

Sushanta Poudel

Merrilton Robotics Data Migration Scenario

Introduction

The client Merrilton Robotics entails all processes for starting and planning its data migration project. This project would transfer the server hardware and software from the current premises to another MSP. This needs to be completed within six months while not exceeding the \$2 million budget. The present response discusses the project charter, work breakdown structure, cost estimation, and risk register, referencing the scenario given and concepts taken from the PMBOK Guide.

Initiating Process

A. Description of the Initiating Process

1. Purpose of a Project Charter

The project charter is the formal, authoritative document for the existence of a particular project. The document thus authorizes the project manager to use organizational resources for project activities. The Charter establishes a partnership between the performing organization and the project sponsor and formally authorizes the project manager to begin work. The Charter links the project to the strategic objectives of the organization. It gives a few comprehensive statements about the project's scope and objectives to be achieved, and it lists the potential stakeholders.

2. Project Charter for Merrilton Robotics

Element	Description
Project Title	Merrilton Robotics Cloud Data Migration

Project	To achieve regulatory compliance, enhance data scalability, and mitigate the risk associated with maintaining aging on-premises server infrastructure.
Project Manager	Sushanta Poudel
PM Authority Level & Responsibilities	Full authority to manage the budget, schedule, and resources (internal/vendor) required to execute the project plan and secure acceptance of all deliverables.
Sponsor Name & Authority	Merrilton Robotics PMO Director, with full authority to approve the project charter, allocate the project budget, and authorize project closure.
Measurable Project Objectives	Migrate all data from on-premises servers to a domestic cloud MSP; achieve zero data loss (verified by audit); complete within 6 months ; and with \$2 million budget .
High-Level Project Description	The project involves decommissioning current on-premises servers and migrating all associated data, applications, and processes to a compliant, domestically-located Managed Service Provider (MSP) cloud environment.
Project Boundaries	In-Scope: Data audit, MSP selection/contracting, data transfer, integration testing, and user acceptance testing (UAT). Out-of-Scope: Development of new custom software; physical relocation of Merrilton Robotics offices.

Key Deliverables	Signed MSP contract, fully migrated and tested cloud infrastructure, data audit report confirming integrity, and formal project closure report.
Summary Milestone Schedule	Month 1: Vendor Selection & Contract; Month 2: Migration Planning & Setup; Months 3-4: Data Migration Execution; Month 5: System/UAT Testing; Month 6: Go-Live & Project Closure.
Preapproved Financial Resources	Total budget authorization of \$2,000,000 , including a \$200,000 contingency reserve.
Overall Project Risks	Vendor delays, data loss, compliance breaches, and budget overrun.
Key Stakeholders	IT, Finance, Legal, HR, PMO, MSP vendor.
Project Success Criteria	All production data is migrated with 100% integrity; the system is fully compliant with domestic regulations; the project is completed within 6 months and ≤\$2,000,000.
Project Approval Requirements	Formal sign-off on the final audit report and operational readiness document by the PMO Director and the Head of IT.
Project Exit Criteria	All data migration and integration testing are complete, validated, and signed off; the new system has been operational for 30 days without critical incidents; all financial obligations are reconciled.

3. Reasons for Choosing a Predictive Methodology

Defined Scope: A predictive approach is suitable because, at the project's outset, its scope, schedule, and costs are all reasonably defined. The phases of migration-plan, migrate, and test are concrete and predictable and thus align with the sequential nature of predictive approaches.

Strong PMO: Merrilton Robotics has a strong PMO that sets project guidelines and standards and uses the predictive methodology in projects of this nature. Having this method accepted, any project must follow the organizational standard and leverage the existing expertise.

4. Reasons for Choosing an Agile Methodology

Unfamiliar Requirements: Agile is especially well-suited when the project's requirements are unknown or expected to change. Agile accommodates flexibility and incremental development, allowing for easier accommodation of changing needs.

Stakeholder Participation: Agile promotes continual participation of the stakeholders throughout the entire project life-cycle. This continuous feedback means the end state will meet users' needs and expectations.

5. Reasons for Choosing a Hybrid Methodology

Complex Projects: A hybrid option is valuable for projects with well-defined and uncertain components. For instance, while the project may have a defined timeline and budget (predictive), the evolution of a particular software feature may be iterative (agile).

