```
a)
```

51, 6, 3, 5, 14, 7, 11

I got this question CORRECT

# **b**)

3, 5, 6, 7, 11, 14, 51

I got this question CORRECT

c)

5, 3, 11, 7, 14, 6, 51

I got this question CORRECT

# Ex 2.2

Note: Here we use function eval(op, x, y), which calculates y op x (e.g. eval(-, 2, 3) calculates 3 - 2 and gives out 1).

#### Algorithm 1 Ex 2.2

```
Input: The current root node R

Output: The value of the subtree rooted at R

1: function EvaluateTree(;;R)

2: if R is a leaf then

3: return R.val

4: else

5: return eval(R.op, EvaluateTree(R.right), EvaluateTree(R.left))

6: end if

7: end function
```

I got this question CORRECT.

Note: Here we pass R by reference to ensure we didn't copy the whole tree. If we have real "pointer" type, then we'd better pass R as value.

a)

```
Algorithm 2 Ex 2.3 a)
Input: The current root node R
Output: The smallest value in the .val fields of the subtree rooted by R
 1: function findSmallestVal(;; R)
       R.small \leftarrow R.val
 2:
 3:
       for each child c of R do
           childVal \leftarrow findSmallestVal(c)
 4:
           if childVal < R.small then
 5:
               R.small \leftarrow childVal
 6:
           end if
 7:
 8:
       end for
       return R.small
 9:
10: end function
```

I got this question CORRECT

**b**)

#### Algorithm 3 Ex 2.3 b)

```
Input: The current root node R
Output: The node pointer of which have smallest value in the .val fields of the subtree rooted by R
1: function findSmallestValandPointer(;; R)
       R.which \leftarrow R
2:
       for each child c of R do
3:
          childSmallestValPointer \leftarrow findSmallestValandPointer(c)
4:
          if childSmallestValPointer.val < R.which.val then
5:
              R.which \leftarrow childSmallestValPointer
6:
          end if
7:
       end for
8:
       R.small \leftarrow R.which.val
9:
10:
       return R.which
```

I got this question CORRECT.

11: end function

Note: Since x.which always point to the vertex which have the smallest value in the subtree rooted at x. Then we have x.small == x.which.val

# **EX 2.4**

a)

```
Algorithm 4 Ex 2.4 a)

Input: The current root node R

Output: Postorder printed .val fields

1: procedure PostorderTraversal(;; R)

2: for each child c of R do

3: PostorderTraversal(c)

4: end for

5: print R.val

6: end procedure
```

I got this question CORRECT

**b**)

```
Algorithm 5 Ex 2.4 b)
```

```
Input: The current root node T and a number X

Output: Rotated Tree and updated X

1: procedure Rotate(;;T,X)

2: for each child c of R do

3: Rotate(c,X)

4: end for

5: temp \leftarrow T.val

6: T.val \leftarrow X

7: X \leftarrow temp

8: end procedure
```

I got this question CORRECT

Note: Here we use function eval(op, x, y), which calculates  $y \ op \ x$  (e.g. eval(-, 2, 3) calculates 3 - 2 and gives out 1).

#### Algorithm 6 Ex 2.5

```
Input: L is a stack that represents an arithmetic expression as describedOutput: Returns the evaluation of L1: function StackEval(;;L)2: x \leftarrow PopFrom(L)3: if x is a number then return x4: else5: return eval(x, StackEval(L), StackEval(L))6: end if7: end function
```

I got this question CORRECT

# **EX 2.6**

#### a)

S and T are exactly reversed lists.

I got this question CORRECT

# b)

In list S, we print the child nodes from left to right, and then we print the root. In list T, we print the root, and then print child nodes from right to left. This holds true when we processing each node. Thus, they are exactly reversed.

I got this question CORRECT

#### c)

Note: Here we use function eval(op, x, y), which calculates  $y \circ p x$  (e.g. eval(-, 2, 3) calculates 3 - 2 and gives out 1).

