

# Introduction to L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X

Alexandre Poupeau

April 5, 2019

## Exercise 1

1) [1]

## Exercise 2

**1.1) Prove that all natural number can be expressed in factorial representation.** First, we need to show this property :

**Property 1.**  $P_n : \sum_{k=0}^n (k * k!) + 1 = (n + 1)!$

We can prove this quite easily using induction.

*Proof.* Case  $n = 0$  :  $0 * 0! + 1 = (0 + 1)! = 1$

Let us suppose that  $P_n$  is true. We have to prove that  $P_{n+1}$  is true.

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} (k * k!) + 1 &= (n + 1) * (n + 1)! + \sum_{k=0}^n (k * k!) + 1 \\ &= (n + 1) * (n + 1)! + (n + 1)! \\ &= (n + 2)! \end{aligned}$$

Thus the property is true  $\forall n \geq 0$ . □

Now we are going to prove the following property which is the one we want to prove here.

**Property 2.**  $P_n$  : The natural number  $n$  can be written using factorial representation. This means that  $\exists k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\forall i \in \llbracket 0, k \rrbracket$ ,  $\exists a_i \in \llbracket 0, i \rrbracket$  such that

$$n = \sum_{i=0}^k a_i * i!.$$

The factorial representation of  $n$  is represented by  $a_k \dots a_1 a_0$  where  $k$  is the smallest natural number that satisfies the previous result.

*Proof.* Case  $n = 0 : 0 = a_0 * 0! = \sum_{i=0}^k a_i * i!$  with  $k = 0$  and  $a_0 = 0$ .

Let us suppose that  $P_n$  is true. We have to prove that  $P_{n+1}$  is true.

As this is our induction hypothesis, we know that  $\exists k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\forall i \in \llbracket 0, k \rrbracket$ ,  $\exists a_i \in \llbracket 0, i \rrbracket$  such that  $n = \sum_{i=0}^k a_i * i!$ . For convenience, let us take the smallest  $k$

such that  $\forall i \in \llbracket 0, k \rrbracket$ ,  $\exists a_i \in \llbracket 0, i \rrbracket$  such that  $n = \sum_{i=0}^k a_i * i!$ . This way we do not work with useless zeros.

Let  $i^* = \min(i \in \llbracket 0, k+1 \rrbracket \mid a_i \neq i)$ .

Here is a property we want to prove :

- If  $i^* \leq k$ , then  $n+1 = \sum_{i=0}^{k'} a'_i * i!$  where  $k' = k$  and  $a'_i = \begin{cases} 0, & \forall i < i^* \\ a_i, & \forall i > i^* \\ a_i + 1, & \text{if } i = i^* \end{cases}$
- If  $i^* = k+1$ , then  $n+1 = 1 * (k+1)! = \sum_{i=0}^{k'} a'_i * i!$  where  $k' = k+1$  and  $a'_i = \begin{cases} 0, & \forall i < i^* \\ 1, & \text{if } i = i^* \end{cases}$

Proving this previous property implies that  $n+1$  can be written in factorial representation.

Let us consider the first case where  $i^* \leq k$ . Then  $\forall i \in \llbracket 0, i^* - 1 \rrbracket$ ,  $a_i = i$  and  $a_{i^*} \in \llbracket 0, i^* - 1 \rrbracket$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
n+1 &= \sum_{i=0}^k a_i * i! + 1 \\
&= \sum_{i=i^*+1}^k a_i * i! + a_{i^*} * i^*! + \sum_{i=0}^{i^*-1} (i * i!) + 1 \\
&= \sum_{i=i^*+1}^k a_i * i! + a_{i^*} * i^*! + i^*! && \text{Because of the property 1} \\
&= \sum_{i=i^*+1}^k a_i * i! + (a_{i^*} + 1) * i^*! \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{k'} a'_i * i!
\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Where } k' = k \text{ and } a'_i = \begin{cases} 0, & \forall i < i^* \\ a_i, & \forall i > i^* \\ a_i + 1, & \text{if } i = i^* \end{cases}$$

Let us now consider the second case where  $i^* = k + 1$ , which is a special case. Here  $\forall i \in \llbracket 0, k \rrbracket$ ,  $a_i = i$ .

$$\begin{aligned} n + 1 &= \sum_{i=0}^k i * i! + 1 \\ &= (k + 1)! \quad \text{Because of the property 1} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{k'} a'_i * i! \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Where } k' = k + 1 \text{ and } a'_i = \begin{cases} 0, & \forall i < i^* \\ 1, & \text{if } i = i^* \end{cases}$$

In both cases,  $n + 1$  can be expressed in factorial representation.

