



# Three Sigma Labs

## Code Audit



Singularity KYB/KYC'd confidential DeFi infrastructure layer

# Disclaimer

Code Audit

Singularity KYB/KYC'd confidential DeFi infrastructure layer

# **Disclaimer**

The ensuing audit offers no assertions or assurances about the code's security. It cannot be deemed an adequate judgment of the contract's correctness on its own. The authors of this audit present it solely as an informational exercise, reporting the thorough research involved in the secure development of the intended contracts, and make no material claims or guarantees regarding the contract's post-deployment operation. The authors of this report disclaim all liability for all kinds of potential consequences of the contract's deployment or use. Due to the possibility of human error occurring during the code's manual review process, we advise the client team to commission several independent audits in addition to a public bug bounty program.

# Table of Contents

Code Audit

Singularity KYB/KYC'd confidential DeFi infrastructure layer

## Table of Contents

Disclaimer	3
Summary	8
Scope	10
Methodology	13
Project Dashboard	15
Code Maturity Evaluation	18
Findings	21
3S-MZ-C01	21
3S-MZ-C02	22
3S-MZ-C03	24
3S-MZ-C04	25
3S-MZ-C05	26
3S-MZ-H01	27
3S-MZ-H02	28
3S-MZ-H03	29
3S-MZ-H04	30
3S-MZ-H05	31
3S-MZ-H06	32
3S-MZ-H07	33
3S-MZ-H08	34
3S-MZ-H09	35
3S-MZ-H10	36
3S-MZ-M01	37
3S-MZ-M02	38
3S-MZ-M03	39
3S-MZ-M04	40
3S-MZ-M05	41
3S-MZ-L01	42
3S-MZ-L02	43
3S-MZ-L03	44
3S-MZ-L04	45
3S-MZ-L05	46
3S-MZ-L06	47
3S-MZ-L07	48
3S-MZ-N01	49
3S-MZ-N02	50
3S-MZ-N03	51
3S-MZ-N04	52
3S-MZ-N05	53
3S-MZ-N06	54

3S-MZ-N07	55
3S-MZ-N08	56

# Summary

Code Audit

Singularity KYB/KYC'd confidential DeFi infrastructure layer

# Summary

Three Sigma Labs audited Singularity in a 16 person week engagement. The audit was conducted from 26-02-2024 to 19-04-2024.

## Protocol Description

Singularity is a KYC/KYB enabled modular confidential DeFi infrastructure integrated with major DeFi protocols so users can leverage existing on-chain liquidity while their on-chain actions remain obfuscated. Singularity primary users are institutional funds or individuals who want to preserve commercial confidentiality on-chain. Singularity uses the UltraPLONK proof system with zero-knowledge circuits based on Noir.

# Scope

Code Audit

**Singularity KYB/KYC'd confidential DeFi infrastructure layer**

# Scope

## Circuits

Filepath	nLOC
circuits/curve_add_liquidity/src/main.nr	109
circuits/curve_exchange/src/main.nr	43
circuits/curve_multi_exchange/src/main.nr	43
circuits/curve_remove_liquidity/src/main.nr	77
circuits/deposit/src/main.nr	23
circuits/fuzk/src/lib.nr	213
circuits/join_split/src/main.nr	88
circuits/join/src/main.nr	77
circuits/split/src/main.nr	65
circuits/swap/src/main.nr	53
circuits/transfer/src/main.nr	52
circuits/uniswap_collect_fees/src/main.nr	53
circuits/uniswap_lp/src/main.nr	67
circuits/uniswap_remove_liquidity/src/main.nr	60
circuits/uniswap_swap/src/main.nr	41
circuits/withdraw/src/main.nr	39
SUM	1103

## Smart Contracts

Filepath	nLOC
contracts/core/base/BaseAssetManager.sol	205
contracts/core/base/BaseAssetPool.sol	39
contracts/core/base/BaseInputBuilder.sol	10
contracts/core/DarkpoolAssetManager.sol	346
contracts/core/DarkpoolInputBuilder.sol	121
contracts/core/FeeManager.sol	110
contracts/core/KeyringManager.sol	48
contracts/core/MerkleTreeOperator.sol	150
contracts/core/pools/ERC20AssetPool.sol	32