# Algorithm 7 Ex 2.6c

```
Input: L is a stack that represents an arithmetic expression as described
Output: Returns the evaluation of L
1: function StackEval(;;L)
       create an empty stack tempStack
2:
       while L is not empty do
3:
          x \leftarrow PopFrom(L)
 4:
5:
          if x is a number then
              tempStack.Push(x)
 6:
          else
7:
              num1 \leftarrow PopFrom(tempStack)
8:
              num2 \leftarrow PopFrom(tempStack)
9:
              tempStack.Push(eval(x, num1, num2))
10:
                                                                        ▶ we can also write them in one line:
                                 \triangleright tempStack.Push(eval(x, PopFrom(tempStack), PopFrom(tempStack)))
          end if
11:
       end while
12:
       return PopFrom(tempStack)
13:
14: end function
```

I got this question CORRECT I'm not quite understand why in the solution sheet there's an initial move from original Stack top to the temp Stack. I know that the first element must be a number, but I think the while-loop can do it. I guess, do the initial move can save one If judgment?

# d)

Note: Here we use function eval(op, x, y), which calculates  $y \circ p x$  (e.g. eval(-, 2, 3) calculates 3 - 2 and gives out 1).

#### Algorithm 8 Ex 2.6d

```
Input: L is a doubly linked list that represents an arithmetic expression as described
Output: Returns the evaluation of L
1: function ListEval(;; L)
        while L.next \neq Nil do
                                          \triangleright It's same to say L.next \neq Nil or L \neq EOL, the later one reads better.
2:
            if L.val is a number then
3:
 4:
                L \leftarrow L.next
            else
5:
                L.val \leftarrow eval(L.val, L.prev.val, L.prev.prev.val))
6:
                L.prev \leftarrow L.prev.prev.prev
7:
         > Actually this judgment(3 lines below) is unnecessary if would just like to get the correct answer, because
8:
               if L.prev \neq Nil then
                   L.prev.next \leftarrow L
9:
               end if
10:
               if L.next \neq Nil then
                                                        ▶ Check whether we have already reached head. Unnecessary.
11:
                                          \triangleright It's same to say L.next \neq Nil or L \neq EOL, the later one reads better.
                    L \leftarrow L.next
12:
                end if
13:
            end if
14:
        end while
15:
        return L.val
                                       \triangleright The return value should be L.prev.val. Since at last, L point to EOL record
    (EOL.next = Nil \text{ and } EOL.prev \text{ points to the last operator}), thus answer is stored in the prev record of EOL
17: end function
```

Current solution returned WRONG position of the record. After the last computation, L points to EOL record, and the answer is stored in EOL.prev, which is actually where the last operator was. Thus we should return L.prev.val instead of L.val. The fault I made is that I didn't carefully checked the last return statement. After fix and some clean up, the correct code should be:

# Algorithm 9 Ex 2.6d:revised

```
Input: L is a doubly linked list that represents an arithmetic expression as described
Output: Returns the evaluation of L
 1: function ListEval(;; L)
       while L \neq EOL do
 2:
           if L.val is a number then
 3:
               L \leftarrow L.next
 4:
           else
 5:
               L.val \leftarrow eval(L.val, L.prev.val, L.prev.prev.val))
 6:
               L.prev \leftarrow L.prev.prev.prev
 7:
               if L.prev \neq Nil then
 8:
                   L.prev.next \leftarrow L
 9:
               end if
10:
               L \leftarrow L.next
11:
           end if
12:
        end while
13:
       return L.prev.val
14:
15: end function
```

Note: Actually there could be a bug in the solution sheet if we consider a single number also a legal expression: It didn't initialize B at the beginning of the program, thus will not work for a single number.

#### Algorithm 10 Ex 2.8

```
Input: The current root node R
Output: The number of leafs in the subtree rooted at R
 1: function CountLeafs(;;R)
       if R is a leaf then
 2:
           R.numb \leftarrow 1
 3:
       else
 4:
 5:
           R.numb \leftarrow 0
           for each child c of R do
 6:
              R.numb \leftarrow R.numb + CountLeafs(c)
 7:
           end for
 8:
 9:
       end if
       return R.numb
10:
11: end function
```

I got this question CORRECT

# Ex 2.9

#### Algorithm 11 Ex 2.9

**Input:** The current root node R

Output: The max depth in the subtree rooted at R, meanwhile change the .dis fields in the subtree as required

```
1: function CountDepth(;; R)
       R.dis \leftarrow 0
2:
       for each child c of R do
                                                                                          ▶ Work Correctly for leafs
3:
 4:
           cDis \leftarrow CountDepth(c)
           if cDis > R.dis then
5:
               R.dis \leftarrow cDis
6:
           end if
7:
       end for
8:
       return R.dis + 1
10: end function
```

I got this question CORRECT

Note: I moved the "plus one" to the return statement.

