## Next Silicon: CM Home Assignment

## Djordje Zivanovic LinScale

### April 26, 2025

## Contents

	ne Existing Implementation: Code Analysis and Documentation
_	
2	Code Drawbacks
2	Numerical and Implementation Drawbacks
2	Mathematical Analysis
2	Accuracy and Correctness Failures
2	Experiments

#### 1 Introduction

This report <sup>1</sup> contains the responses to the tasks stated in the home project pdf. It is accompanied with the repository <sup>2</sup> that contains reproducible solutions with the installation instructions alongside: experiments and tests according to the task requirements.

# 2 The Existing Implementation: Code Analysis and Documentation

In this section we analyze the existing code shown in Algorithm 1.

#### 2.1 Code Drawbacks

The code is written for C and follows the following bad practices:

- 1. Reusing the variable multiple times, (float)M\_PI and 2.0f \* (float)M\_PI, (lines 3, 4, 6, 6, 5, 7 of Algorithm 1);
- 2. Not using auto in order to automatically deduce types since the results of all the statements are known;
- 3. Cleaning if loop to be more understandable: fmodf returns the result in the range  $(-2\pi, 2\pi)$ . Then, now it is obvious that one checks whether the number is outside of the range  $[-\pi, \pi]$ , and then updates  $\mathbf{x}$  for  $2\pi$  period, so the further code operates with the number in the range  $[-\pi, \pi]$ ;
- 4. Adding more verbosity ();
- 5. Reusing variable names -> more verbose names should be used in order to improve readability of the code. The c ompiler will optimize for the least number of variables/registers to be used;
- 6. Renaming function names and migrating these functions to the corresponding headers and sources that would contain the custom maths functions.

#### 2.2 Numerical and Implementation Drawbacks

Here, I will give state several main drawbacks in terms of the implementation and numerical accuracy. The division by In the next subsection, I will list the drawbacks related to the method itself.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>report

 $<sup>^2</sup>$ next-silicon-maths

- 1: **Input:** A float (IEEE-754) number
- 2: **Output:** A float (IEEE-754) sine value of this number computed using Taylor Series.
- 3: Steps:

```
float fp32_custom_sine(float x)
  {
2
       x = fmodf(x, 2.0f * (float)M_PI);
       if (x > (float)M_PI)
           x \rightarrow 2.0f * (float)M_PI;
       else if (x < -(float)M_PI)</pre>
           x += 2.0f * (float)M_PI;
       float result = 0.0f;
       float term = x;
       float x_squared = x * x;
       int sign = 1;
11
       for (int n = 1; n <= 7; n += 2)</pre>
12
       {
13
           result += sign * term;
14
           sign = -sign;
           term = term * x_squared;
           term = term / (float)(n + 1);
17
           term = term / (float)(n + 2);
       }
19
       return result;
20
```

**Algorithm 1:** Algorithm with Code Listing

#### 2.3 Mathematical Analysis

Let us state the general Taylor series formula that is implemented in Algorithm 1.

**Theorem 2.1 (Theorem 5.19 from [1, p. 113]):** Let f be a function having finite n-th derivative  $f^{(n)}$  everywhere in an open interval (a,b) and assume that  $f^{(n-1)}$  is continuous on the closed interval [a,b]. Then, for every x in  $[a,b], x \neq c$ , there exists a point  $x_1$  interior to the interval joining x and c such that

$$f(x) = f(c) + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{f^{(k)}(c)(x-c)^{(k)}}{k!} + \frac{f^{(n)}(x_1)}{n!}(x-c)^n.$$

A corollary of Theorem 2.1 when we set c = 0 is a Maclaurin Series.

