Integrating Debugging with Continuous Testing

Malcolm Stone University of Glasgow 2250593s@student.gla.ac.uk

ACM Reference Format:

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

27

29

30

31

33

34

35

36

37

38

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

Malcolm Stone and Roly Perera. 2017. Integrating Debugging with Continuous Testing. In Proceedings of ACM Conference (Conference'17). ACM, New York, NY, USA, 2 pages. https://doi.org/10. 1145/nnnnnnn.nnnnnnn

Live programming for verification. In prior work [4–6] we explored a form of continuous automated testing [3, 7] for a simple concurrent object-oriented language. The approach, which we called *live programming for verification*, explores the state space of the program in the background as the user writes code, automatically detecting state-related communication errors. Bad runtime configurations are reported to the user as errors in their source code.

```
buyer1*price-request(title)
buyer1∢price-response(15)
buyer1►{
      buyer2>payment(balance).
main buy(title)
   seller∢price-request(title)
   seller price-response(price)
   math∢div(price, 2)
   math ▶ val(offer
   buyer2 < quote(offer)
   buyer2►{
         math minus (price, offer
         math val (balance)
         seller∢agree
         seller <payment(balance)
         seller∢quit
         math < close •
```

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than ACM must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from permissions@acm.org.

Conference'17, July 2017, Washington, DC, USA © 2017 Association for Computing Machinery. ACM ISBN 978-x-xxxx-xxxx-x/YY/MM...\$15.00 https://doi.org/10.1145/nnnnnnnnnnnnnn

Roly Perera University of Glasgow roly.perera@glasgow.ac.uk 57

59

60

61

63

67

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

82

84

85

86

88

89

90

91

93

95

97

99

101

103

105

107

108

109

110

In the first figure (below left), the coloured underlining under the • (indicating a terminal state) and the identifier seller indicates the existence of a state where the object buyer1 needs to send the payment message to seller but the latter has terminated and is unable to accept any messages.

The present work extends the implementation with a debugger that allows the programmer to manually explore the state space and also to jump to the runtime state associated with any reported error. In the left figure, the debugger is active with the seller thread blocked (indicated by the orange colour) and the buyer1 thread able to proceed by accepting the buy message from main (highlighted in green).

The figure below illustrates the "jump to error state" feature. The programmer can click on the underlining associated with an error to step the debugger to the point in the execution where the communication error was detected. Here, the buyer1 thread has advanced to the point where it is trying to communicate with seller, whose execution has now reached the terminal state •.

```
buyer1 price - request(title)
buyer1∢price-response(15)
buyer1►
      buyer2 payment(balance) .
main buy(title)
   seller∢price-request(title)
   seller price-response(price)
   math∢div(price, 2
   math ▶ val(offer
   buyer2 quote(offer)
   buyer2►
         math∢minus(price, offer
         math > val(balance)
         seller∢agree
                         (balance)
         seller
         math <close•
         seller quit
         math < close •
```

Future work. To turn "jump to error state" into a complete feature we plan to add causal-consistent reversibility to the debugger, inspired by work on reversible process calculi [1, 2]. This will allow the programmer to work backwards from error states to diagnose the cause of the error.

References

- V. Danos and J. Krivine. Reversible communicating systems. In P. Gardner and N. Yoshida, editors, Concurrency Theory, 15th International Conference, CONCUR '04, volume 3170 of LNCS, pages 292–307. Springer, 2004.
- [2] I. Lanese, C. A. Mezzina, and J.-B. Stefani. Reversing higher-order pi. In Concurrency Theory, 21st International Conference, CONCUR '10, pages 478–493. Springer-Verlag, 2010.
- [3] L. Madeyski and M. Kawalerowicz. Continuous test-driven development A novel agile software development practice and supporting tool. In ENASE 2013 Proceedings of the 8th International Conference on Evaluation of Novel Approaches to Software Engineering, pages 260–267, 2013.
- [4] R. Perera and S. J. Gay. Behavioural prototypes (extended abstract). In 0th Workshop on New Object-Oriented Languages (NOOL) 2015, 2016.
- [5] R. Perera and S. J. Gay. Liveness for verification. In Second Workshop on Live Programming Systems (LIVE '16), 2016.
- [6] R. Perera, J. Lange, and S. J. Gay. Multiparty compatibility for concurrent objects. In D. Orchard and N. Yoshida, editors, Proceedings of the Ninth workshop on Programming Language Approaches to Concurrency and Communication-Centric Software (PLACES '16), volume 211 of Electronic Proceedings in Theoretical Computer Science, pages 73–82. Open Publishing Association, 2016.
- [7] D. Saff and M. D. Ernst. Continuous testing in Eclipse. In 2nd Eclipse Technology Exchange Workshop (eTX), Barcelona, Spain, 2004.