

# Algebra: Chapter 0 Exercises

## Chapter 3, Section 5

### Modules over a ring

David Melendez

September 17, 2018

**Problem 5.4.** Let  $R$  be a ring. A nonzero  $R$ -module  $M$  is *simple* (or *irreducible*) if its only submodules are  $\{0\}$  and  $M$ . Let  $M, N$  be simple modules, and let  $\varphi : M \rightarrow N$  be a homomorphism of  $R$ -modules. Prove that either  $\varphi = 0$  or  $\varphi$  is an isomorphism.

*Solution.* Let  $\varphi : M \rightarrow N$  be an  $R$ -module homomorphism. Then  $\ker \varphi$  is a submodule of  $M$ , and hence is either  $\{0\}$  or  $M$ . If  $\ker \varphi = M$ , then  $\varphi$  is the zero homomorphism.

Otherwise, we have  $\ker \varphi = \{0\}$ , telling us that  $\varphi$  is injective, and we turn our attention to  $\operatorname{im} \varphi$ . Since  $\ker \varphi = 0$ , and  $M, N$  are nonzero, we know that  $\operatorname{im} \varphi$  has at least one nonzero element. Since  $\operatorname{im} \varphi$  is a submodule of  $N$ , this implies that  $\operatorname{im} \varphi = N$ , as  $N$  is simple. Thus  $\varphi$  is surjective, and so it is an isomorphism, as desired. ■

j++i

**Problem 5.5.** Let  $R$  be a commutative ring, viewed as an  $R$ -module over itself, and let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module. Prove that  $\operatorname{Hom}_{R\text{-Mod}}(R, M) \cong M$  as  $R$ -modules.

*Solution.* Let  $\varphi : M \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{R\text{-Mod}}(R, M)$  be the function defined by

$$\varphi(m)(r) = rm.$$

Then note that

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(m+n)(r) &= r(m+n) \\ &= rm + rn \\ &= \varphi(m)(r) + \varphi(n)(r) \\ &= (\varphi(m) + \varphi(n))(r),\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(sm)(r) &= r(sm) \\ &= (rs)m \\ &= (sr)m \\ &= s(rm) \\ &= s\varphi(m)(r) \\ &= (s\varphi(m))(r),\end{aligned}$$

and so  $\varphi$  is an  $R - \mathbf{Mod}$  homomorphism.

Additionally, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(m)(r + s) &= (r + s)m \\ &= rm + sm \\ &= \varphi(m)(r) + \varphi(m)(s)\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(m)(rs) &= (rs)m \\ &= r(sm) \\ &= r\varphi(m)(s),\end{aligned}$$

and so  $\varphi(m)$  is an  $R - \mathbf{Mod}$  homomorphism for all  $m \in M$ .

Now, to prove that  $\varphi$  is injective, note that if  $\varphi(m) = 0$ , then  $m = 1_R m = \varphi(m)(1) = 0$ , and so  $\varphi$  is injective. For surjectivity, we need the following insight: For all  $m \in M$  and  $r \in R$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(m)(r) &= \varphi(m)(r \cdot 1_R) \\ &= r\varphi(m)(1_R),\end{aligned}$$

and so if  $\psi \in \text{Hom}_{R-\mathbf{Mod}}(R, M)$ , then we have, for all  $r \in R$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(r) &= r\psi(1_R) \\ &= \varphi(\psi(1_R))(r);\end{aligned}$$

thus,  $\psi$  is in the image of  $\varphi$  and  $\varphi$  is surjective. Therefore,  $\psi$  is an isomorphism and the modules are isomorphic as desired. ■

**Problem 5.6.** Let  $G$  be an abelian group. Prove that if  $G$  has a structure of  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space, then it has only one such structure. (Hint: First prove that every element of  $G$  has necessarily infinite order. Alternative hint: The unique ring homomorphism  $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$  is an epimorphism.)

