

MATH 644

CHAPTER 6

SECTION 6.3: RIEMANN MAPPING THEOREM

CONTENTS

Statement of the Theorem

2

STATEMENT OF THE THEOREM

THEOREM 1. Suppose $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ is simply-connected and $\Omega \neq \mathbb{C}$. Then there exists a one-to-one map f of Ω onto \mathbb{D} . If $z_0 \in \Omega$, then there is a unique such map with $f(z_0) = 0$ and $f'(z_0) > 0$.

Idea of the proof.

1. Define a family

$$\mathcal{F} = \{f : f \text{ is one-to-one, analytic, } |f| < 1 \text{ on } \Omega, f(z_0) = 0, f'(z_0) > 0\}.$$

real & positive.

2. Show \mathcal{F} is normal on Ω .
3. Extract a subsequence $(f_n) \subset \mathcal{F}$ which converges to some f .
4. Show that f has the desired properties.

LEMMA 2. The family \mathcal{F} is non-empty and normal in Ω .

Proof.

Non-empty: Let $w_0 \notin \Omega$ ($\Omega \neq \mathbb{C}$).

Then $f(z) = z - w_0$ is analytic & $\neq 0$ in Ω .

Therefore, $\exists \varphi : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ analytic s.t. $\varphi^2 = f$.

$$1) \quad \varphi(z) = \varphi(w) \Rightarrow (\varphi(z))^2 = (\varphi(w))^2$$

$$\Rightarrow f(z) = f(w)$$

$$\Rightarrow z = w. \quad \rightarrow \varphi \text{ is one-to-one.}$$

$$2) \quad \varphi(z) = -\varphi(w) \Rightarrow (\varphi(z))^2 = (\varphi(w))^2 \Rightarrow z = w$$

$$\text{So, } z \neq w \text{ then } \varphi(z) \neq -\varphi(w)$$

$$3) \quad \varphi \text{ is an open map, so } \exists B(a, r) \subseteq \varphi(\Omega).$$

$$\text{Notice: } \varphi(w) \in B(a, r) \Leftrightarrow -\varphi(w) \in B(-a, r)$$

Therefore, from 2), $\varphi(\Omega)$ avoids $B(-a, r)$.

4) Set $\psi := \frac{r}{\varphi+a}$, well defined on Ω because of 3). Then ψ is one-to-one, $|\psi(z)| < 1$ because $|\varphi(z)+a| > r$, for any $z \in \Omega$.

5) Use an automorphism $\varphi_\alpha: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ so that $\varphi_\alpha(\psi(z_0)) = 0$ & $\frac{d}{dz}(\varphi_\alpha(\psi(z_0))) > 0$.

Conclusion: $g(z) = \varphi_\alpha \circ \psi \in \mathcal{F}$.

Normality:

\mathcal{F} locally bounded $\Rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ is normal. \square

THEOREM 3. [Hurwitz] Suppose $(g_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a sequence of analytic functions on a region Ω and suppose $g_n(z) \neq 0$ for all $z \in \Omega$ and all n . If g_n converges uniformly to g on compact subsets of Ω , then

- either g is identically zero in Ω or;
- $g(z) \neq 0$ for all $z \in \Omega$.

Proof.

Weierstrass' Theorem $\Rightarrow g$ is analytic on Ω .

Suppose $g \neq 0$, then g has isolated zeros in Ω .

Let $\Delta \in \Omega$ be a disk s.t. $g(z) \neq 0, \forall z \in \partial \Delta$.

So, $g_n \rightarrow g$ uniformly on $\partial \Delta$.

Since $|g|$ is continuous on the compact set $\partial \Delta$

$$\min_{z \in \partial \Delta} |g(z)| = |g(z_0)| > 0 \quad (\text{some } z_0 \in \partial \Delta)$$

Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$ be s.t.:

$$\max_{z \in \partial \Delta} |g_N(z) - g(z)| < \frac{|g(z_0)|}{2}$$

then, for any $z \in \partial \Delta$, we have

$$|g_N(z) - g(z)| < \frac{|g(z_0)|}{2} < |g(z_0)| \leq |g(z)|$$

By Rouché's theorem $\Rightarrow g_N$ & g have same # of zeros in Δ , that is none. \square

COROLLARY 4. If $(g_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a sequence of one-to-one and analytic functions on a region Ω , and if g_n converges to g uniformly on compact subsets of Ω , then

- either g is one-to-one on Ω or;
- g is constant in Ω .