Filepath	nLOC
contracts/core/pools/ERC721AssetPool.sol	37
contracts/core/pools/ETHAssetPool.sol	22
contracts/core/RelayerHub.sol	26
contracts/core/VerifierHub.sol	56
contracts/defi/curve/CurveAssetManagerHelper.sol	70
contracts/defi/curve/CurveCPAssetManager.sol	571
contracts/defi/curve/CurveInputBuilder.sol	103
contracts/defi/curve/CurveMultiExchangeAssetManager.sol	203
contracts/defi/curve/CurveSingleExchangeAssetManager.sol	180
contracts/defi/curve/CurveSLPAssetManager.sol	597
contracts/defi/curve/CurveSPPAssetManager.sol	557
contracts/defi/uniswap/libraries/PoolAddress.sol	40
contracts/defi/uniswap/UniswapAssetManager.sol	831
contracts/defi/uniswap/UniswapInputBuilder.sol	107
SUM	4461

## Assumptions

External libraries such as OpenZeppelin are considered safe.

# Methodology

Code Audit

Singularity KYB/KYC'd confidential DeFi infrastructure layer

# Methodology

To begin, we reasoned meticulously about the contract's business logic, checking security-critical features to ensure that there were no gaps in the business logic and/or inconsistencies between the aforementioned logic and the implementation. Second, we thoroughly examined the code for known security flaws and attack vectors. Finally, we discussed the most catastrophic situations with the team and reasoned backwards to ensure they are not reachable in any unintentional form.

## Taxonomy

In this audit we report our findings using as a guideline Immunefi's vulnerability taxonomy, which can be found at [immunefi.com/severity-updated/](https://immunefi.com/severity-updated/). The final classification takes into account the severity, according to the previous link, and likelihood of the exploit. The following table summarizes the general expected classification according to severity and likelihood; however, each issue will be evaluated on a case-by-case basis and may not strictly follow it.

Severity / Likelihood	LOW	MEDIUM	HIGH
NONE	None		
LOW	Low		
MEDIUM	Low	Medium	Medium
HIGH	Medium	High	High
CRITICAL	High	Critical	Critical

# Project Dashboard

## Code Audit

**Singularity** KYB/KYC'd confidential DeFi infrastructure layer

# Project Dashboard

## Application Summary

Name	Singularity
Commit	4018a576c5f38a2dbf5ef128bc33195f37827273
Language	Solidity and Noir
Platform	Ethereum

## Engagement Summary

Timeline	26-02-2024 to 19-04-2024
Nº of Auditors	2
Review Time	16 person weeks

## Vulnerability Summary

Issue Classification	Found	Addressed	Acknowledged
Critical	5	5	0
High	10	10	0
Medium	5	3	2
Low	7	5	2

None	8	7	0
------	---	---	---

## Category Breakdown

Suggestion	6
Documentation	0
Bug	25
Optimization	1
Good Code Practices	3

# Code Maturity Evaluation

Code Audit

**Singularity** KYB/KYC'd confidential DeFi infrastructure layer

# Code Maturity Evaluation

## Code Maturity Evaluation Guidelines

Category	Evaluation
Access Controls	The use of robust access controls to handle identification and authorization and to ensure safe interactions with the system.
Arithmetic	The proper use of mathematical operations and semantics.
Centralization	The presence of a decentralized governance structure for mitigating insider threats and managing risks posed by contract upgrades
Code Stability	The extent to which the code was altered during the audit.
Upgradeability	The presence of parameterizations of the system that allow modifications after deployment.
Function Composition	The functions are generally small and have clear purposes.
Front-Running	The system's resistance to front-running attacks.
Monitoring	All operations that change the state of the system emit events, making it simple to monitor the state of the system. These events need to be correctly emitted.
Specification	The presence of comprehensive and readable codebase documentation.
Testing and Verification	The presence of robust testing procedures (e.g., unit tests, integration tests, and verification methods) and sufficient test coverage.

