A WAY MORE SIMPLER PROOF OF THEOREM 5, SECTION 3.1

Theorem 5 If f is analytic in a region Ω , then $\limsup_{z \to \partial \mathcal{R}} |f(z)| = \sup_{z} f(z).$

Notice the assumption "I is bounded" has been removed.

Proof. If f = const., then the result is immediate. Suppose $f \neq const.$

If $z_n \to \partial x$, then $|f(z_n)| \leq \sup_{x \to \infty} |f(z_n)|$ $\Rightarrow \lim_{x \to \infty} |f(z_n)| \leq \sup_{x \to \infty} |f(z_n)|$

Taking the supremum over all sequences $z_n \rightarrow \partial x$: $\lim\sup_{z\to\partial x} |f(z)| \in \sup\limits_{z} |f(z)|$.

So we have to show the other inequality:

sup |f(z)| < limsup |f(z)|.

By definition, there is a sequence $(z_n) \subseteq x$ s.t. $f(z_n) \longrightarrow \sup_{x} f(z_n)$.

Now, if (2nk) st. $2nk \longrightarrow 20 \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $f(20) = \sup_{SZP} |f(2)|$ (By continuity)

Thuefore, taking a disk $13 \le 52$ containing 20 $\Rightarrow |f(20)| = \sup_{B} |f(2)|.$

By the first maximum principle, f = const in B. By the identity principle f = const. in \mathcal{Z} #

So, no subsequence of (Zn) converges to some Zo E JZ. This forces In -> 22, n-> 0. By definition of limsup, we see that lim |f(zn)| = limsup |f(z)| > sup f(z) < limsup f(z).