

THE RST (RICHARD-SPENCER-TENNIEL) PROJECT

PROBLEM DESCRIPTION

- (1) Suppose you are given an $m \times n$ matrix $B = (b_{ij})$ such that
 - $b_{ij} \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots, R\}$
 - $(i_0, j_0), (i_f, j_f) \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\} \times \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$
 - $b_{i_0 j_0}, b_{i_f j_f} \in \{0, 1\}$
- (2) The matrix B represents a battleground where a robot will start at $b_{i_0 j_0}$ and seek the goal $b_{i_f j_f}$. Further, an entry of
 - 0 designates fog is not present in that position.
 - 1 designates fog is present in that position.
 - 2 designates a chasm in that position.
 - 3, 4, 5, \dots , R designates a later described obstruction.
- (3) The scenario is bound by the following constraints.
 - Your robot can only move in the $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ directions. Hence, any path from $(0, 0)$ to $(1, 1)$ has length ≥ 2 .
 - Upon entering an unvisited position, all adjacent cells containing fog will lose their fog designation.
 - You can only reach your goal position if a (valid) fog-free path exists from the current position to the goal position.
 - You cannot enter a cell containing a chasm or type-3, 4, 5, \dots , R obstruction.
- (4) The robot will have the following information provided upon initialization
 - The dimensions m and n
 - The starting position $b_{i_0 j_0}$
 - The goal position $b_{i_f j_f}$
- (5) The aforementioned obstructions can be interpreted as three (not necessarily distinct) mountain ranges such that
 - The values of 3, 4, 5, \dots , R determine the boundaries of the minimal encapsulating set¹ containing those particular instances of 3, 4, 5, \dots , R . That is, form the minimal encapsulating set containing 3s, the minimal encapsulating set containing 4s, and so on. The minimal encapsulating sets will occupy some non-negative quantity of cells.²
 - The encapsulating sets may have non-empty intersection; form the union of the encapsulating sets in this case.
 - In simple terms, we describe the minimal encapsulating set by imagining stretching a rubber band so that it encapsulates all of those squares filled with a 3, 4, etc. If the rubber band “holds” at least half of a given square’s area, then it is considered part of the set. The exception to this is if a particular square contains a chasm. In this case, it remains a chasm.³

DESIRED OUTCOME(S)

A path (if it exists) from $b_{i_0 j_0}$ to $b_{i_f j_f}$.

AESTHETICS

- You should display a graphical representation of the “map” and the traversal of the map by the robot as it decides upon its path.

INTENDED REPRESENTATION

1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	0		1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	
0	1	4	1	2	4	1	1	1		1	1	4	1	2	4	1	1	1	
1	1	1	2	1	1	4	1	1		1	1	4	1	1	1	1	1	1	
1	2	2	4	1	1	1	1	1	2										
1	1	1	1	3	1	1	1	1	2										
0	1	1	1	1	1	3	1	1											
0	1	3	1	1	2	1	1	1											
1	0	2	0	1	2	1	1	1											

→

1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	0		1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	
0	1	4	1	2	4	1	1	1		1	1	4	1	2	4	1	1	1	
1	1	1	2	1	1	4	1	1		1	1	4	1	1	1	1	1	1	
1	2	2	4	1	1	1	1	1	2										
1	1	1	1	3	1	1	1	1	2										
0	1	1	1	1	1	3	1	1											
0	1	3	1	1	2	1	1	1											
1	0	2	0	1	2	1	1	1											

→

1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	0		1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	
0	1	4	4	4	4	4	4	1	1										
1	1	4	4	4	4	4	4	1	1										
1	2	2	4	4	4	4	1	1	2										
1	1	1	3	3	3	3	1	1	2										
0	1	3	3	3	3	3	1	1											
0	1	3	3	3	2	1	1	1											
1	0	2	0	1	2	1	1	1											

¹This is related to the convex hull, but the encapsulating set is not necessarily convex per the forthcoming conventions.

²Note there could be zero instances of 3, 4, \dots , R .

³This clearly has no impact on the solution.