## Code Maturity Evaluation Results

Category	Evaluation
Access Controls	<b>Satisfactory.</b> The codebase has a strong access control mechanism.
Arithmetic	<b>Satisfactory.</b> The codebase uses Solidity version >0.8.0 as well as takes the correct measures in rounding the results of arithmetic operations.
Centralization	<b>Satisfactory.</b> The owner does not have significant control over the funds.
Code Stability	<b>Satisfactory.</b> No new functionality was being added throughout the audit.
Upgradeability	<b>Weak.</b> The protocol is not upgradeable.
Function Composition	<b>Moderate.</b> Functionality was well split into functions, but the codebase has significant code duplication.
Front-Running	<b>Satisfactory.</b> No significant frontrunning opportunities were found.
Monitoring	<b>Satisfactory.</b> Events are correctly emitted for the most significant state changes.
Specification	<b>Satisfactory.</b> The code matched the design specifications.
Testing and Verification	<b>Moderate.</b> Unit tests were present for most functionality but fuzzing and invariant tests could be performed.

# Findings

Code Audit

Singularity KYB/KYC'd confidential DeFi infrastructure layer

# Findings

## 3S-MZ-C01

Checks-effects-interations pattern is not always followed, which can be used to drain all tokens

Id	3S-MZ-C01
Classification	Critical
Severity	Critical
Likelihood	High
Category	Bug
Status	Addressed in <a href="#">#8b300f0</a> .

### Description

[Here](#) is a writeup about the pattern. Essentially state changes should be handled before interacting with external contracts (for example, when sending **ETH** via `.call("")`, to avoid reentrancy.

[Here](#) is an example of the code not following it. `_postWithdraw()` should happen before sending **ETH**. So a user can call `withdrawETH()`, get execution when the **ETH** is sent, use the same note commitment (still not marked used) to swap on uniswap and double spend. This can be done in a loop to drain all tokens.

### Recommendation

Ensure that the codebase follows this pattern.

## 3S-MZ-C02

Curve multi exchange does not validate **assetIn** and **assetOut** against **route**

Id	3S-MZ-C02
Classification	Critical
Severity	Critical
Likelihood	High
Category	Bug
Status	Addressed in <a href="#">#8b300f0</a> .

### Description

**CurveMultiExchangeAssetManager::curveMultiExchange()** receives arguments **assetIn**, **assetOut** and **route**.

Route is defined as **Array of [initial token, pool or zap, token, pool or zap, token, ...]**.

The **CurveRouter::exchange()** assumes the **assetIn** is **route[0]** and **assetOut** is the last non null address in **route** that represents a token out. This means one of the indexes 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, depending on which one precedes a null.

Thus, knowing that this validation is missing, it's possible to specify an **assetOut** of a valuable token, but place in the route a fake coin. By doing so, and introducing a malicious pool to swap, the exchange contract will receive a large amount of fake coins, returning this amount, but the **CurveMultiExchangeAssetManager** will think it is **assetOut** instead.

The transaction could still revert when transferring **assetOut**, as the exchange did not actually send the real **assetOut**, but it won't due to issue #12. However, the deposit note is still created and the funds can be stolen.

[Here](#) is a poc.

### Recommendation

**assetIn** should be **route[0]** and **assetOut** should match the last non null token index, as described above.

## 3S-MZ-C03

DarkPoolAssetManager can be drained by looping over **join()**, **joinSplit()** or **swap()** with only some initial amount

Id	3S-MZ-C03
Classification	Critical
Severity	Critical
Likelihood	High
Category	Bug
Status	Addressed in <a href="#">#8b300f0</a> .

### Description

`DarkPoolAssetManager::join()`, `DarkPoolAssetManager::joinSplit()` and `DarkPoolAssetManager::swap()` don't check if the 2 notes are the same. Thus, using only 1 note, it's possible to double the amount of funds available. When used in a loop, this enables draining the whole asset manager.