Apply Hurwitz to $\frac{g_n - g(w)}{g - g(w)}$ on $\Omega \setminus \{w\}$, $w \in \Omega$.

Proof of the Riemann Mapping Theorem.

From Lemma 2, $F \neq \emptyset$ & F is normal.

Let $M = \sup \{ |f'(z_0)| : f \in F \} > 0$.

Let $(f_n) \in F$ s.t. $f_n'(z_0) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} M$.

Replacing (f_n) by one of its subsequence (normality)
we may assume that $f_n \rightarrow f$ locally
uniformly (some f).

By Weierstrass' theorem, f is analytic and
 $f_n' \rightarrow f'$ locally uniformly.

This implies that $f'(z_0) = M \neq \infty$.

Also, by Hurwitz, f is one-to-one.

Also, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(z_0) = f(z_0) = 0$.

Conclusion 1: $f \in F$.

We now have to show that $f(\mathcal{R}) = \mathbb{D}$.

Suppose $\exists \zeta \in \mathbb{D}$ s.t. $f(z) \neq \zeta, \forall z \in \mathcal{R}$.

Let $g_1(z) := \frac{f(z) - 3}{1 - \bar{3}f(z)} =: T_1 \circ f(z) \quad (z \in \Omega).$

then $g_1(z) \neq 0 \quad \forall z \in \Omega$ & Ω is simply-connected

$$\Rightarrow \exists g_2 : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{D} \text{ s.t. } g_2^2 = g_1.$$

Notice that g_2 is also one-to-one.

Set $g(z) := \frac{g_2(z) - g_2(z_0)}{1 - \overline{g_2(z_0)}g_2(z)} =: T_2 \circ g_2(z) \quad (z \in \Omega).$

then, by construction, g is one-to-one & $g(z_0) = 0$.

If $\lambda = \frac{|g'(z_0)|}{g'(z_0)}$, then $\lambda g \in F$.

Set $\varphi := T_1^{-1} \circ S \circ T_2^{-1}$, where $S(z) = z^2$.

Since T_1^{-1} & T_2^{-1} are automorphisms of \mathbb{D} ,

the map φ is a 2-to-1 map of \mathbb{D} onto \mathbb{D}

and

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(0) &= T_1^{-1} \circ S \circ T_2^{-1}(0) \\ &= T_1^{-1} \circ S(g_2(z_0)) \\ &= T_1^{-1}(g_1(z_0)) = f(z_0) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \varphi(0) = 0.$$

Similar calculations show that $f(z) = \varphi \circ g(z)$.

By Schwarz's Lemma, $|\varphi'(0)| < 1$ (otherwise, if $|\varphi'(0)| = 1$, then $\varphi(z) = \lambda z$, some $|\lambda| = 1$, ~~is~~)

So,

$$\begin{aligned} |f'(z_0)| &= |\varphi'(g(z_0))| \cdot |g'(z_0)| \\ &= |\varphi'(0)| \cdot |g'(z_0)| \\ &< |g'(z_0)| = |\lambda g'(z_0)| \end{aligned}$$

this contradicts the maximality of $|f'(z_0)|$

$$\Rightarrow f(z) = \text{id}.$$

Uniqueness

$\exists f, g \in \mathcal{F}$ with desired properties.

then, $h = f \circ g^{-1}$
al. $h(0) = 0$

$$\Rightarrow h(z) = \lambda z.$$

But, $\lambda = 1$ because $h'(0) = \frac{f'(z_0)}{g'(z_0)} \in (0, \infty)$.

$$\Rightarrow f = g.$$

□