

# Algebra: Chapter 0 Exercises

## Chapter 3, Section 2

David Melendez

July 23, 2018

**Problem 1.** Prove that if there is a homomorphism from a zero ring to a ring  $R$ , then  $R$  is a zero ring.

*Solution.* Let  $Z$  denote the zero ring, and let  $\varphi : Z \rightarrow R$  be a ring homomorphism. Since  $\varphi$  is a homomorphism, it must take the identity in  $Z$  to the identity in  $R$ , so  $\varphi(0) = 1_R$ . But 0 is also the *additive* identity in  $Z$ , meaning  $\varphi(0) = 0$ , and so  $0 = 1$  in  $R$ .

If  $r \in R$ , we then have  $1 \cdot r = 0 \cdot r = 0$ , showing that  $R$  is the zero ring. ■

**Problem 2.** Let  $R$  and  $S$  be rings, and let  $\varphi : R \rightarrow S$  be a function preserving both operations  $+$ ,  $\cdot$ .

1. Prove that if  $\varphi$  is surjective, then necessarily  $\varphi(1_R) = 1_S$ .
2. Prove that if  $\varphi \neq 0$  and  $S$  is an integral domain, then  $\varphi(1_R) = 1_S$ .

*Solution.*

1. First suppose  $\varphi$  is surjective. Then, if  $s \in S$ , then there exists an  $r \in R$  such that  $\varphi(r) = s$ . Note that

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(1_R) \cdot s &= \varphi(1_R) \cdot \varphi(r) \\ &= \varphi(1_R \cdot r) \\ &= \varphi(r) \\ &= s.\end{aligned}$$

Since this is true for all  $s \in S$  (as  $\varphi$  is surjective), this implies that  $\varphi(1_R) = 1_S$ , as desired.

2. Now, let  $\varphi \neq 0$  and suppose  $\varphi(1_R) \neq 1_S$ . This implies that  $\varphi(1_R) - 1_S \neq 0$ . Since  $\varphi$  is nonzero, there exists an  $r \in R$  with  $\varphi(r) \neq 0$ . Note, then, that we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(r) \cdot (\varphi(1_R) - 1_S) &= \varphi(r) \cdot \varphi(1_R) - \varphi(r) \cdot 1_S \\ &= \varphi(r \cdot 1_R) - \varphi(r) \\ &= \varphi(r) - \varphi(r) \\ &= 0,\end{aligned}$$

implying  $S$  is not an integral domain since both of the terms in the original product are nonzero. Therefore, if  $S$  is an integral domain and  $\varphi \neq 0$ , then  $\varphi(1_R) = 1_S$ .

■

**Problem 6.** Verify the 'extension property' of polynomial rings, stated in Example 2.3.

*Solution.* I will instead do the more general case, stating and proving a universal property for *monoid* rings.

**Proposition.** Let  $R$  be a ring, and  $M$  a monoid. The monoid ring  $R[M]$ , as defined in the text, then satisfies the following universal property:

Let  $\iota_M : (M, \cdot) \hookrightarrow (R[M], \cdot)$  be the monoid homomorphism  $m \mapsto 1_R m$ , and let  $\iota_R : R \hookrightarrow R[M]$  be the ring homomorphism  $r \mapsto r 1_M$ . If  $S$  is a ring,  $\varphi : R \rightarrow S$  is a ring homomorphism, and  $j : M \rightarrow S$  is a monoid homomorphism with respect to multiplication on  $S$  such that  $a \in \text{im } j$  and  $b \in \text{im } \varphi$  implies  $ab = ba$ , then there exists a unique ring homomorphism  $\bar{\varphi} : R[M] \rightarrow S$  such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 R & & \\
 \downarrow \iota_R & \searrow \varphi & \\
 R[M] & \xrightarrow{\bar{\varphi}} & S \\
 \uparrow \iota_M & \nearrow j & \\
 M & & 
 \end{array}$$

*Proof.* First, we show that  $\bar{\varphi}$  is determined by the fact that it must be a ring homomorphism that makes the diagram above commute.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \bar{\varphi} \left( \sum_{m \in M} r_m m \right) &= \sum_{m \in M} \bar{\varphi}(r_m m) \\
 &= \sum_{m \in M} \bar{\varphi}(\iota_R(r_m) \cdot \iota_M(m)) \\
 &= \sum_{m \in M} \bar{\varphi}(\iota_R(r_m)) \cdot \bar{\varphi}(\iota_M(m)) \\
 &= \sum_{m \in M} \varphi(r_m) \cdot j(m)
 \end{aligned}$$

Since this function  $\bar{\varphi}$  is the only function making the diagram commute, we just have to prove that it is a ring homomorphism. The fact that  $\bar{\varphi}$  preserves addition and the identity is clear enough, so we will just show that it preserves multiplication. If we let  $p = \sum_{m \in M} a_m m$

and  $q = \sum_{m \in M} b_m m$ , we then have:

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{\varphi}(p) \cdot \overline{\varphi}(q) &= \left( \sum_{m \in M} \varphi(a_m) \cdot j(m) \right) \left( \sum_{n \in M} \varphi(b_n) \cdot j(n) \right) \\
&= \sum_{m \in M} \sum_{n \in M} \varphi(a_m) \cdot j(m) \cdot \varphi(b_n) \cdot j(n) \\
&= \sum_{m \in M} \sum_{n \in M} \varphi(a_m) \cdot \varphi(b_n) \cdot j(m) \cdot j(n) \\
&= \sum_{m \in M} \sum_{n \in M} \varphi(a_m b_n) \cdot j(mn) \\
&= \sum_{\ell \in M} \sum_{mn=\ell} \varphi(a_m b_n) \cdot j(\ell) \\
&= \overline{\varphi}(pq).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\overline{\varphi}$  is a homomorphism, and thus it satisfies the universal property, as desired.  $\square$

This universal property is a generalization of the universal property for polynomial rings over one indeterminate mentioned in the text, which is really just the monoid ring  $R[\mathbb{N}]$ . Additionally, much to our pleasure, polynomial rings in  $n$  indeterminates (which commute with each other) can be thought of as monoid rings  $R[\mathbb{N}^n]$ .  $\blacksquare$