

# Algebra: Chapter 0 Exercises

## Chapter 1, Section 4

David Melendez

February 13, 2017

**Problem 4.1.** Composition is defined for *two* morphisms. If more than two morphisms are given, e.g.:

$$A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C \xrightarrow{h} D \xrightarrow{i} E$$

then one may compose them in several ways, for example:

$$(ih)(gf), \quad (i(hg))f, \quad i((hg)f), \quad \text{etc.}$$

so that at every step one is only composing two morphisms. Prove that the result of any such nested composition is independent of the placement of the parentheses.

*Solution.* Let  $Z_m \in \text{Obj}(C)$  and  $f_m \in \text{Hom}(Z_{m+1}, Z_m)$  for every  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $n$  be the number of morphisms we're composing. We will use induction on  $n$ .

Base case: Suppose  $n = 3$ . Then, since  $C$  is a category, we have  $f_1(f_2f_3) = (f_1f_2)f_3$ .

Induction: Suppose that all parenthesizations of  $f_1, \dots, f_{j-1}$  under composition are equivalent for all  $1 \leq j < n$ . Then, for some  $1 < k \leq n$ , let  $\alpha$  be some parenthesization of  $f_1, \dots, f_{k-1}$ , and let  $\beta$  be some parenthesization of  $f_k, \dots, f_n$ . Any parenthesization of  $f_1, \dots, f_n$  will then be of the form  $\alpha\beta$ . By associativity and our inductive hypothesis, we have  $\alpha = ((f_k \dots f_{n-1})f_n)$ , and so

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha\beta &= (f_1 \dots f_{k-1}) ((f_k \dots f_{n-1})f_n) \\ &= ((f_1 \dots f_{k-1})(f_k \dots f_{n-1})) f_n \\ &= ((\dots ((f_1f_2)f_3) \dots) f_n \end{aligned}$$

as desired. ■

**Problem 4.2.** In Example 3.3 we have seen how to construct a category from a set endowed with a relation, provided this latter is reflexive and transitive. For what types of relations is the corresponding category a groupoid?

*Solution.* Recall that a *groupoid* is a category in which every morphism is an isomorphism. Let  $\mathbf{C}$  be a category as defined in Example 3.3, and let  $(S, \sim)$  be the category's designated set and relation.  $\mathbf{C}$  is a groupoid if  $\sim$  is symmetric.

*Proof.* Let  $(a, b)$  be a morphism from  $a$  to  $b$  in  $\mathbf{C}$ . By our definition of  $\mathbf{C}$ , we have  $a \sim b$ . Since  $\sim$  is symmetric, we then have  $b \sim a$ , and so  $(b, a)$  is also a morphism in  $\mathbf{C}$  (from  $b$  to  $a$ ). Composing these, we have  $(a, b)(b, a) = (b, b) = \text{id}_b$ . Similarly, we also have  $(b, a)(a, b) = (a, a) = \text{id}_a$ , making  $(a, b)$  an isomorphism as desired. ■

**Problem 4.3.** Let  $A, B$  be objects of a category  $\mathbf{C}$ , and  $f \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(A, B)$  a morphism.

*Solution.* .

1. If  $f$  has a right-inverse, then  $f$  is an epimorphism.

*Proof.* Let  $f \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(A, B)$  be a morphism,  $g \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(B, A)$  its right-inverse, and  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(A, Z)$  morphisms for some  $Z \in \text{Obj}(\mathbf{C})$  with  $\alpha_1 f = \alpha_2 f$ . We then have

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_1 &= \alpha_1(fg) \\ &= (\alpha_1 f)g \\ &= (\alpha_2 f)g \\ &= \alpha_2(fg) \\ &= \alpha_2\end{aligned}$$

making  $f$  an epimorphism. □

2. The converse of 1 does not hold; that is, there exists in some category  $\mathbf{C}$  an epimorphism that does not have a right-inverse.

*Proof.* The category obtained by endowing  $\mathbb{Z}$  with the relation  $\leq$  contains morphisms that satisfy this property. Let  $\mathbf{C}$  be this category;  $a, b \in \text{Obj}(\mathbf{C})$  such that  $a \neq b$  (so  $a < b$ );  $f \in \text{Hom}(a, b)$ ;  $z \in \text{Obj}(\mathbf{C})$  such that  $b \leq z$ ; and  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \text{Hom}(b, z)$ . That  $\alpha_1 f = \alpha_2 f$  implies  $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$  is trivially true since  $\text{Hom}(b, z)$  has exactly one morphism, so  $f$  is an epimorphism.

However, since  $a < b$ ,  $b > a$ , meaning  $\text{Hom}(b, a)$  has no morphisms. Thus,  $f$  has no right-inverse. □

**Problem 4.4.** Prove that the composition of two morphisms is a monomorphism. Deduce that one can define a subcategory  $\mathbf{C}_{\text{mono}}$  of a category  $\mathbf{C}$  by taking the same objects as in  $\mathbf{C}$ , and defining  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}_{\text{mono}}}(A, B)$  to be the subset of  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(A, B)$  consisting of monomorphisms, for all objects  $A, B$ . Do the same for epimorphisms. Can you define a subcategory  $\mathbf{C}_{\text{nonmono}}$  of  $\mathbf{C}$  by restricting to morphisms that are *not* monomorphisms?

*Solution.* Let  $\mathbf{C}$  be a category;  $A, B, C \in \text{Obj}(\mathbf{C})$  be objects in  $\mathbf{C}$ ; and  $f \in \text{Hom}(A, B)$ ,  $g \in \text{Hom}(B, C)$  be morphisms in  $\mathbf{C}$ . If  $f$  and  $g$  are monic, then  $gf$  is also monic.

*Proof.* Since  $f$  and  $g$  are monic, we have, for all  $Z_1, Z_2 \in \text{Obj}(\mathbf{C})$ ,  $\alpha_1, \beta_1 \in \text{Hom}(B, Z_1)$ , and  $\alpha_2, \beta_2 \in \text{Hom}(C, Z_2)$ :

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_1 f = \beta_1 f &\implies \alpha_1 = \beta_1 \\ \alpha_2 g = \beta_2 g &\implies \alpha_2 = \beta_2\end{aligned}$$

We then have:

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_2(gf) = \beta_2(gf) &\implies (\alpha_2 g)f = (\beta_2 g)f \\ &\implies \alpha_2 g = \beta_2 g \\ &\implies \alpha_2 = \beta_2\end{aligned}$$

making  $gf$  monic as desired. □

With this, we can define a category  $\mathbf{C}_{\text{mono}}$  by

$$\text{Obj}(\mathbf{C}_{\text{mono}}) = \text{Obj}(\mathbf{C})$$

and

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}_{\text{mono}}}(A, B) = \{f \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(A, B) \mid f \text{ is monic}\}$$

for all  $A, B \in \text{Obj}(\mathbf{C}_{\text{mono}})$ . Composition of morphisms is defined as normal (since we've proved monomorphisms are closed under composition, and the identities are those in  $\mathbf{C}$  since identities are trivially monic).

The proof for epimorphisms is analogous. ■