Here is the poc for **join()** and **joinSplit** and here for **swap()**.

### Recommendation

Check if the input notes are the same.

## 3S-MZ-C04

Stuck ETH in Curve exchanges due to sending `msg.value` to the exchange instead of `amountIn`

Id	3S-MZ-C04
Classification	Critical
Severity	Critical
Likelihood	High
Category	Bug
Status	Addressed in <a href="#">#8b300f0</a> .

### Description

The curve exchanges allow users who deposited to use their note commitments to swap for other assets. Thus, they have already deposited the `ETH`, but the exchanges still [require](#) the `amountIn` to be `msg.value`, leading to stuck `ETH`.

POC [here](#).

### Recommendation

Replace `IExchange(exchangeContract).exchange{value: msg.value}` by `IExchange(exchangeContract).exchange{value: amountIn}`.

## 3S-MZ-C05

Reusing the same **rho** and **pubKey** in different deposits leads to lost tokens

Id	3S-MZ-C05
Classification	Critical
Severity	Critical
Likelihood	Medium
Category	Bug
Status	Addressed in <a href="#">#8b300f0</a> .

### Description

The **nullifier** is formed from **rho** and the schnorr **pubKey**. This means that depositing with different assets and amounts will still have the same nullifier, leading to the inability to move the following deposits with the same **rho** and **pubKey**.

Check the poc [here](#) for details.

### Recommendation

Either enforce the nullifier uniqueness (via unique **rho** and **pubkey** combination) or use the note as nullifier.

## 3S-MZ-H01

Note footers should not be 0 in `curveRemoveLiquidity()` if the corresponding `assetOuts` are non null

Id	3S-MZ-H01
Classification	High
Severity	Critical
Likelihood	Low
Category	Bug
Status	Addressed in <a href="#">#8b300f0</a> .

### Description

In `curveRemoveLiquidity()`, the note footer should not be allowed to be 0 if `assetOut` is non null, as this could lead to duplicate note footers.

### Recommendation

Revert if the note footer is 0 but the corresponding asset out is not.

## 3S-MZ-H02

**UniswapLiquidityAssetManager::validateCollectFeesArgs()**  
validates nullifier instead of note footer

Id	3S-MZ-H02
Classification	High
Severity	Critical
Likelihood	Low
Category	Bug
Status	Addressed in <a href="#">#8b300f0</a> .

### Description

**UniswapLiquidityAssetManager::validateCollectFeesArgs()** allows using the same note footers as it checks for nullifiers, when it should check for note footers.

### Recommendation

Check for note footers correctly.

## 3S-MZ-H03

**CurveAssetManagerHelper::validateAssets()** should check that the number of assets provided is smaller than the maximum of the pool

Id	3S-MZ-H03
Classification	High
Severity	Critical
Likelihood	Low
Category	Bug
Status	Addressed in <a href="#">#8b300f0</a> .

### Description

`CurveAssetManagerHelper::validateAssets()` allows sending assets with indexes bigger than the maximum allowed of a pool (`num_coins`). This could lead to users losing tokens or unexpected behaviours.

### Recommendation

Validate that the number of assets sent is at most `num_coins`.

## 3S-MZ-H04

All curve params should be signed by the schnorr private key in the proof, or users may be grieved

Id	3S-MZ-H04
Classification	High
Severity	Critical
Likelihood	Low
Category	Bug
Status	Addressed in <a href="#">#8b300f0</a> .

### Description

An example of this issue is for example [here](#).

If the last bit of `isLegacy` is 0, it checks `address(this).balance`, otherwise it `IERC20(_WETH_ADDRESS).balanceOf(address(this))`. This means that if for the same pool, the wrong `isLegacy` is used, 0 `outAmounts[i]` will be recorded.

### Recommendation

Either send the curve params in the proof and sign them with the schnorr private key or set a mapping from pool to the correct pool params.