To conclude, we have seen that the property is true when  $n = 0$ , we have proven that  $P_n$  implies that  $P_{n+1}$  is true. Thus the property stands  $\forall n \geq 0$ .  $\square$

**1.2) Prove that this expression is unique (there is not two ways to express a natural number in factorial representation).**

*Proof.* Let us suppose there exists  $n$ , a natural number such that  $n = \sum_{i=0}^k a_i * i!$

and  $n = \sum_{i=0}^{k'} b_i * i!$  where there is at least a  $i$  such that  $a_i \neq b_i$ .

Without loss of generality, we can write that  $k' = k$  (because in the case of  $k' > k$  we can say that all the  $a_i = 0$ ,  $\forall i \in \llbracket k + 1, k' \rrbracket$ ).

Let  $l = \max(i \in \llbracket 0, k \rrbracket \mid a_i \neq b_i)$ ,  $A = (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{l-1})$  and  $B = (b_0, b_1, \dots, b_{l-1})$ .

We define  $\phi_{A,l}$  as follows :  $\phi_{A,l} = \frac{1}{l!} \sum_{i=0}^{l-1} a_i * i!$

Hence we have that :

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=0}^k a_i * i! &= \sum_{i=0}^k b_i * i! \Rightarrow a_l * l! + \sum_{i=0}^{l-1} a_i * i! = b_l * l! + \sum_{i=0}^{l-1} b_i * i! \\
&\Rightarrow a_l + \left(\frac{1}{l!} \sum_{i=0}^{l-1} a_i * i!\right) = b_l + \left(\frac{1}{l!} \sum_{i=0}^{l-1} b_i * i!\right) \\
&\Rightarrow a_l + \phi_{A,l} = b_l + \phi_{B,l} \\
&\Rightarrow (a_l - b_l) = (\phi_{B,l} - \phi_{A,l})
\end{aligned}$$

By definition of  $a_l$  and  $b_l$ , we know that  $(a_l - b_l) \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ . Moreover, by definition, we know that  $\forall i \in \llbracket 0, l-1 \rrbracket$ ,  $a_i \leq i$  and  $b_i \leq i$ . Thus :

$$\text{Thus } 0 \leq \phi_{A,l} \leq \frac{1}{l!} \sum_{i=0}^{l-1} i * i! \leq \frac{1}{l!} \left( \sum_{i=0}^{l-1} (i * i!) + 1 - 1 \right) = \frac{l! - 1}{l!} = 1 - \frac{1}{l!} < 1.$$

Then  $-1 > -\phi_{A,l} \geq 0$ . We can deduce the same results for  $\phi_{B,l}$ , and especially  $0 \leq \phi_{B,l} < 1$ . Given those two results, we have that :

$$-1 < \phi_{B,l} - \phi_{A,l} < 1$$

This result is absurd since we have that  $(a_l - b_l) = (\phi_{B,l} - \phi_{A,l})$  and that  $(a_l - b_l) \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ .

Hence we showed that supposing there exists a natural number that can be expressed in two different ways in factorial representation implies a absurd result. Therefore the factorial representation a all natural numbers is different.  $\square$

### Exercise 3

**1.1) Prove that all natural number can be expressed in Fibonacci representation.**

**Property 3.**  $P_n$  : The natural number  $n$  can be written using Fibonacci representation. This means that  $\exists k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\forall i \in \llbracket 0, k \rrbracket$ ,  $\exists a_i \in \llbracket 0, 1 \rrbracket$  such that

$n = \sum_{i=0}^k a_i * f_{i+2}$  and  $\forall i \in \llbracket 0, k-1 \rrbracket$ ,  $a_i a_{i+1} = 0$ . The Fibonacci representation of  $n$  is represented by  $a_k \dots a_1 a_0$  where  $k$  is the smallest natural number that satisfies the previous result.

