

Lindemann criterion

3/01/13

(1)

The original Lindemann criterion ^(Lindemann, 1910) compares the atomic fluctuation amplitude $\langle \Delta r^2 \rangle^{1/2}$ with the lattice constant a of a crystal. If this ratio, which is defined as the disorder parameter Δ_L , reaches a certain value, fluctuations cannot increase without damaging or destroying the crystal lattice. The results of experiments and simulations show that the critical value for Δ_L for simple solids is in the range of 0.10 to 0.15, relatively independent of the type of substance, the nature of the interaction potential, and the crystal structure (Bilgram 1987; Löwen, 1994; Stillinger 1995). Applications of this criterion to an inhomogeneous finite system like a protein in its native state (aperiodic crystal, Schrödinger, 1944) requires evaluation of the generalized Lindemann parameter (Stillinger and Stillinger, 1990),

$$\Delta_L = \frac{\sqrt{\sum_i \langle r_i^2 \rangle / N}}{a'}$$

where N is the number of atoms and a' the most probable non-bonded near-neighbor ~~distance~~ distance, \vec{r}_i is the position of atom i , $\Delta r_i^2 = (\vec{r}_i - \langle \vec{r}_i \rangle)^2$, and $\langle \rangle$ denotes configurational

~~Lindemann, F.A. (1910) The calculation of molecular vibrational frequencies, Physik. Z., 11, 609-612~~

(at the conditions of measurement of simulations (e.g. biological) in which case $T \approx 310\text{K}$, $\text{pH} \approx 7$, etc, etc) #12
 averages. The dynamics as a function of the distance from the geometric center of the protein is characterized by defining interior ~~the~~ (int) Linde mann parameter

$$\Delta_L^{\text{int}}(r_{\text{cut}}) = \frac{\sqrt{\sum_{i, r_i < r_{\text{cut}}} \langle \Delta r_i^2 \rangle / N}}{a'}$$

~~which~~ which is obtained by averaging over the atoms that are within a chosen cutoff distance, r_{cut} , from the center of mass of the protein.

Simulations and experimental data for a number of proteins, in particular Barnase, Myoglobin, Crambin and Ribonuclease A indicate ~~the~~ 0.14 as the critical value distinguishing between solid-like and liquid-like behaviour and $r_{\text{cut}} \approx 6\text{\AA}$. As can be seen from Table 1, the interior of a protein is solid-like ($\Delta_L^{\text{int}} < 0.14$), while its surface is liquid-like ($\Delta_L > 0.14$) under physiological conditions. The beginning of thermal denaturation in the simulations appears to be related to the melting of its interior (i.e. $\Delta_L^{\text{int}} > 0.14$), so that the entire protein becomes liquid-like

Proteins	$\Delta_L (\Delta_L^{\text{int}} (6\text{\AA})) (300\text{K})$			
	MD simulations			X-ray data
	Barnase	Myoglobin	Crambin	Ribonuclease A
all atoms	0.21 (0.12)	0.16 (0.11)	0.16 (0.09)	0.16 (0.12)
backbone atoms only	0.16 (0.10)	0.12 (0.09)	0.12 (0.08)	0.13 (0.10)
side-chain atoms only	0.25 (0.14)	0.18 (0.12)	0.19 (0.10)	0.19 (0.13)

Table 1. The heavy-atom $\Delta_L (\Delta_L^{\text{int}})$ value, for four proteins at 300K. After Zhou et al (1999)

footnote

3/10/14 (2)

*) Fluctuations, classical (thermal) or quantal imply a probabilistic description, while one can only predict the odds for a given outcome of an experiment, probabilities themselves evolve in a deterministic fashion

Lindemann ("disorder") parameter for a nucleus

3/01/14 (3)

Making use of the harmonic oscillator approximation for the single-particle potential (cf. Fig. 2-22 Bohr and Mottelson, 1969), one can write (cf. Eq. (2-130) of the above reference),

$$\sum_{k=1}^A \langle r_k^2 \rangle = \frac{\hbar}{M\omega_0} \sum_{k=1}^A (N_k + \frac{3}{2}) = \frac{3}{5} AR^2,$$

where $A = N + Z$ is the nuclear mass number, while the nuclear radius $R = r_0 A^{1/3}$, with $r_0 = 1.2 \text{ fm}$. It is of notice that N_k is the oscillator principal quantum number associated with the state k (cf. Fig. 2-23 Bohr and Mottelson, 1969).

The average internucleon distance can be determined from the relation (Brink and Broglia, 2005, App. C)

$$a' = \left(\frac{V}{A} \right)^{1/3} = \left(\frac{\frac{4\pi}{3} R^3}{A} \right)^{1/3} = \left(\frac{4\pi}{3} \right)^{1/3} \times 1.2 \text{ fm} \approx 2 \text{ fm}$$

Thus,

$$\Delta_L = \frac{\sqrt{\frac{3}{5}} R}{2 \text{ fm}} \approx 2.3, \quad (A \approx 120).$$

While it is difficult to compare crystal, aperiodic finite crystal and atomic nuclei, arguably, the above value indicates that a nucleus is liquid-like. More precisely, it is made out of a non-Newtonian fluid, which reacts elastically to sudden sollicitations, and plastically to strain. In any case, one expects from $\Delta_L \approx 2.3$ that ^{the nucleon} mean free path is long, larger than nuclear dimensions.