*Solution.* Let  $G$  be an abelian group. A  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space structure on  $G$  is precisely a ring homomorphism  $\sigma : G \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Ab}}(G)$ . Let  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2$ , then, be two of these ring homomorphisms. Note that  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$  agree on the integers, as if we view  $\mathbb{Q}$  and  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Ab}}(G)$  as  $\mathbb{Z}$ -modules, we then have, for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_1(n) &= \varphi_1(n \cdot 1) \\ &= n \cdot \varphi_1(1) \\ &= n \cdot \text{id} \\ &= n \cdot \varphi_2(1) \\ &= \varphi_2(n \cdot 1) \\ &= \varphi_2(n).\end{aligned}$$

Thus, if  $\iota : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$  is the unique ring homomorphism  $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ , i.e. the inclusion, we have  $\sigma_1 \iota = \sigma_2 \iota$ . Since  $\iota$  is a ring epimorphism, this then implies that  $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2$ , and so there is only one  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space structure on  $G$ , as desired. ■

**Problem 5.7.** Let  $K$  be a field, and let  $k \subseteq K$  be a subfield of  $K$ . Show that  $K$  is a vector space over  $k$  (and in fact a  $k$ -algebra) in a natural way. In this situation, we say that  $K$  is an *extension* of  $k$ .

*Solution.* Note that the inclusion  $\sigma : k \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Ab}}(K)$  is a ring homomorphism, and thus a natural  $k$ -vector space structure on  $K$ . This  $\sigma$  also gives us a  $k$ -algebra structure on  $K$  since the center of  $K$  is  $K$  itself, and so  $\text{im } \sigma \subseteq Z(K)$ .

More explicitly, the "scalar" multiplication  $\kappa x$  for  $\kappa \in k$  and  $x \in K$  is just multiplication within the field  $K$ , and the  $k$ -algebra structure on  $K$  also consists of multiplication as defined in the field  $K$ . ■

**Problem 5.8.** What is the initial object of the category  $R\text{-Alg}$ ?

*Solution.* Let  $A$  be an  $R$ -algebra, and let  $\varphi : R \rightarrow A$  be an  $R\text{-Alg}$  homomorphism, where the  $R$ -algebra structure on  $R$  is given by the identity map. The conditions on  $R$ -algebra homomorphisms then force, for all  $r \in R$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(r) &= \varphi(r \cdot 1_R) \\ &= r \cdot \varphi(1_R) \\ &= r \cdot 1_A.\end{aligned}$$

To verify that  $\varphi$  is an  $R\text{-Alg}$  homomorphism, note that:

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(r_1 + r_2) &= (r_1 + r_2) \cdot 1_A \\ &= r_1 \cdot 1_A + r_2 \cdot 1_A \\ &= \varphi(r_1) + \varphi(r_2),\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(r_1 r_2) &= (r_1 r_2) \cdot 1_A \\ &= (r_1 r_2) \cdot (1_A 1_A) \\ &= (r_1 \cdot 1_A)(r_2 \cdot 1_A) \\ &= \varphi(r_1) \varphi(r_2),\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(1_R) &= 1_R \cdot 1_A \\ &= 1_A,\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(sr_1) &= (sr_1) \cdot 1_R \\ &= s \cdot (r_1 \cdot 1_R) \\ &= s \cdot \varphi(r_1),\end{aligned}$$

using  $R$ 's properties as a ring,  $R$ -module, and  $R$ -algebra.

Since  $\varphi$  is the unique homomorphism  $R \rightarrow A$  for all  $R$ -algebras  $A$ , we then have that  $R$  is initial in  $R\text{-Alg}$ . ■

**Problem 5.9.** Let  $R$  be a commutative ring, and let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module. Prove that the operation of composition on the  $R$ -module  $\text{End}_{R\text{-Mod}}(M)$  makes the latter an  $R$ -algebra in a natural way.

Prove that  $\mathcal{M}_n(R)$  is an  $R$ -algebra, in a natural way.

*Solution.* Let  $\alpha : R \rightarrow \text{End}_{R\text{-Mod}}(M)$  be the ring homomorphism defined by

$$\varphi(r)(m) = rm;$$

it is easy to verify that  $\varphi(r)$  is an  $R$ -module endomorphism for all  $r \in R$ , and that  $\varphi$  itself is a ring homomorphism.

Note that if  $\varphi$  is an  $R$ -module endomorphism of  $M$ , then we have, for all  $r \in R$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha(r) \circ \varphi)(m) &= \varphi(r)(\varphi(m)) \\ &= r \cdot \varphi(m) \\ &= \varphi(r \cdot m) \\ &= (\varphi \circ \alpha(r))(m), \end{aligned}$$

and so  $\varphi(r)$  is in the center of  $\text{End}_{R\text{-Mod}}(M)$  for all  $r \in R$ .

Because of this,  $\alpha$  then gives us an  $R$ -module (and indeed an  $R$ -algebra) structure on the ring  $\text{End}_{R\text{-Mod}}(M)$ , which is precisely the usual  $R$ -module structure on  $\text{End}_{R\text{-Mod}}(M)$ , as desired.