The very first thing we have to consider is that the Fibonacci number associated to  $a_i$  is  $f_{i+2}$ , not  $f_i$ . The other important thing, is the constraint  $\forall i \in \llbracket 0, k-1 \rrbracket$ ,  $a_i a_{i+1} = 0$ . This implies that we can not have two consecutive  $a_i$  equal to one. Now that we have a better understanding of  $P_n$ , we are going to prove it.

*Proof.* Case  $n = 0 : 0 = 0 * 1 = \sum_{i=0}^k a_i * f_{i+2}$  where  $k = 0$  and  $a_0 = 0$ .

Let us suppose  $P_n$  is true. We want to prove that  $P_{n+1}$  is true. As this is our induction hypothesis, we know that  $\exists k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\forall i \in \llbracket 0, k \rrbracket$ ,  $\exists a_i \in \llbracket 0, 1 \rrbracket$  such that  $n = \sum_{i=0}^k a_i * f_{i+2}$  and  $\forall i \in \llbracket 0, k-1 \rrbracket$ ,  $a_i a_{i+1} = 0$ . For convenience, let us

take the smallest  $k$  such that  $\forall i \in \llbracket 0, k \rrbracket$ ,  $\exists a_i \in \llbracket 0, 1 \rrbracket$  such that  $n = \sum_{i=0}^k a_i * f_{i+2}$  and  $\forall i \in \llbracket 0, k-1 \rrbracket$ ,  $a_i a_{i+1} = 0$ . This way we do not work with useless zeros. We have  $a_k = 1$  in all cases except if  $n = 0$ .

Let  $i^* = \min(i \in \llbracket 0, k+1 \rrbracket \mid a_i + a_{i+1} = 0)$ . By this definition, we have that  $a_{i^*} = 0$  and  $a_{i^*+1} = 0$ .

Here is a property we want to prove :

- If  $i^* = 0$ , then  $n + 1 = \sum_{i=0}^{k'} a'_i * f_{i+2}$  where  $k' = k = 0$  and  $a'_0 = 1$ .
- If  $0 < i^* \leq k$ , then  $n + 1 = \sum_{i=0}^{k'} a'_i * f_{i+2}$  where  $k' = k$  and  $a'_i = \begin{cases} 0, & \forall i < i^* \\ a_i, & \forall i > i^* \\ 1, & \text{if } i = i^* \end{cases}$
- If  $i^* = k + 1$ , then  $n + 1 = \sum_{i=0}^{k'} a'_i * f_{i+2}$  where  $k' = k + 1$  and  $a'_i = \begin{cases} 0, & \forall i < i^* \\ 1, & \text{if } i = i^* \end{cases}$

Moreover the property states that in all cases  $\forall i \in \llbracket 0, k' - 1 \rrbracket$ ,  $a'_i a'_{i+1} = 0$ .

Proving the previous property implies that  $n + 1$  can be written in Fibonacci representation. So let us prove it now :

The case  $i^* = 0$  is trivial. This implies that  $n = 0$ , thus  $n + 1 = 1 * 1 = 1 * f_2$ . We obviously have  $\forall i \in \llbracket 0, k' - 1 \rrbracket$ ,  $a'_i a'_{i+1} = 0$ .

In the case  $0 < i^* \leq k$ , we can say that  $\begin{cases} \forall i \in \llbracket 0, i^* - 1 \rrbracket, a_i a_{i+1} = 0 \\ \forall i \in \llbracket 0, i^* - 1 \rrbracket, a_i + a_{i+1} \neq 0 \\ a_{i^*-1} = 1 \end{cases}$

We can notice that  $i^*$  is necessarily different from  $k$  or  $k - 1$ .

In this case,  $n$  can be written in this way  $n = \sum_{i=i^*+2}^k a_i * f_{i+2} + \sum_{i=0}^{i^*-1} a_i * f_{i+2}$ .

Here we can divide the problem in two parts :  $i^* - 1$  is even and  $i^* - 1$  is odd.