Quantality parameter

In quantum mechanics, the zero-point kinetic energy, $\sim \hbar^2 / Ma^2$, involved in the localization of a particle within a volume of radius a implies that ⁱⁿ the lowest energy state the particles may be delocalized because the potential energy gain of the ^{single} classical configuration ~~of~~ of fixed particles, which minimize U is overwhelmed by the quantal kinetic energy. Such delocalized quantal fluids ~~can be used to~~ provide the basis for discussing the state of electrons in atoms, and metals, of the He atoms in the ground state of the He liquids (both fermionic ^3He , and bosonic ^4He), and the state of nucleons in the ground state of atomic nuclei, a (non-newtonian) quantum fluid.

The relative magnitude of the quantal kinetic energy of the localized state compared with the potential energy can be qualitatively characterized by the quantality parameter (Mottelson, 1998)

$$K = \frac{\hbar^2}{Ma^2} \frac{1}{|V_0|},$$

where M is the mass of the individual particles, while V_0 and a measure the strength of the attraction and the range corresponding to the minimum of the potential, respectively. When K is small, quantal effects are small and the lowest state of the system

is expected to have a crystalline structure, while for sufficiently large values of K , the system will remain a quantum fluid even in its ground state.

The values of the force parameters and the resulting quantality parameters for several condensed matter systems are collected in Table 2. For nuclei we have two sets. One associated with the bare NN-interaction (1S_0 channel),

$$a_0 \approx 1 \text{ fm} ; v_0 = -100 \text{ MeV},$$

and another with the induced pairing interaction

$$a_0 \approx R (= 1.2 A^{1/3} \text{ fm}) ; v_0 \approx -0.5 \text{ MeV}.$$

It is seen that the transition between quantum liquid and crystalline solid occurs at $K \approx 0.1$ (between He and H_2). Thus nuclei are expected to display a (non-newtonian) quantum liquid structure.

Constituents		$M^a)$	$a_0(\text{cm})$	$ v_0 (\text{eV})$	K	phase $T=0$
^3He		3	$2.9(10^{-8})$	$8.6(10^{-4})$	0.19	liquid
^4He		4	$2.9(10^{-8})$	$8.6(10^{-4})$	0.14	liquid
H_2		2	$3.3(10^{-8})$	$32(10^{-4})$	0.06	solid
^{20}Ne		20	$3.1(10^{-8})$	$31(10^{-4})$	0.007	solid
nucleons	bare	1	$9(10^{-14})$	$100(10^6)^b)$	0.5	liquid
	ind.	1	$60(10^{-14})$	$0.5(10^6)$	2.0	liquid

a) units of nucleon mass

b) 1S_0 NN - Argonne v_{14}

Table 2 Quantality parameter.
After Mottelson, 1998

In keeping with the fact that K is of the order of 1 in the nuclear case, it is likely that mean field theory is applicable to the description of the nucleons in the ground state of the system. The marked variation of the binding energy per particle as a function of mass number ~~is~~ $A = N + Z$ for specific values of N and Z (magic numbers), testifies to the fact that nucleons in the nucleus display, in states ~~is~~ lying close to the Fermi energy, a long mean free path as compared with nuclear dimensions ($R \approx 1.2 A^{1/3} \text{ fm} \approx 6-7 \text{ fm}$).

The results discussed above, namely that $K \ll 1$ ~~implies~~ implies localization, that is fixed relations between the constituents, and thus spontaneous symmetry breaking, while ~~is~~ $K \gtrsim 0.14$ implies delocalization and thus homogeneity is an example of the fact that while potential energy always prefer special arrangements, fluctuations, classical or quantal, favour symmetry.

- Zhou et al (1999) Native proteins ~~are~~ are 2101114 ⑦
surface-molten solids; application of
the Lindemann Criterion for the solid versus
liquid state, J. Mol. Biol. 285, 1371-1375
- Mottelson, B.R (1998) Elementary features
of nuclear structure, Les Houches, Session
LXVI, Trends in nuclear physics, 100 Years Later,
Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp 25-121.
- Anderson, P.W. (1984) Basic Notions of condensed
matter physics, Benjamin, Menlo Park, California.
- Bilgram, J.H. (1987) Dynamics ~~at~~ at solid
liquid-transition experiments at the freezing
point, Phys. Rep. 153, 1-89
- Löwen, H (1994) Melting, freezing and colloidal
suspensions, Phys. Rep. 237, 249-324
- Stillinger, F.H. (1995) A topographic view of
supercooled liquids and glass formation,
Science, 267, 1935-1939.
- Schrödinger, E. (1944) What is life? The physi-
cal aspects of the living cells, Cambridge
University Press, Cambridge.
- Stillinger, F.H. and Stillinger, D.K. (1990),
Computational study of transition
dynamics in 55-atom clusters, J. Chem.
Phys. 93, 6013-6024.
- Lindemann, F.A. (1910) The calculation of
molecular vibration frequencies, Physik. Z. 11, 609-612
- Zhou, Y., Vitkup, D. and Karplus, M. (1999) Native
proteins are surface-molten solids: appli-
cation of the Lindemann criterion for the solid versus
liquid state, J. Mol. Biol. 285, 1371-1375.