## 3S-MZ-H05

Curve multi exchange can be used to withdraw assets without paying fees

Id	3S-MZ-H05
Classification	High
Severity	High
Likelihood	High
Category	Bug
Status	Addressed in <a href="#">#8b300f0</a> .

### Description

`CurveMultiExchangeAssetManager::exchange()` allows sending any `route`, which is of type `Array of [initial token, pool or zap, token, pool or zap, token, ...]`. The `pool or zap` chosen indexes are not validated, which means any contract can be specified. Thus, users can create a contract with the same interface as `pool or zap` and receive the `amountIn` there. Then, only send back a very small amount of token out to bypass the fee manager check in `calculateFee()` of the `amount` being bigger than `serviceCharge + relayerRefund`. The service fees will be very small and the user will get the funds right away.

The [poc](#) for issue #13 contains an example of a malicious `swap` contract.

### Recommendation

Apply the fees when releasing `assetIn` instead, this way it can not be gamed.

## 3S-MZ-H06

Anyone can deposit to **DarkpoolAssetManager** as the **owner** can be freely chosen without any implication

Id	3S-MZ-H06
Classification	High
Severity	High
Likelihood	High
Category	Bug
Status	Addressed in <a href="#">#8b300f0</a> .

### Description

`DarkpoolAssetManager::depositETH()` and `DarkpoolAssetManager::depositERC20()` allow choosing any owner, while the `msg.sender` still receives the deposit.

### Recommendation

The owner should be the `msg.sender` or the owner signature should be validated (so it can be relayed).

## 3S-MZ-H07

Attackers can include other users nullifiers to make their funds stuck when adding liquidity to curve

Id	3S-MZ-H07
Classification	High
Severity	Critical
Likelihood	Low
Category	Bug
Status	Addressed in <a href="#">#8b300f0</a> .

### Description

`CurveLiquidityAssetManager::curveAddLiquidity()` always spends the nullifiers, even if the amounts used are 0. In the `circuit`, if the amount is 0, it does not validate the nullifier against the user signature, making it possible to include nullifiers from other users in the same transaction and losing their funds forever.

[Here](#) is the poc.

### Recommendation

In `CurveAddLiquidityAssetManager::_addLiquidity()` skip `_postWithdraw()` if the amount is 0.

## 3S-MZ-H08

`_addLiquidity()` slippage is incorrectly set

Id	3S-MZ-H08
Classification	High
Severity	High
Likelihood	High
Category	Bug
Status	Addressed in <a href="#">#8b300f0</a> .

### Description

The slippage is computed as:

```
mintAmount = _args.isLegacy & 12 == 12 ?
    IPools(_args.pool).calc_token_amount(curveInputAmounts)
    : IPools(_args.pool).calc_token_amount(curveInputAmounts, true);
mintAmount = (mintAmount / 100) * 95;
```

This gets the `mintAmount` value post price manipulation, rendering the slippage protection useless. Also, hardcoding a parameter of **95%** slippage is not ideal.

[Here](#) is a similar finding.

### Recommendation

There are 2 solutions to this problem:

1. Send the minimum mint amount as argument.
2. Use an offchain oracle such as Chainlink.

## 3S-MZ-H09

Anyone can frontrun a relayer interaction with the same arguments but a much higher/lower relayer fee

Id	3S-MZ-H09
Classification	High
Severity	Critical
Likelihood	Low
Category	Bug
Status	Addressed in <a href="#">#02e5da2</a> and <a href="#">#99f0220</a> .

### Description

The relayer fee used throughout the codebase is not enforced anywhere, such that it's possible to frontrun a legit transaction and set a much lower/higher relayer fee, harming the relayer/user.

### Recommendation

There are several ways to tackle this issue:

1. Assert that the relayer is the `msg.sender`.
2. Place a cap on the relayer fee.
3. The relayer gas fee could be part of the message that the user signs.