Organizational Culture: A hybrid methodology can be a "bridge" for organizations moving from a traditional environment to an agile environment. The team can use agile principles for one project component while using the relatively more familiar predictive process for another component.

Planning Process

B. Description of the Planning Process

1. Three Processes from the Planning Process Group

Plan Scope Management:

Activity 1: Writing the project scope statement, which is a cohesive and comprehensive description of the project and what work needs to be completed to fabricate the deliverables of the project.

Activity 2: Developing the work breakdown structure (WBS), subdividing project deliverables into smaller, manageable project components.

Plan Cost Management:

Activity 1: Identifying the procedures for cost estimating and budgeting.

Activity 2: Developing the cost baseline, an approved, time-phased budget.

Plan Risk Management:

Activity 1: Identifying the approach to identify and manage project risk.

Activity 2: Determining the categorization of risk and the probability/impact matrix.

2. Four Components of the Project Scope Statement

Product Scope Description: This section outlines the characteristics, features, and functions of the product, service, or result. This project will describe the functions of the new cloud infrastructure and data storage services.

Deliverables: This section lists everything that will be produced by the project, such as products, services, or results. For Merrilton Robotics, this would include the data migration plan, system integration test results, and the cloud infrastructure.

Acceptance: This defines the required conditions for the formal acceptance of the deliverables. For Merrilton, this includes the data integrity audit with 100% transfer accuracy and the successful keynote business stakeholder acceptance of User Acceptance Testing (UAT).

Exclusions: This section specifies exactly what will not be part of the project. For example, building new facilities and buying equipment for this project would be noted here.

3. Project Deliverables and Work

The deliverables for the project consist of a completely migrated data infrastructure, a selected managed service provider (MSP), a signed contract, and all testing completed.

Deliverables

Selected, MSP: This work involved the request for proposal (RFP) process and evaluating vendor proposals based on a combined set of criteria. Therefore, prepare and issue the Request for Proposal (RFP) to qualifying domestic cloud vendors. Vendor proposals should be evaluated

on a compliance basis, from a business perspective, and on their technical capabilities. Negotiate and execute the final SLA and contract.

Cloud Infrastructure: This work involved analytical work and data migration activities. Therefore, provision the cloud environment (servers, networks, security groups) as per the migration plan. Install and configure the core OS and necessary application middleware.

Fully Migrated Data: This work involved migrating all data from local servers to the cloud. Therefore, audit and cleanse source data. Transfer data from local servers to the cloud environment, usually in staged batches. Carry out data integrity checks (hashing, record counts) post-migration.

Operational System: This work involved system integration testing, regression testing, performance testing, and user acceptance testing. Run System Integration Testing (SIT) to verify that the applications operate in the new environment. Perform Regression Testing to confirm that the existing functionalities have not been affected. Do Performance Testing to make sure that it meets the stipulated speed/load requirements. Carry out User Acceptance Testing (UAT) and receive formal stakeholder sign-off.

C. Work Breakdown Structure (WBS)

1. Differences Between Activities and Deliverables

Deliverables are the specific outputs of a project, phase, or process, in either a tangible or intangible form. They are the "what" of the project, the products, services, or results to be delivered.

Activities are the specific actions or tasks done to create a deliverable. They are the "how" of the project, the work that needs to be activated to complete the deliverables.

2. Differences Between the Project Schedule and the WBS

The **Project Schedule** takes the work packages from the WBS and adds a time component. It sequences the activities, estimates the duration, and allocates resources. The Project Schedule focuses on the time and sequence of the project by showing when the activities will be done.

The **WBS** is a hierarchical decomposition of the project scope, breaking it down to smaller, more manageable work packages. It focuses on only the deliverables and work, not the tasks' order or timing.

3. Three-Level Work Breakdown Structure (WBS)

Level 1 (Process Group)	Level 2 (Phase)	Level 3 (Activities)
Initiating	Project Charter	Identify stakeholders, define objectives, approve the charter

Planning	Scope & Risk Planning	Develop a scope statement, identify risks, create WBS
Executing	Data Migration Execution	Select vendor, migrate data, conduct training
Monitoring & Controlling	Quality & Risk Control	Monitor progress, manage risks, validate data
Closing	Project Closure	Final audit, stakeholder sign-off, lessons learned

D. Cost Management Plan

1. Advantages of Top-Down Estimating

Speed and Simplicity: This method offers a quick approach and is generally used in a project's conceptual phase when the details are somewhat limited. It is valuable for high-level, projection-style budgeting.