Corollary 2.2 (Maclaurin Series): Let f be a function having finite n-th derivative  $f^{(n)}$  everywhere in an open interval (a, b) and assume that  $f^{(n-1)}$  is continuous on the closed interval [a, b]. Assume that  $c \in [a, b]$ . Then, for every x in  $[a, b], x \neq 0$ , there exists a point  $x_1$  interior to the interval joining x and 0 such that

$$f(x) = f(0) + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{f^{(k)}(0)(x)^{(k)}}{k!} + \frac{f^{(n)}(x_1)}{n!} x^n.$$

Let us now set  $a=-\pi, b=\pi$  and  $f(x)=\sin(x)$  . The Maclaurin Series becomes for sin function:

Corollary 2.3: For  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $x \neq 0$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $0 < |x_1| < |x|$  the approximation of a degree n is

$$sin(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} (-1)^i \frac{x^{2i+1}}{(2i+1)!} + L(x,n).$$

where

$$L(x,n) = \begin{cases} \frac{f^{(n+2)}x_1}{(n+2)!}x^{n+2}, & \text{if } n \bmod 2 = 0, \\ \frac{f^{(n+1)}x_1}{(n+1)!}x^{n+1}, & \text{if } n \bmod 2 = 1. \end{cases}$$

Thus, the method stated in Algorithm 1 has an algorithmic error L(x,7) which is smaller than  $\frac{x^8}{8!}$ . Now, we obtain

#### 2.4 Accuracy and Correctness Failures

Based on Corollary 2.3, we can notice that Algorithm 1 is incorrect for x = 0. Similar, the farther the x is from 0, the error is larger since  $x^8$  grows exponentially. There are several ways to solve these problems:

#### 2.5 Experiments

The test plan will cover the following experiments:

#### 1. Different number distributions

- (a) Equally distanced numbers between certain multiplicands of  $\frac{\pi}{2}$ ; We will vary the distance and provide statistical analysis with plots for each of such distance and multi'plicand.
- (b) Normally distributed numbers around certain multiplicands of  $\frac{\pi}{2}$ ; We will vary the variance and provide statistical analysis with plots for each of the multiplicands and variances.

#### 2. Edge cases

- (a) the multiplicands of  $\frac{\pi}{2}$ ;
- (b) large numbers (close to the absolute minimum and maximum for the float numbers);
- (c) normal distributions around the multiplicands of  $\frac{\pi}{2}$ ;
- (d) nans.
- 3. Numbers

For each of these experiments we would compute the relative error in comparison to the value computed by the  $\sin x$  provided in cmath.

### 3 Additional Algorithms

For the problems stated in Subsection 2.4 there are several ways to approach:

- 1. Have another Taylor series expansion for numbers around  $\pi$  and  $-\pi$ . In this case we would manually calculate the  $\sin x$  for  $\pi$  and  $-\pi$ .
- 2. Add more terms in Taylor series expansion. It is important to find the optimal degree for the balance of accuracy and performance.
- 3. Implement one or more of the alternative methods: Minimax Polynomial Approximation, Chebyshev Polynomial Expansion [2], Lookup Table with Linear Interpolation and Lookup Table with Spline or Cubic Interpolation.

Here we will focus on the implementation of the Chebyshev Polynomial Expansion.

**Definition 3.1** ([2, p.233]): For a number  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and a real number x, the Chebyshev polynomial of degree n is denoted  $T_n(x)$  and defined as

$$T_n(x) = \cos(n \arccos x).$$

**Theorem 3.2:** For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  the Chebyshev polynomial of degree n satisfies the formula:

$$T_n = 2xT_n(x) - T_{n-1}(x),$$

where  $T_0(x) = 1$  and  $T_1(x) = x$ .

Let us introduce definitions that would help with our computations.

**Definition 3.3 ([2, p .234]):** For a number  $j, N \in \mathbb{N}$ , the Chebyshev coefficient of degree n is denoted  $c_n(x)$  and defined as

$$c_j(N) = \frac{2}{N} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} f\left(\cos\left(\frac{\pi(k+\frac{1}{2})}{N}\right)\right) \cos\left(\frac{\pi j(k+\frac{1}{2})}{N}\right).$$

Now the following formula holds:

**Theorem 3.4:** Let  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{N}$ , and a function  $f : [-1,1] \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ . Let f be a continuous and bounded function. Now, the follows holds:

$$f(x) \approx \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} (c_k(N) \cdot T_k(x)) - \frac{1}{2} c_0(N).$$

