

# Algebra: Chapter 0 Exercises

## Chapter 2, Section 6

David Melendez

July 13, 2017

**Problem 6.2.** Prove that the set of upper-triangular matrices form a subgroup of  $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ .

*Solution.* Let  $V$  be an  $n$ -dimensional vector space over  $\mathbb{C}$ , and let  $\mathcal{M} : \mathcal{L}(V) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n,n}$  be the isomorphism that takes a linear operator to its matrix with respect to some basis

$$\mathcal{B} = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}.$$

Let  $A, B$  be upper-triangular matrices, and let  $S, T$  (respectively) be  $\mathcal{M}^{-1}(A)$  and  $\mathcal{M}^{-1}(B)$ . We then know, due to a theorem in Axler, that  $\text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_k)$  is invariant under  $S$  and  $T$  for  $1 \leq k \leq n$  (this property is equivalent to the matrix being upper-triangular). Using this property and the invertibility of  $T$ , it then follows that

$$\begin{aligned} T^{-1}(a_1v_1 + \dots + a_kv_k) &= T^{-1}(T(b_1v_1 + \dots + b_kv_k)) \\ &= b_1v_1 + \dots + b_kv_k \end{aligned}$$

meaning  $\text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_k)$  is invariant under  $T^{-1}$ , and hence that  $T^{-1}$  is upper-triangular. Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} (ST^{-1})(a_1v_1 + \dots + a_kv_k) &= S(b_1v_1 + \dots + b_kv_k) \\ &= c_1v_1 + \dots + c_kv_k, \end{aligned}$$

making  $ST^{-1}$  upper-triangular and completing the proof. ■

**Problem 6.4.** Let  $G$  be a commutative group, and let  $n > 0$  be an integer. Prove that  $\{g^n | g \in G\}$  is a subgroup of  $G$ . Prove that this is not necessarily the case if  $G$  is not commutative.

*Solution.* Let  $G$  be a commutative group, and let  $G' = \{g^n | g \in G\}$  where  $n$  is any positive integer. To prove that this is a group, suppose  $g = g_1^n$  and  $h = g_2^n$  are elements of  $G'$ . We then have:

$$\begin{aligned} gh^{-1} &= (g_1^n)(g_2^n)^{-1} \\ &= (g_1)^n(g_2^{-1})^n \\ &= (g_1g_2^{-1})^n \\ &\in G' \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $G'$  is a subgroup of  $G$ .

As a counterexample in the case that  $G$  is not commutative, let  $G = F(\{x, y\})$ , the free group generated by  $x$  and  $y$ , and let  $n = 2$ . In order for  $G'$  to be closed under its operation, we would need to have  $g \in G$  such that

$$g^2 = x^2 y^2.$$

That such a  $g$  does not exist is turning out to be harder to prove than I suspected, so I'll come back to this later. ■

**Problem 6.6.**

1. Let  $H, H'$  be subgroups of a group  $G$ . Prove that  $H \cup H'$  is a subgroup of  $G$  only if  $H \subseteq H'$  or  $H' \subseteq H$ .
2. On the other hand, let  $H_0 \subseteq H_1 \subseteq H_2 \subseteq \cdots$  be subgroups of a group  $G$ . Prove that  $G' = \bigcup_{i \geq 0} H_i$  is a subgroup of  $G$ .

*Solution.*

1. Suppose  $H \cup H'$  is a subgroup of  $G$ . We then have, by closure, for all  $h \in H$  and  $h' \in H'$ , that  $hh' = g$  for some  $g \in H \cup H'$ . If  $g \in H$ , we have  $h' = h^{-1}g \in H$ , meaning  $H' \subseteq H$ . Alternatively, if  $g \in H'$ , we have  $h = g(h')^{-1} \in H'$  meaning  $H \subseteq H'$ .
2. Let  $h_1 \in H_j$  and  $h_2 \in H_k$  (both in  $G'$ , of course), and assume without loss of generality that  $j \leq k$ . By the sequence of subset relations, we know that  $h_1 \in H_k$ , so  $h_1 h_2^{-1} \in H_k \subseteq G'$ , completing the proof. ■

**Problem 6.8.** Prove that an abelian group  $G$  is finitely generated if and only if there is a surjective homomorphism

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathbb{Z} \twoheadrightarrow G$$

for some  $n$ .

*Solution.* First, suppose that an abelian group  $G$  is finitely generated. This, by definition, means that there exists a finite subset  $A$  of  $G$  such that  $\langle A \rangle = G$ ; in other words,  $G$  is the image of the homomorphism  $\varphi_A$  obtained by applying the universal property for the free abelian group over  $A$  as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F^{ab}(A) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_A} & G \\ \uparrow j & \nearrow \iota & \\ A & & \end{array}$$

where  $\iota$  and  $j$  are the inclusion maps into  $G$  and  $F^{ab}(A)$ , respectively. We know by exercise 5.7 that  $Z = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathbb{Z} \cong F^{ab}(A)$ , so we have a surjection