If  $i^* - 1$  is even,  $\forall i \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $2i \leq i^* - 1$ ,  $a_{2i} = 1$  y  $a_{2i-1} = 0$ . Thus  
 $n = \sum_{i=i^*+2}^k a_i * f_{i+2} + \sum_{i=0}^{(i^*-1)/2} f_{2i+2}$ . As  $f_1 = 1$ , and by the property of the Fibonacci sequence  $f_{n+2} = f_{n+1} + f_n$ , we can show that :

$$\begin{aligned} n+1 &= \sum_{i=i^*+2}^k a_i * f_{i+2} + \sum_{i=0}^{(i^*-1)/2} f_{2i+2} + 1 \\ &= \sum_{i=i^*+2}^k a_i * f_{i+2} + \underbrace{\sum_{i=0}^{(i^*-1)/2} f_{2i+2} + f_1}_{=f_{i^*+2}} \quad \text{Property of the Fibonacci sequence} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{k'} a'_i * f_{i+2} \end{aligned}$$

If  $i^* - 1$  is odd,  $\forall i \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $2i+1 \leq i^* - 1$ ,  $a_{2i} = 0$  y  $a_{2i+1} = 1$ .  
Thus  $n = \sum_{i=i^*+2}^k a_i * f_{i+2} + \sum_{i=0}^{(i^*-2)/2} f_{2i+3}$ . As  $f_2 = 1$ , and by the property of the Fibonacci sequence  $f_{n+2} = f_{n+1} + f_n$ , we can show that :

$$\begin{aligned} n+1 &= \sum_{i=i^*+2}^k a_i * f_{i+2} + \sum_{i=0}^{(i^*-2)/2} f_{2i+3} + 1 \\ &= \sum_{i=i^*+2}^k a_i * f_{i+2} + \underbrace{\sum_{i=0}^{(i^*-2)/2} f_{2i+3} + f_2}_{=f_{i^*+2}} \quad \text{Property of the Fibonacci sequence} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{k'} a'_i * f_{i+2} \end{aligned}$$

In both cases ( $i^* - 1$  even and odd),  $k' = k$  and  $a'_i = \begin{cases} 0, & \forall i < i^* \\ a_i, & \forall i > i^* \\ 1, & \text{if } i = i^* \end{cases}$

In both cases  $\forall i > i^*$ ,  $a'_i = a_i$  so as we have that  $\forall i \in \llbracket i^* + 1, k - 1 \rrbracket$ ,  $a_i a_{i+1} = 0$  we have that  $\forall i \in \llbracket i^* + 1, k' - 1 \rrbracket$ ,  $a'_i a'_{i+1} = 0$ . Moreover,  $\forall i < i^*$ ,  $a'_i = 0$  so  $\forall i \in \llbracket 0, i^* - 1 \rrbracket$ ,  $a'_i a'_{i+1} = 0$ . Finally, we know that  $a'_{i^*} a'_{i^*+1} = 0$

because  $a'_{i^*+1} = a_{i^*+1} = 0$  by definition of  $i^*$ . So  $\forall i \in \llbracket 0, k' - 1 \rrbracket$ ,  $a'_i a'_{i+1} = 0$

$$\text{If } i^* = k + 1, \text{ then if } k \text{ is even } n + 1 = \sum_{i=0}^{k/2} f_{2i+2} + 1 = \sum_{i=0}^{k/2} f_{2i+2} + f_1 = f_{k+3}.$$

$$\text{If } k \text{ is odd } n + 1 = \sum_{i=0}^{(k-1)/2} f_{2i+3} + 1 = \sum_{i=0}^{(k-1)/2} f_{2i+3} + f_2 = f_{k+3}.$$

So in both cases ( $k$  even and odd),  $n + 1 = \sum_{i=0}^{k'} f_{i+2}$  where  $k' = k + 1$  and

$$a'_i = \begin{cases} 0, & \forall i < i^* \\ 1, & \text{if } i = i^* \end{cases}$$

Then obviously, as only  $a_{i^*} = 0$ ,  $\forall i \in \llbracket 0, k' - 1 \rrbracket$ ,  $a'_i a'_{i+1} = 0$ .