We implement the approximation from Theorem 3.4 in Algorithm 3. Chebyshev coefficients are computed in Algorithm 2. Since Chebyshev coefficients from Definition 3.3 are defined for the input [-1,1], but our input is in the range  $[-\pi,\pi]$ , we need to map the input to [-1,1] by uniformly scaling the input to this range (Algorithm 3 line 18). However, we have to scale back the input to  $[-\pi,\pi]$  for the function f in Algorithm 2 (lines 4, 5, 14). The rest of Algorithm 2 follows Definition 3.3. Algorithm 3 has two more improvements. First, the algorithm uses the optimized f mod f for the accuracy implemented in the function f optimized f mod f that maps the input from the set of real numbers to the set  $[-2\pi, 2\pi]$ . Further, instead of following Theorem 3.4, the algorithm implements Clenshaw's formula [2, p.237] for the better stability.

#### References

- [1] Tom M Apostol. Mathematical analysis. Narosa Publishing House Pvt. Ltd., 1985.
- [2] William H Press. Numerical recipes 3rd edition: The art of scientific computing. Cambridge university press, 2007.

- 1: **Input:** A function f, the number of coefficients to compute numCoeffs and bounds of the interval a and b.
- 2: Output: A return vector that contains the computed values.

```
std::vector<float> computeChebyshevCoefficients(std::
          function < float (float) > f, uint32_t numCoeffs, float a,
          float b) {
           std::vector<float> vCoeffs(numCoeffs, 0.f);
           float bma = 0.5f * (b - a);
           float bpa = 0.5f * (b + a);
           for (uint32_t j = Ou; j < numCoeffs; j++) {</pre>
               float sum = 0.f;
               for (uint32_t k = Ou; k < numCoeffs; k++) {</pre>
                    float leftTheta = std::numbers::pi_v<float> *
                       (k + 0.5f) / numCoeffs;
                    float rightTheta = leftTheta * j;
11
                    float leftCos = std::cos(leftTheta);
12
                    float rightCos = std::cos(rightTheta);
13
                    sum += f(leftCos * bma + bpa) * rightCos;
               }
               vCoeffs[j] = sum * 2.0f / numCoeffs;
16
           }
17
18
           return vCoeffs;
19
       }
```

**Algorithm 2:** Computing Chebyshev Coefficients

- 1: **Input:** A float (IEEE-754) number and the degree **chebDegreeN** used in Chebyshev approximation.
- 2: **Output:** A float (IEEE-754) sine value of this number computed using Chebyshev approximation.

```
float nextSiliconSineFP32Chebyshev(float x, uint32_t
          chebDegreeN)
       {
           static constexpr auto PI_F = pi_v<float>;
           static constexpr auto TWO_PI_F = 2 * PI_F;
           float xPiRange = x;
           if (std::abs(xPiRange) >= TWO_PI_F)
           {
               xPiRange = optimizedFModf2Pi(xPiRange);
           }
10
           if (std::abs(xPiRange) > PI_F)
11
12
               xPiRange -= boost::math::sign(xPiRange) *
13
                  TWO_PI_F;
           }
14
15
           auto b = PI_F;
16
           auto a = -PI F;
17
           auto y = (2.0f * xPiRange - a - b) / (b - a);
18
           auto y2 = 2.f * y;
           auto chebCoeffs = computeChebyshevCoefficients(::sinf,
20
               chebDegreeN, a, b);
21
           float dMPlusTwo = 0.f;
22
           float dMPlusOne = 0.f;+
           // Clenshaw's formula
25
           for (std::size_t k = chebDegreeN - 1; k > 0; k--) {
26
               float bCurr = y2 * dMPlusOne - dMPlusTwo +
27
                  chebCoeffs[k];
               dMPlusTwo = dMPlusOne;
               dMPlusOne = bCurr;
           }
31
                   y * dMPlusOne - dMPlusTwo + chebCoeffs[0] *
           return
32
              0.5;
       }
```

**Algorithm 3:** Sine using Taylor series: Existing Method