## 3S-MZ-H10

**DarkpoolAssetManager::Split()** into 2 equal amounts leads to lost funds

Id	3S-MZ-H10
Classification	High
Severity	Critical
Likelihood	Low
Category	Bug
Status	Addressed in <a href="#">#8b300f0</a> .

### Description

When using [DarkpoolAssetManager::Split\(\)](#), there is no check to make sure that the 2 resulting notes are not equal, making it impossible to use the second note after the first one as the nullifier will be used by then.

Check the poc [here](#).

### Recommendation

In [DarkpoolAssetManager::Split\(\)](#) add a `_noteOut1 != _noteOut2` check.

## 3S-MZ-M01

Service fees should depend on asset

Id	3S-MZ-M01
Classification	Medium
Severity	Medium
Likelihood	High
Category	Suggestion
Status	Acknowledged

### Description

Service fees are fixed, but they should depend on the asset, as their price differs significantly. Users are likely to arbitrage this.

### Recommendation

Set a mapping for service fees.

## 3S-MZ-M02

**CurveAddLiquidityAssetManager::curveAddLiquidity()** does not deal correctly with **isLegacy = 0b10** and **ETH**

Id	3S-MZ-M02
Classification	Medium
Severity	Medium
Likelihood	Medium
Category	Bug
Status	Addressed in <a href="#">#8b300f0</a> .

### Description

If **isETH**, but **args.isLegacy** is 1, it wraps to **weth** and allows pool, see [here](#).

If **args.islegacy** is **0b10** and the asset is **ETH**, it does not send **ETH** to the pool, [here](#).

Additionally, it seems that it expects the ether balance to increase, which is unexpected, **mintAmount = address(this).balance - initAmount;**, as can be seen [here](#).

### Recommendation

It seems that the **mintAmount** would always be

**IERC20(\_args.lpToken).balanceOf(address(this)) - initAmount**, where **initAmount = IERC20(\_args.lpToken).balanceOf(address(this));**, but a pool example should be given to confirm.

## 3S-MZ-M03

Uniswap asset managers are missing slippage checks

Id	3S-MZ-M03
Classification	Medium
Severity	Medium
Likelihood	High
Category	Bug
Status	Addressed in <a href="#">#8b300f0</a> .

### Description

All Uniswap interactions are missing a deadline argument and are not setting the following slippage protection arguments in **UniswapLiquidityAssetManager**:

- **amount0Min** and **amount1Min** in **MintParams**.
- **amount0Min** and **amount1Min** in **DecreaseLiquidityParams**.

**UniswapSwapAssetManager** sets the **minAmountOut** value, but is not signed by the user in the circuit, so it could be gamed.

### Recommendation

These arguments should be part of the proof and the user should sign them to prevent slippage.

## 3S-MZ-M04

No support for fee on transfer tokens

Id	3S-MZ-M04
Classification	Medium
Severity	High
Likelihood	Low
Category	Bug
Status	Acknowledged

### Description

Fee on transfer tokens are not correctly dealt, as the `transferFrom()` call is expected to transfer exactly the requested amount. However, this is not the case for tokens that charge a fee on transfer.

### Recommendation

To support these tokens, check the balance before calling `safeTransferFrom()` and compare with the new balance, getting the actual transferred amount.

## 3S-MZ-M05

Some **ETH** transfers don't revert if they fail

Id	3S-MZ-M05
Classification	Medium
Severity	Medium
Likelihood	Medium
Category	Bug
Status	Addressed in <a href="#">#8b300f0</a> .

### Description

**ETH** transfers should revert if they fail. Although this issue alone will not lead to exploits, it increases the attack surface.

### Recommendation

Revert if the transfers fails in:

- [Curve remove liquidity](#).
- [Curve multi exchange](#).
- [Curve single exchange](#).

## 3S-MZ-L01

Curve pools should be whitelisted as some of them may not be **100%** compatible

Id	3S-MZ-L01
Classification	Low
Severity	Medium
Likelihood	Low
Category	Suggestion
Status	Acknowledged

### Description

Curve pools vary significantly between one another, which could lead to unexpected behaviour. For example, it may be possible to add liquidity to a certain curve pool, but not remove it, due to incompatibilities, leading to lost funds.