#### Algorithm 12 Ex 2.10

**Input:** The current root node R

**Output:** The max depth in the subtree rooted at R, meanwhile change the .dis1 fields and .dis2 fields in the subtree as required

```
1: function CountDepth(;; R)
        R.dis1 \leftarrow 0
 2:
        R.dis2 \leftarrow -\infty
 3:
 4:
        for each child c of R do
            cDis \leftarrow CountDepth(c)
 5:
            if cDis > R.dis1 then
 6:
                R.dis2 \leftarrow R.dis1
 7:
 8:
                R.dis1 \leftarrow cDis
            else
 9:
                if cDis > R.dis2 then
10:
                    R.dis2 \leftarrow cDis
11:
                end if
12:
            end if
13:
        end for
14:
        return R.dis1 + 1
15:
16: end function
```

#### I got this question CORRECT

Note:

- 1. I moved the "plus one" to the return statement.
- 2. Since inner vertex always have at lease one child, .dis1 will not be  $-\infty$ . Thus it's fine to initialize it with 0

# Ex 2.19

a)

Yes.

I got this question CORRECT

**b**)

No.

I got this question CORRECT

#### c.1)

- 1. At beginning we call Parent(T, Nil)
- 2. Here we assume that we have an overloaded function print, which can correctly accept Vertex pointers, dealing with Nil, and print the name of vertex.

# Algorithm 13 Ex 2.19c1 Input: The root node ROutput: The vertex names in pre-order traversal 1: procedure Parent(;; v, pv)2: print (v, pv)3: for each child c of v do 4: Parent(c, v)5: end for 6: end procedure

I got this question CORRECT.

Note: Here we pass v and pv by reference to ensure we didn't copy the whole tree. If we have real "pointer" type, then we'd better pass them by value.

#### c.2)

- 1. At beginning we call Parent(S, Nil)
- 2. Here we assume that we have an overloaded function print, which can correctly accept Vertex pointers, dealing with Nil, and print the name of vertex.

# Algorithm 14 Ex 2.19c2

```
Input: The root node R
Output: The vertex names in pre-order traversal
1: procedure Parent(;; v, pv)
2:
      if v == Nil then
          return
3:
      else
4:
          print (v, pv)
5:
          Parent(v.left, V)
6:
          Parent(v.right, V)
7:
      end if
9: end procedure
```

I got this question CORRECT.

Note: It's better to judge the leafs before we call an additional function

### d.1)

- 1. At beginning we call Parent(T, Nil)
- 2. Here we assume that we have an overloaded function print, which can correctly accept Vertex pointers, dealing with Nil, and print the name of vertex.

# Algorithm 15 Ex 2.19d1Input: The root node ROutput: The vertex names in post-order traversal

```
1: \mathbf{procedure}\ Parent(;;v,pv)

2: \mathbf{for}\ \mathbf{each}\ \mathbf{child}\ c\ \mathbf{of}\ v\ \mathbf{do}

3: Parent(c,v)

4: \mathbf{end}\ \mathbf{for}

5: \mathbf{print}\ (v,pv)
```

I got this question CORRECT.

6: end procedure

Note: Here we pass v and pv by reference to ensure we didn't copy the whole tree. If we have real "pointer" type, then we'd better pass them by value.

# d.2)

Note:

- 1. At beginning we call Parent(S, Nil)
- 2. Here we assume that we have an overloaded function print, which can correctly accept Vertex pointers, dealing with Nil, and print the name of vertex.
- 3. Actually we are doing an in-order traversal for binary tree S.