$$Z \xrightarrow{\sim} F^{ab}(A) \xrightarrow{\varphi_A} G$$

For the proof in the other direction, suppose we have a surjective homomorphism  $\varphi : Z \rightarrow G$ . For integers  $0 \leq m \leq n$ , let  $\beta_m$  be the  $n$ -tuple with 0 in every slot except for the  $m$ th slot, where there is a 1. Define  $A$  to be the set  $\{\varphi(\beta_1), \dots, \varphi(\beta_n)\}$  (since the coproduct and product in **Ab** are the same), and let  $a_m$  be the  $m$ th element of  $A$  as listed above. Define the isomorphism  $i : F^{ab}(A) \rightarrow Z$  by

$$i(m_1 a_1 + \dots + m_n a_n) = (m_1, \dots, m_n),$$

and let  $f : F^{ab}(A) \rightarrow G$  be defined by  $f = i\varphi$ . Finally, let  $j$  and  $\iota$  be the standard inclusions into  $F^{ab}(A)$  and  $G$ , respectively. the following diagram illustrates these morphisms:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & Z & & \\ & \nearrow i & & \searrow \varphi & \\ F^{ab}(A) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_A} & G & & \\ \uparrow j & & \nearrow \iota & & \\ A & & & & \end{array}$$

Define  $\alpha_m$  to be  $j(a_m)$ , let  $a = m_1 a_1 + \dots + m_n a_n$ , and let  $\alpha = j(a)$ . We then have:

$$\begin{aligned} (f \circ j)(a) &= f(\alpha) \\ &= (\varphi \circ i)(m_1 \alpha_1 + \dots + m_n \alpha_n) \\ &= \varphi(m_1, \dots, m_n) \\ &= m_1 \varphi(\beta_1) + \dots + m_n \varphi(\beta_n) \\ &= m_1 \iota(a_1) + \dots + m_n \iota(a_n) \\ &= \iota(a) \end{aligned}$$

Since the morphism  $\varphi_A$  is the only morphism that satisfies this property (by the universality of  $F^{ab}(A)$ ), we know that  $f = \varphi_A$ . But  $f$  (and hence  $\varphi_A$ ) is surjective, giving us  $G = \text{im}(\varphi_A) = \langle A \rangle$ , as desired. ■

**Problem 6.9.** Prove that every finitely generated subgroup of  $\mathbb{Q}$  is cyclic. Prove that  $\mathbb{Q}$  is not finitely generated.

*Solution.* First, I will state (but not prove) a lemma from number theory that will be useful in this proof:

**Lemma 1.** *The diophantine equation*

$$a_1 x_1 + \dots + a_n x_n = d$$

*has a solution if and only if*

$$\gcd(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mid d.$$

This actually follows quite easily from the case involving two terms, according to a post on stack exchange.<sup>1</sup> Now, on to the problem:

**Proposition.** Let  $r_1 = \frac{p_1}{q_1}, \dots, r_n = \frac{p_n}{q_n}$  be (reduced) rational numbers. Then the group  $G = \langle r_1, \dots, r_n \rangle$  is cyclic; in fact, we have

$$M := \left\langle \frac{\gcd(P)}{\text{lcm}(Q)} \right\rangle = G$$

where  $P = \{p_1, \dots, p_n\}$  and  $Q = \{q_1, \dots, q_n\}$ .

*Proof.* First, we will prove that  $M \subseteq G$  by proving that the generator of  $M$  written above is in  $G$ . Consider the following equation:

$$a_1 r_1 + \dots + a_n r_n = \frac{\gcd(P)}{\text{lcm}(Q)}$$

If we let  $c = \text{lcm}(Q)$ , this is equivalent to the equation

$$a_1(cr_1) + \dots + a_n(cr_n) = \gcd(P),$$

which, by Lemma 1, has a solution if and only if

$$\gcd(cr_1, \dots, cr_n) \mid \gcd(P).$$

This is true because each  $cr_k$  is a multiple of  $p_k$ . Now that we know we can obtain a generator of  $M$  from  $G$  with coefficients  $a_1, \dots, a_n$ , we know we can obtain any element of  $M$  by just multiplying the coefficients by some constant, so  $M \subseteq G$ .

For the other direction, suppose we have some  $a_1 r_1 + \dots + a_n r_n \in G$ . That this element is in  $M$  is equivalent to the fact that following equation holds for some integer  $m$ :

$$a_1 r_1 + \dots + a_n r_n = m \frac{\gcd(P)}{\text{lcm}(Q)}$$

multiplying by  $\text{lcm}(Q)$ , we have (for  $c = \text{lcm}(Q)$ ):

$$a_1(cr_1) + \dots + a_n(cr_n) = m \gcd(P)$$

which clearly holds due to the reasoning above. Additionally, we know that dividing the left side by  $\gcd(P)$  would yield an integer since each  $cr_k$  is a multiple of  $p_k$ , so there does exist an  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that the equation holds. Hence  $G \subseteq M$ , completing the proof.  $\square$

The next part of the question is simple. Due to the proof above,  $\mathbb{Q}$  being finitely generated would imply that it is cyclic. Suppose this is so, and designate a generator  $g \in \mathbb{Q}$ . We know, by the density of  $\mathbb{Q}$  in  $\mathbb{R}$ , that there exists a rational strictly between any  $kg$  and  $(k+1)g$ , meaning that there are rationals not in  $\langle g \rangle$ ; therefore  $\mathbb{Q}$  is not cyclic, and hence not finitely generated.  $\blacksquare$

---

<sup>1</sup><https://math.stackexchange.com/questions/145346/diophantine-equations-with-multiple-variables>