To conclude, we have seen that the property is true when  $n = 0$ , we have proven that  $P_n$  implies that  $P_{n+1}$  is true. Thus the property stands  $\forall n \geq 0$ .  $\square$

**1.2) Prove that this expression is unique (there is not two ways to express a natural number in Fibonacci representation).** In order to prove this, we are going to use the same idea or method from the previous part.

*Proof.* Let us suppose there exists a natural number  $n$  such that  $n = \sum_{i=0}^k a_i f_{i+2} =$

$\sum_{i=0}^{k'} b_i f_{i+2}$  (like the previous exercise we can assume without loss of generality that  $k' = k$ ) where  $\forall i \in \llbracket 0, k \rrbracket$ ,  $a_i \in \llbracket 0, 1 \rrbracket$  and  $b_i \in \llbracket 0, 1 \rrbracket$ , where there exists at least a  $i \in \llbracket 0, k - 1 \rrbracket$  such that  $a_i \neq b_i$ . We have that  $\forall i \in \llbracket 0, k - 1 \rrbracket$ ,  $a_i a_{i+1} = 0$  and  $\forall i \in \llbracket 0, k - 1 \rrbracket$ ,  $b_i b_{i+1} = 0$ .

Let  $l = \max(i \in \llbracket 0, k \rrbracket \mid a_i \neq b_i)$ . We know that  $|a_l - b_l| = 1$ . Let  $A = (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{l-1})$  and  $B = (b_0, b_1, \dots, b_{l-1})$ . We define  $\beta_{A,l}$  as follows :

$$\beta_{A,l} = \frac{1}{f_{l+2}} \sum_{i=0}^{l-1} a_i f_{i+2}.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=0}^k a_i f_{i+2} &= \sum_{i=0}^{l-1} b_i f_{i+2} \Rightarrow a_l f_{l+2} + \sum_{i=0}^k a_i f_{i+2} = b_l f_{l+2} + \sum_{i=0}^{l-1} b_i f_{i+2} \\
&\Rightarrow a_l + \left( \frac{1}{f_{l+2}} \sum_{i=0}^{l-1} a_i f_{i+2} \right) = b_l + \left( \frac{1}{f_{l+2}} \sum_{i=0}^{l-1} b_i f_{i+2} \right) \\
&\Rightarrow a_l + \beta_{A,l} = b_l + \beta_{B,l} \\
&\Rightarrow (a_l - b_l) = (\beta_{B,l} - \beta_{A,l})
\end{aligned}$$

Because of the constraint that  $\forall i \in \llbracket 0, k-1 \rrbracket$ ,  $a_i a_{i+1} = 0$ , if  $l-1$  is even :

$$0 \leq \beta_{A,l} = \frac{1}{f_{l+2}} \left( \sum_{i=0}^{l-1} a_i f_{i+2} \right) = \frac{1}{f_{l+2}} (f_{l+1} + f_{l-1} + \dots + f_4 + f_2 + f_1 - 1) = \frac{1}{f_{l+2}} (f_{l+2} - 1) = 1 - \frac{1}{f_{l+2}} < 1$$

If  $l-1$  is odd, we obtain the same result :

$$0 \leq \beta_{A,l} = \frac{1}{f_{l+2}} \left( \sum_{i=0}^{l-1} a_i f_{i+2} \right) = \frac{1}{f_{l+2}} (f_{l+1} + f_{l-1} + \dots + f_5 + f_3 + f_2 - 1) = \frac{1}{f_{l+2}} (f_{l+2} - 1) = 1 - \frac{1}{f_{l+2}} < 1$$

Then  $-1 > -\beta_{A,l} \geq 0$ . We can deduce the same results for  $\beta_{B,l}$ , and especially  $0 \leq \beta_{B,l} < 1$ . Given those two results, we have that :

$$-1 < \beta_{B,l} - \beta_{A,l} < 1$$

Finally, we have that  $1 = |a_l - b_l| = \beta_{B,l} - \beta_{A,l} < 1$  which is absurd. Thus the Fibonacci representation is unique for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

□

## References

- [1] J. F. C. Smith and J. Bourne, “The Pain of Having a Foolish Name,” *Journal of Modern Fiction*, vol. 52, no. 1, p. 114, 2009.