

**Using Goal-Based Simulations and Scenario-Based Investment Forecasts to Communicate with Investors**

**Client Narrative**

Rose is a 70 year old widow who recently received a lump sum distribution of $400,000 from a law suit. She receives income from a life insurance policy and social security, which covers her basic expenses. Her goal for the discretionary portfolio is to fund private school for her grandson. The best private school in the area costs $40,000 in inflation-adjusted dollars each year, and he has 10 years before he graduates.

Rose consults with her financial advisor, Meredith, on how to allocate funds. In addition, she mentions that she is only comfortable investing in stocks and annuities. Although Meredith disagrees with such a severe constraint on the investable universe, she agrees to determine the probability of Rose meeting her goal. To start, Meredith simulates the performance of an equal allocation of $200,000 in both stocks and an annuity. Her software subtracts $40,000 from the invested amount for each simulated year. If the balance ever turns negative, then that simulation is a failure. If not, it is considered to be a success. Meredith generates 10,000 such simulations and only 19% meet the goal. Rose suggests testing different allocations, and Meredith creates Graph 1.

***Graph 1: Probability of Goal Attainment for $200,000 and $400,000 invested in Stocks based on a budget of $400,000, initial cash outflow of $40,000, and 2% inflation. Annuity assumed to pay 6% of principal. Note: investing in annuity alone would never meet required outflows and is not shown***

Investing in 100% results in the highest probability of success of x%, but this seems too low to Rose. Perhaps, she could find a less expensive school, or only send her grandson to the best school for middle school and high school.

**Investment Forecast Narrative**

The investment simulations in the client narrative require a long-term forecast for stocks. Meredith gathered daily S&P 500 returns from 12/1/2007 to 12/1/2017 and resampled these with replacement 1000 times for 252 to create a baseline forecast. She then alters this baseline to match current conditions according to her judgment. She determines the worst and best annual outcomes for stocks might be -50% and 50% returns. On average, she expects stocks to return about 5% and assigns this to be the most likely outcome. Lastly, the historical volatility is higher than she expects going forward, and her forecast reflects this view. Lastly, she determines that a slightly negative skewness, or asymmetry in the risk and reward, is appropriate and slightly positive excess kurtosis, or “fat-tails,” is also plausible. From an alternate view, she determines that 80% of returns should fall between Y% and Z%. Table 1 summarizes the results:

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Minimum | 10th Percentile | Median | 90th Percentile | Maximum |
| -48.7% | -16.3% | 8.9% | 40.0% | 120.3% |

***Table 1: Annual summary statistics from resampled gains and losses of the SPDR S&P 500 ETF from 12/1/2007 through 12/1/2017 (Daily Gains and Losses from Yahoo Finance)***

Meredith’s software draws a probability distribution based on her scenarios. 

***Graph 3: Estimated annual long-term forecast for the stock market assuming a current price of 100. The software generates the above graphs based on the input scenarios and interpolating straight lines between each scenario.***

The software assumes the Probability Density Function is piecewise linear between the scenarios. It produces simulations by drawing from a Uniform Random distribution and using the Inverse Cumulative Density function of the distribution to map these simulated probabilities to returns. To illustrate, simulated probability of 0, .5, and 1 map to 50, 105, and 150. These simulated returns are aggregated into 10 year groups and matched against the cash flows. This results in the simulated probabilities of goal attainment.

**Framework Objectives**

**Investors:**

1. Accurately assess realism of financial goals
2. Communicate assessments in understandable terms
3. Trace assessment results back to assumptions transparently
4. Lower expenses through spreading forecast costs across many individuals
5. Prevent Forecasters from gaming forecast accuracy and quality metrics

**Forecasters:**

1. Accurately represent views
2. Communicate forecasts intuitively
3. Measure forecast quality based on accuracy and precision
4. Increase revenue through ability to leverage single forecast across many investors

**Technology:**

1. Transform forecasts into assessments of investor goals
2. Perform calculations within a reasonable time period
3. Distribute any forecasts to estimate any investor goals to lower costs
4. Build trust through understandable, open-source code
5. Model portfolios with any type of asset
6. Capture investments whose payouts can be asymmetrical and extreme (fat-tails)
7. Rely on human judgement as well as historical data
8. Minimize underlying assumptions regarding investment risk and investor risk tolerance
9. Encompass existing portfolio metrics associated with Modern Portfolio Theory and extend them
10. Measure forecaster ability objectively to enable easy comparisons

**Estimating the Probability of Achieving an Investment Goal**

Suppose the cash outflows required to reach a goal consists of a finite sequence of positive real numbers with at least one member:

denotes a discrete cash outflow at any future time, .

Then, satisfaction of the goal necessitates a liquid portfolio amount greater than the required cash flow in each period. Conversely, if funds are insufficient to cover the cash outflow in any period, then the portfolio has failed to achieve the goal. Therefore, the probability of success can be represented using the following piecewise function:

signifies the probability of achieving a goal and is the liquid portfolio amount at a future time.

is stochastic; consequently, we simulate it via Monte Carlo and estimate based on the results as follows:

indexes the Monte Carlo trials and is the total number of trials.

**Forecasting Portfolio Outcomes Based on Scenarios**

The forecast consist of a strictly monotonically increasing sequence of five constant scenarios:

For convenience, these scenarios are labeled as follows:

The Cumulative Probability Density Function for the forecast is assumed to conform to the following constraint:

In words, and are constants that determine the area under the left and right tails of the Probability Density Function.

In addition, the Probability Density Function for the forecast is assumed to be piecewise linear with finite bounds:

Since and are triangles, and are calculated based on the scenarios and area constants:

The probability between and represents the area of the distribution not consisting of and . A vertical line drawn from to splits this area into two trapezoids. As a result, is determined by the aforementioned areas, heights, and scenarios:

The two trapezoidal areas can also be named and calculated:

The Piecewise Probability Density Function is fully specified based on the above results:

**Calculating Moments of the Forecast**

Through a property of expectations, the expectation of a piecewise linear function is the sum of its parts:

Thus, the first four moments of the distribution may be calculated analytically using the following definitions of the moments:

**Simulating Investment Performance based on the Forecasts**

The Piecewise Cumulative Density Function can also be determined:

Moreover, the above CDFs are quadratic. Consequently, the Inverse CDFs are calculated via the quadratic formula. For example, the Inverse CDF of the first piecewise segment can be calculated as follows:

Consequently, for any randomly generated , it is possible to map to the corresponding . The remaining Inverse CDFs are calculated similarly.