### Recommendation

Whitelist curve pools that have been tested to work.

## 3S-MZ-L02

Missing event in `VerifierHub::setVerifier()`

Id	3S-MZ-L02
Classification	Low
Severity	Low
Likelihood	High
Category	Suggestion
Status	Addressed in <a href="#">#8b300f0</a> .

---

### Description

`VerifierHub::setVerifier()` should emit an even when a `Verifier` is set.

---

### Recommendation

Emit events for all relevant state changes.

## 3S-MZ-L03

Setting note commitments, nullifiers and note footers used should revert if they are already set to prevent exploits

Id	3S-MZ-L03
Classification	Low
Severity	Low
Likelihood	Low
Category	Bug
Status	Addressed in <a href="#">#8b300f0</a> .

### Description

Setting note commitments, nullifiers and note footers should never happen after they are already set, in which case the transaction should revert, as it is some clear attempt of an exploit.

### Recommendation

Revert if they are already set.

## 3S-MZ-L04

**ERC20AssetPool** and **ERC721AssetPool** should have the **nonReentrant** modifier as **ERC721** and some tokens have callbacks

Id	3S-MZ-L04
Classification	Low
Severity	Low
Likelihood	Low
Category	Bug
Status	Addressed in <a href="#">#8b300f0</a> .

### Description

**ERC721** implements a callback when transferring tokens.

Some tokens, such as **ERC777** implement callbacks when transferring.

Both these tokens could lead to reentrancy.

### Recommendation

Implement the **nonReentrant** modifier for **ERC20AssetPool** and **ERC721AssetPool**.

## 3S-MZ-L05

Generating numbers smaller than  $P$  by doing  $\% P$  might be vulnerable

Id	3S-MZ-L05
Classification	Low
Severity	Low
Likelihood	Low
Category	Bug
Status	Addressed in <a href="#">#8b300f0</a> .

### Description

For example, in [BaseInputBuilder::\\_bytifyToNoir\(\)](#)

```
_bytifyToNoir(address value) internal view returns (bytes32) {
    return bytes32(uint256(keccak256(abi.encode(value))) % _primeField);
}
```

- 1) the hashes are not unique, num A and num B = A + P will yield the same %
- 2) doing  $\% P$  introduces a bias in the hash where smaller values are more frequent. This makes it easier to brute force solutions

Thus, it may be possible (not sure about the required power) to specify a different address that leads to the same number supplied in the proof

See this [writeup](#), Bug: Generating prime field elements.

Possible attack scenario: withdraw with a different address, leading to lost funds.

### Recommendation

Use the [Mimc254](#) contract to generate safer hashes.

## 3S-MZ-L06

Uniswap collect fees should skip collecting fees of one of the tokens if the amount is 0

Id	3S-MZ-L06
Classification	Low
Severity	Medium
Likelihood	Low
Category	Bug
Status	Addressed in <a href="#">#8b300f0</a> .

### Description

Uniswap positions may collect fees in only of the tokens, leading to 0 fees in the other. This will cause the fee manager to revert in [calculateFee\(\)](#).

### Recomendation

Skip transferring the funds if the amount is 0.

## 3S-MZ-L07

**MerkleTreeOperator::getMerklePath()** will revert due to OOG after enough elements

Id	3S-MZ-L07
Classification	Low
Severity	Low
Likelihood	Low
Category	Bug
Status	Acknowledged

### Description

**MerkleTreeOperator::getMerklePath()** searches the tree in linear time for the index of the requested `_noteCommitment`. Thus, it will run out of gas or timeout when using rpc providers when enough leaves are added.

### Recommendation

Store the index of each leaf in a mapping.

## 3S-MZ-N01

Variables are initialized to **0** by default

Id	3S-MZ-N01
Classification	None
Category	Good Code Practices
Status	Addressed in <a href="#">#8b300f0</a> .

