

## Classification

Use classification models to predict the presence or absence of various toxic algae in rivers.

## Datasets

*algae\_blooms.csv*

## Problem Description

The ability to monitor and perform early forecasts of various river algae blooms is crucial to control the ecological harm they can cause. The dataset which is used to train the learning model consists of:

- chemical properties of various water samples of European rivers
- the quantity of seven algae in each of the samples, and
- the characteristics of the collection process for each sample.

What is the data science motivation for such a model? After all, we **can** simply analyze water samples to determine if various harmful algae are present or absent. The answer is simple: chemical monitoring is **cheap** and **easy to automate**, whereas biological analysis of samples is **expensive** and **slow**. Another answer is that analyzing the samples for harmful content does not provide a better understanding of algae **drivers**: it just tells us which samples contain algae.

**1. Load** the data and **summarize/visualize** it: you will be tasked with predicting the presence/absence of algae a1 and a2.

**2. Clean** the data and **impute** missing values.

**3.** Remove 20% of the observations and save them to a **validation set**.

**4.** Create a **training/testing pair** on the remaining 80% of the observations and train a

- decision tree
- naïve Bayes classifier
- logistic regression model, and
- support vector machine

to predict the presence/absence of algae a1 and a2. Evaluate the performance of each model. Which models performs best on your training/testing pair?

**5. Repeat** step 4 on at least **20 new training/testing pairs**. Evaluate the performance of each model, and save them.

**6. Combine** (?) all the models obtained in step 5 to make a prediction for the readings in the validation set.

**7.** Is there a way to **combine** classification and value estimation models to improve the predictions?