High-Level Planning: It gives a macro-level view of the project budget, useful for executive-level buy-in and initial decisions.

2. Advantages of Bottom-Up Estimating

Accuracy: This method provides a high-level accuracy estimate by breaking the work into detailed activities and estimating each activity's cost.

Increased Stakeholder Buy-in: When project team members engage in the estimate process, the estimate will lead to more realistic and reliable outcomes; plus, their participation fosters a more substantial commitment to the project.

3. Estimate of Costs for Data Migration Project

The total project budget is **\$2,000,000**.

Cost Item	Direct/Indirect	Estimated Cost
MSP Service Fees/ Vendor Contract	Direct	\$1,200,000
Internal Staff Allocation	Direct	\$250,000
Testing Resources (e.g., software)	Direct	\$100,000
Legal/Compliance Fees	Direct	\$50,000
Contingency Reserve	Direct	\$200,000
PMO Overhead/Tools	Indirect	\$150,000
Human Resources/Training	Indirect	\$50,000
Utilities/Facility Costs	Indirect	\$0 (not applicable as no new facilities or equipment will be purchased)
Travel/Meeting Expenses	Indirect	\$0

Total		\$2,000,000
--------------	--	--------------------

E. Project Communication Management Plan

1. Advantages and Disadvantages of Communication Types

Formal Communication:

Advantages: Creates a transparent record. It is official and guarantees that the message will be understood in the same way anywhere within the institution. Suitable for project charters, contracts, and formal reports.

Disadvantages: Sometimes it may be prolonged and does not foster the personal relationship that might be needed in 'team building.'

Informal Communication:

Advantages: Builds rapport, allows problem-solving on the spur of the moment, and nurtures feelings of camaraderie. Great for daily communication among teams.

Disadvantages: Unreliable as a source of information and certainly do not count towards making an official decision.

Written Communication:

Advantages: Documentation provides a permanent record, reduces ambiguity, and *prima facie* permits asynchronous communication. It counts as evidence on audit trails and is used when formal documentation is accepted.

Disadvantages: Sometimes it is impersonal, and there is no immediate feedback. Often, tone is ill interpreted.

Verbal Communication:

Advantages: Provides immediate feedback, clarifies messages, and allows for a more personal connection between interlocutors. Useful for meetings and brainstorming.

Disadvantages: No permanent record of what was said, potential for the message to be misunderstood, or for those involved to lose track of what they were supposed to be doing.

2. Three Communication Methods

Interactive Communication: This is a two-way flow of information, such as meetings, phone calls, or video conferences. This project aims to allow real-time discussions during team meetings on aspects of data migration or stakeholder meetings on test results.

Push Communication: This is a delivery in which information is sent to a recipient responsible for acknowledging it. Examples include emails, memos, and reports. Its purpose is to transmit information promptly, for instance, weekly status reports to the PMO or meeting agendas to the team.

Pull Communication: Under this communication method, the recipients access information as and when they want to. The examples include project websites, internal shared drives, or knowledge bases. Its purpose is to have a central repository for project documents that stakeholders can access to garner

information whenever needed, like getting to the risk register or the most recent project schedule.

F. Project Risk Management Plan

1. Risk Register Table

ID	Description	Category	Probability	Impact	Owner	Response
R1	Vendor delay	Technology	High	High	PM	Contingency plan
R2	Data loss during migration	Compliance	Medium	High	IT Lead	Backup strategy
R3	Budget overrun	Finance	Medium	Medium	PMO	Cost monitoring
R4	Regulatory breach	Regulatory	Low	High	Legal	Compliance audit
R5	Resource unavailability	HR	Medium	Medium	HR Manager	Cross-training
R6	Improved data performance	Opportunity	High	High	IT Lead	Promote benefits

G. Acknowledgment of Sources

- PMBOK Guide – Sixth Edition, Project Management Institute (PMI)
- PMBOK Guide – Seventh Edition, Project Management Institute (PMI)

- Merrilton Robotics Data Migration Scenario (provided document)