#### Algorithm 16 Ex 2.19d2

```
Input: The root node R
```

Output: The vertex names in post-order traversal for original tree T, that is in-order traversal for S

```
1: procedure Parent(;; v, pv)

2: if v == Nil then

3: return

4: else

5: Parent(v.left, V)

6: print (v, pv)

7: Parent(v.right, V)

8: end if

9: end procedure
```

I got this question CORRECT.

Note: It's better to judge the leafs before we call an additional function

#### e)

Note:

- 1. At beginning we call Parent(T, Nil)
- 2. Here we assume that we have an overloaded function *print*, which can correctly accept Vertex pointers, dealing with Nil, and print the name of vertex.

# Algorithm 17 Ex 2.19e Input: The root node ROutput: The vertex names in post-order traversal 1: procedure PostorderTraversal(;;R)2: for each child c of R do 3: Parent(c)4: print (c,R)5: end for 6: end procedure

I got this question CORRECT.

Algorithm 18 Ex 2.20

# Ex 2.20

```
Input: The currant head pointer L
Output: The list that removed the items which .data == 0
 1: procedure Clean(;; L)
 2:
       if L == Nil then
           return
 3:
       end if
 4:
       if L.data == 0 then
                                                                            > Current item should be removed
 5:
           temp \leftarrow L
                                                                      ▷ Clean up removed item. Not necessary
 6:
 7:
           L \leftarrow L.next
           temp.next \leftarrow Nil
                                                                      ▷ Clean up removed item. Not necessary
 8:
           Clean(L)
 9:
       else
10:
           Clean(L.next)
11:
```

# I got this question CORRECT.

end if

13: end procedure

12:

Note: The solution sheet didn't cleanup the removed items. As the example on text book of delete one item from list, we should reset the pointer of the removed item to Nil in order to clean up. However, absolutely ,we will get the correct list if we don't do the clean up.

a)

At beginning we call  $SelectionSort_{recursive1}(n, Data[1..n])$ 

#### Algorithm 19 Ex 2.23a, Selection Sort, recursive outer loop

```
Input: The number of elements n, the numbers Data[1..n]
Output: Data[1..n] in nondecreasing order
1: procedure SelectionSort_{recursive1}(n;;Data[1...n])
       if n == 1 then
           return
3:
       end if
 4:
       IndexOfBiggest \leftarrow 1
5:
       Biggest \leftarrow Data[1]
6:
       for TestDex \leftarrow 2: n do
7:
           if Biggest < Data[TestDex] then
8:
              Biggest \leftarrow Data[TestDex]
9:
              IndexOfBiggest \leftarrow TestDex
10:
           end if
11:
       end for
12:
       Swap(Data[IndexOfBiggest], Data[n])
13:
       SelectionSort_{recursive1}(n-1, Data[1...n-1])
14:
15: end procedure
```

I got this question CORRECT.

# b)

At beginning we call  $SelectionSort_{recursive2}(n, Data[1..n])$ 

#### Algorithm 20 Ex 2.23b, Selection Sort, Print the sorted array and Restore to original configuration

```
Input: The number of elements n, the numbers Data[1..n]
Output: Data[1..n] in nondecreasing order
 1: procedure SelectionSort_{recursive2}(n; Data[1...n])
 2:
       if n == 1 then
                                                                     ▶ We can also print the whole array Here.
           print Data[1]
 3:
           return
 4:
       end if
 5:
       IndexOfBiggest \leftarrow 1
 6:
       Biggest \leftarrow Data[1]
 7:
       for TestDex \leftarrow 2: n do
 8:
           if Biggest < Data[TestDex] then
 9:
              Biggest \leftarrow Data[TestDex]
10:
              IndexOfBiggest \leftarrow TestDex
11:
12:
           end if
       end for
13:
       Swap(Data[IndexOfBiggest], Data[n])
14:
       SelectionSort_{recursive2}(n-1, Data[1...n-1])
15:
       print Data[n]
                                                            ▶ Note: We can also print the whole array in line 2.
16:
       Swap(Data[IndexOfBiggest], Data[n]
17:
18: end procedure
```

I got this question CORRECT.

Note: We can also print the whole array in line 2.

There's no solution for this question. But I think I got this question CORRECT.