, P_1) + S(f, P_2)$ . Denote  $N_1$  by  $\text{card}(P_1)$ , and  $N_2$  by  $\text{card}(P_2)$ .

$$S(f, P_1) = \sum_{i=1}^{N_1} f(c_i)(x_i - x_{i-1})$$

Since on this interval  $f(x) = 1$ , we have

$$S(f, P_1) = \sum_{i=1}^{N_1} 1(x_i - x_{i-1})$$

$$= N_1$$

and  $S(f, P_2) = \sum_{i=1}^{N_2} f(c_i)(x_i - x_{i-1})$

and since  $f(x) = 0$  on this interval,

$$S(f, P_2) = \sum_{i=1}^{N_2} 0(x_i - x_{i-1})$$

$$= 0.$$

We know by general calculus that  $\int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} f(x) dx = \frac{1}{2}$ , and  $\int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 f(x) dx = 0$ . So  $S(f, P) = \frac{1}{2}(x_{N_1})$ .

We can rewrite this as  $S(f, P) - \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}(x_{N_1})$ , and

$$|S(f, P) - \frac{1}{2}| = |2\pi_N; -\frac{1}{2}|.$$

Since  $\|P\| < \delta$ ,  $|S(f, P) - \frac{1}{2}| < \delta$  so  $\delta = \varepsilon$ .

8. The Cauchy criterion states if  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exist  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  are t.p. of  $[0,1]$  with  $\|P_1\| \leq \delta$  and  $\|P_2\| \leq \delta$  then

$$|S(f, P_1) - S(f, P_2)| < \epsilon.$$

So, let  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  be t.p. of  $[0,1]$  s.t.

$$P_{1a} : \{c_i, [x_{i-1}, x_i] \in P_1; [x_{i-1}, x_i]; [x_i, x_{i+1}] \subseteq [0, c]\}$$

$$P_{1b} : \{c_i, [x_{i-1}, x_i] \in P_1; [x_{i-1}, x_i]; [x_i, x_{i+1}] \subseteq [c, 1]\}$$

then

$$P_{2a} : \{c_i, [x_{i-1}, x_i] \in P_2; [x_{i-1}, x_i] \subseteq [0, c]\}$$

$$P_{2b} : \{c_i, [x_{i-1}, x_i] \in P_2; [x_{i-1}, x_i] \subseteq [c, 1]\}$$

where  $c \in [0,1]$  since  $f$  is 1 at  $\frac{1}{n}$  and  $0 < \frac{1}{n} \leq \frac{1}{m} \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $\frac{1}{n} \rightarrow 0$ ,  $\frac{1}{n} < c$  for  $1, 2, \dots, m$ . We will show  $f$  is R.I. on  $[0,1]$ . Let  $\epsilon < \epsilon$ .

Now define

$$\tilde{P}_{1a} := P_{1a} \cup \{c, [x_m, c]\}$$

$$\tilde{P}_{1b} := P_{1b} \cup \{c, [c, x_{m+1}]\}$$

$$\tilde{P}_{2a} := P_{2a} \cup \{c, [x_m, c]\}$$

$$\tilde{P}_{2b} := P_{2b} \cup \{c, [c, x_{m+1}]\}.$$

Then, splitting the partitions:

$$\begin{aligned} |S(f, P_1) - S(f, P_2)| &\Rightarrow |S(f, \tilde{P}_{1a}) + S(f, \tilde{P}_{1b}) - S(f, \tilde{P}_{2a}) - S(f, \tilde{P}_{2b})| \quad \text{and by T.I.:} \\ &\leq |S(f, \tilde{P}_{1a}) - S(f, \tilde{P}_{2a})| + |S(f, \tilde{P}_{1b}) - S(f, \tilde{P}_{2b})| \end{aligned}$$

We know  $f$  is bounded; call the upper bound of  $f$  as  $M$ .

Then by the Cauchy criterion,

$$|S(f, \tilde{P}_{1a}) - S(f, \tilde{P}_{2a})| < \epsilon$$

$$|S(f, \tilde{P}_{1b}) - S(f, \tilde{P}_{2b})| < 2M\epsilon$$

So we define our original  $\epsilon$  as  $\frac{\epsilon}{1+2M}$ . By the same logic as 5,  $f$  is R.I. over  $[0,1]$ .

9. Our theorem for step functions states if  $\varphi: \sum_{k=1}^n c_k I_k$  is a step function on  $[a,b]$  then  $\varphi$  is R.I. on  $[a,b]$  and  $\int_a^b \varphi$  is the sum  $\sum_{k=1}^n c_k l(I_k)$  where  $l(I_k)$  is the length of  $I_k$  and  $c_k$  is the value of  $\varphi$  on  $I_k$ .

Since our function  $f$  is 1 for  $l(I_k) = 0$  and 0 for  $l(I_k) = 1$ ,  $\int_a^b f(x) = 0$ . We will use the definition of R.I. to prove  $f$  is R.I. and that  $L=0$ .  
 $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0$  s.t. if  $P$  is a t.p. of  $[a,b]$ , and  $\|P\| < \delta$ , then  
 $|S(f, P) - L| < \varepsilon$ .

By definition also,  $\lim_{\|P\| \rightarrow 0} S(f, P) = \int_a^b f$  which we claim is 0.

$S(f, P)$  can be rewritten as

$$\sum_{i=1}^n f(c_i)(x_{i-1} - x_i)$$

and  $x_{i-1} - x_i = 1$  for  $x_i = 0$  and  $x_{i-1} = 1$  when  $f(x) = 0$ . So  
 $\sum_{i=1}^n (0)(1) = 0$ .

When  $f(x) = 1$ , we get that  $x = 0$ , so

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (1)(0-0) = 0.$$

Either way, we have

$$\lim_{\|P\| \rightarrow 0} 0 = \int_a^b f$$

and 0 is a constant so

$$\lim_{\|P\| \rightarrow 0} 0 = 0.$$

Since every  $L$  is unique,  $\int_a^b f(x) = 0$ , and  $f$  is R.I.

10. First we find  $\|P\|$ .

Testing each interval we have:

$$1) |(-1) - (-0.8)| = 0.2$$

$$2) |(-0.8) - (-0.3)| = 0.5$$

$$3) |(-0.3) - 0| = 0.3$$

$$4) |0 - 0.2| = 0.2$$

$$5) |0.2 - 0.4| = 0.2$$

$$6) |0.4 - 1| = 0.6$$

$$7) |1 - 1.5| = 0.5$$

$$8) |1.5 - 2| = 0.5$$

So the norm of  $P$  is 0.6. Thus  $\|P\|$  must be less than or equal to 0.2.

So our new  $P_0$  is

$$\begin{aligned} P_0 = & [0.9, [-1, -0.8]], [0.7, [-0.8, -0.6]], [0.5, [-0.6, -0.4]], [0.3, [-0.4, -0.2]], \\ & [0.1, [-0.2, 0]], [0.1, [0, 0.2]], [0.3, [0.2, 0.4]], [0.5, [0.4, 0.6]], \\ & [0.7, [0.6, 0.8]], [0.9, [0.8, 1]], [1, [1, 1.2]], [1.3, [1.2, 1.4]], \\ & [1.5, [1.4, 1.6]], [1.7, [1.6, 1.8]], [1.9, [1.8, 2]]. \end{aligned}$$