In the case of the ring  $\mathcal{M}_n(R)$ , we can endow the ring with an  $R$ -algebra structure using the homomorphism  $\alpha : R \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_n(R)$ , defined by

$$\alpha(r)(A) = rA,$$

where the multiplication on the right-hand side is just scalar multiplication. Another way to think of this is the fact that  $\alpha$  maps  $r \in R$  to the matrix with  $r$ 's on the diagonal and 0 elsewhere. It's pretty clear that this is a ring homomorphism whose image is contained in the center of  $\mathcal{M}_n(A)$  (since diagonal matrices over a commutative ring commute with all other matrices), so I'll stop there. ■

**Problem 5.10.** Let  $R$  be a commutative ring, and let  $M$  be a simple  $R$ -module. Prove that  $\text{End}_{R\text{-Mod}}(M)$  is a division  $R$ -algebra.

*Solution.* Since  $M$  is simple, every  $R$ -module endomorphism of  $M$  is either zero or an isomorphism, i.e. has an inverse in  $\text{End}_{R\text{-Mod}}(M)$ . Hence  $\text{End}_{R\text{-Mod}}(M)$  is a division ring, and thus a division algebra over  $R$  by the previous exercise. ■

**Problem 5.11.** Let  $R$  be a commutative ring, and let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module. Prove that there is a natural bijection between the set of  $R[x]$ -module structures on  $M$  and  $\text{End}_{R\text{-Mod}}(M)$ .

*Solution.* Todo ■

**Problem 5.12.** Let  $R$  be a ring. Let  $M, N$  be  $R$ -modules, and let  $\varphi : M \rightarrow N$  be a homomorphism of  $R$ -modules. Assume  $\varphi$  is a bijection, so that it has an inverse  $\varphi^{-1}$  as a set-function. Prove that  $\varphi^{-1}$  is a homomorphism of  $R$ -modules, and hence that a bijective  $R$ -module homomorphism is an isomorphism of  $R$ -modules.

*Solution.* Since  $\varphi$  is a bijective homomorphism of groups, we know already that  $\varphi$  is an isomorphism between the groups  $M$  and  $N$ , and  $\varphi^{-1}$  is a group homomorphism.

Let  $r \in R$  and  $m \in M$ . Note, then, that

$$\begin{aligned} r\varphi^{-1}(m) &= \varphi^{-1}(\varphi(r\varphi^{-1}(m))) \\ &= \varphi^{-1}(r\varphi(\varphi^{-1}(m))) \\ &= \varphi^{-1}(rm), \end{aligned}$$

and so  $\varphi^{-1}$  is a homomorphism of  $R$ -modules. Therefore,  $\varphi$  is an isomorphism of  $R$ -modules, as desired. ■

**Problem 5.13.** Let  $R$  be an integral domain, and let  $I$  be a nonzero principal ideal of  $R$ . Prove that  $I$  is isomorphic to  $R$  as an  $R$ -module.

*Solution.* Let  $a \in R$  be nonzero, and let  $I = (a) \subseteq R$  be the principal ideal of  $R$  generated by  $a$ . Note that if  $x \in I$ , then there exists some  $r \in R$  such that  $x = ra$ . Since  $R$  is an integral domain, we can see that  $x = r_1a = r_2a$  implies  $r_1 = r_2$ , and so the following function is well-defined:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi : I &\rightarrow R; \\ ra &\mapsto r \end{aligned}$$

To verify that this is an  $R$ -module homomorphism, note that we have, for all  $r \in R$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(r_1a + r_2a) &= \varphi((r_1 + r_2)a) \\ &= r_1 + r_2 \\ &= \varphi(r_1a) + \varphi(r_2a). \end{aligned}$$

Additionally, we have for all  $r, s \in R$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(s(ra)) &= \varphi((sr)a) \\ &= sr \\ &= s\varphi(ra); \end{aligned}$$

hence  $\varphi$  is an  $R$ -module homomorphism, as desired.

Additionally, note that if  $r \in R$ , then  $r\varphi(ra)$ , and so  $\varphi$  is surjective. If  $\varphi(ra) = 0$ , then  $r = 0$  by definition, and so  $\varphi$  is injective. Hence  $\varphi$  is an isomorphism of  $R$ -modules between  $I$  and  $R$ , as desired. ■