---

### Description

Some variables such as [these](#) are initialized to **0**, which is not necessary.

---

### Recommendation

Consider not initializing the variables as it is not required.

## 3S-MZ-N02

**UniswapLiquidityAssetManager::uniswapLiquidityProvision()**  
could return **tokenId**

Id	3S-MZ-N02
Classification	None
Category	Good Code Practices
Status	Addressed in <a href="#">#8b300f0</a> .

---

### Description

`UniswapLiquidityAssetManager::uniswapLiquidityProvision()` could return the **tokenId** for better verbosity.

---

### Recommendation

Return the **tokenId**.

## 3S-MZ-N03

Spelling errors throughout the codebase

Id	3S-MZ-N03
Classification	None
Category	Good Code Practices
Status	Addressed in <a href="#">#8b300f0</a> .

### Description

Some spelling errors can be found in the codebase:

<https://github.com/portalgateme/darkpool-v1-zk-contracts-fork/blob/master/contracts/core/base/BaseAssetPool.sol#L17>

<https://github.com/portalgateme/darkpool-v1-zk-contracts-fork/blob/master/contracts/defi/curve/CurveAssetManagerHelper.sol#L179>

<https://github.com/portalgateme/darkpool-v1-zk-contracts-fork/blob/master/contracts/defi/curve/CurveAssetManagerHelper.sol#L147>

### Recommendation

Fix the spelling mistakes.

## 3S-MZ-N04

Unused **noteCommitment** parameter in **UniswapRemoveLiquidityInputs struct**

Id	3S-MZ-N04
Classification	None
Category	Bug
Status	Addressed in <a href="#">#8b300f0</a> .

---

### Description

**UniswapRemoveLiquidityInputs struct** in **UniswapInputBuilder** has a parameter named **positionNoteCommitment** which is not required.

---

### Recommendation

Remove the mentioned parameter.

## 3S-MZ-N05

Missing proof identifier, which could lead to using the same proof in another method

Id	3S-MZ-N05
Classification	None
Category	Suggestion
Status	Addressed in <a href="#">#8b300f0</a> .

### Description

There is no identifier in the circuits corresponding to the method that the proof relates to. This means that if 2 circuits have exactly the same inputs, the same proof could be used for more than 1 method. Thus, if a user intended to do some action, when the proof became exposed in the mempool, it could be used to perform another action.

There are no known situations where the same proof can be used, but it is still a possibility.

### Recommendation

Each circuit should have an identifier such that the proof can only match to prevent using it in another method.

## 3S-MZ-N06

Some state variables such as **nullifier**, **note**, **noteFooter** and so on should revert if already set

Id	3S-MZ-N06
Classification	None
Category	Suggestion
Status	New Issue

### Description

**nullifier**, **note**, **noteFooter** and so on are not expected to be set more than once, in which case it's a bug somewhere.

### Recommendation

When setting these parameters, revert if they are already set.

## 3S-MZ-N07

**UniswapLiquidityAssetManager** registers the note footer twice

Id	3S-MZ-N07
Classification	None
Category	Optimization
Status	Addressed in <a href="#">#8b300f0</a> .

### Description

The note footers are registered twice.

<https://github.com/portalgateme/darkpool-v1-zk-contracts-fork/blob/master/contracts/defi/uniswap/UniswapLiquidityAssetManager.sol#L574-L575>

<https://github.com/portalgateme/darkpool-v1-zk-contracts-fork/blob/master/contracts/defi/uniswap/UniswapLiquidityAssetManager.sol#L532-L533>

### Recommendation

Only register once.

## 3S-MZ-N08

**BaseAssetManager** missing 0 address checks in the constructor

Id	3S-MZ-N08
Classification	None
Category	Suggestion
Status	Addressed in <a href="#">#8b300f0</a> .

---

### Description

0 address checks in the constructor are a safety check to ensure addresses are correctly checked. The **BaseAssetManager**, which is inherited by all pool managers, could perform these checks.

---

### Recommendation

Place these checks in the **BaseAssetManager**.