

Bayesian Inference over the Stiefel Manifold via the Givens Representation: Appendix

Arya A. Pourzanjani^{*}, Richard M. Jiang^{*}, Brian Mitchell^{*}, Paul J. Atzberger[†] and Linda R. Petzold^{*}

Appendix A: Deriving the Change-of-Measure Term

We derive the simplified form of the differential form showing that

$$\bigwedge_{i=1}^p \bigwedge_{j=i+1}^n G_j^T dY_i = \prod_{i=1}^p \prod_{j=i+1}^n \cos^{j-i-1} \theta_{ij}. \quad (\text{A.1})$$

We point out that [Khatri and Mardia \(1977\)](#) provide a similar expression for a slightly different representation, but do not offer a derivation. We start by considering the determinant of the matrix form of the change-of-measure term:

$$\bigwedge_{i=1}^p \bigwedge_{j=i+1}^n G_j^T J_{Y_i(\Theta)}(\Theta) d\Theta = \begin{pmatrix} G_{2:n}^T J_{Y_1(\Theta)}(\Theta) \\ G_{3:n}^T J_{Y_2(\Theta)}(\Theta) \\ \vdots \\ G_{p:n}^T J_{Y_p(\Theta)}(\Theta) \end{pmatrix} \quad (\text{A.2})$$

For $l = 1, \dots, n$, we define the following shorthand notation

$$\partial_{i,i+l} Y_k := \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_{i,i+l}} Y_k \quad (\text{A.3})$$

and

$$\partial_i Y_k := (\partial_{i,i+1} Y_k \quad \partial_{i,i+2} Y_k \quad \cdots \quad \partial_{in} Y_k). \quad (\text{A.4})$$

In the new notation Equation can be written in the following block matrix form:

$$\begin{pmatrix} G_{2:n}^T \partial_1 Y_1 & G_{2:n}^T \partial_2 Y_1 & \cdots & G_{2:n}^T \partial_p Y_1 \\ G_{3:n}^T \partial_1 Y_2 & G_{3:n}^T \partial_2 Y_2 & \cdots & G_{3:n}^T \partial_p Y_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ G_{p:n}^T \partial_1 Y_p & G_{p:n}^T \partial_2 Y_p & \cdots & G_{p:n}^T \partial_p Y_p \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{A.5})$$

^{*}Computer Science Department, University of California, Santa Barbara arya@ucsb.edu

[†]Mathematics Department, University of California, Santa Barbara

Note that the block matrices above the diagonal are all zero. This can be seen by observing that the rotations in the Givens representation involving elements greater than i will not affect e_i , i.e. letting $R_i := R_{i,i+1} \cdots R_{in}$,

$$Y_i = R_1 R_2 \cdots R_p e_i = R_1 \cdots R_i e_i. \quad (\text{A.6})$$

Thus for $j > i$, $\partial_j Y_i = 0$ and the determinant of Expression A.5 simplifies to the product of the determinant of the matrices on the diagonal i.e. the following expression:

$$\prod_{i=1}^p \det (G_{i+1:n}^T \partial_i Y_i). \quad (\text{A.7})$$

A.1 Simplifying Diagonal Block Terms

Let I_i denote the first i columns of the $n \times n$ identity matrix and let I_{-i} represent the last $n - i$ columns. The term $G_{i+1:n}^T$ in expression A.7 can be written as

$$G_{i+1:n}^T = I_{-i}^T G^T = I_{-i}^T R_p^T \cdots R_1^T. \quad (\text{A.8})$$

To simplify the diagonal block determinant terms in Expression A.7 we take advantage of the following fact

$$\det (G_{i+1:n}^T \partial_i Y_i) = \det (I_{-i}^T R_p^T \cdots R_1^T) = \det (I_{-i}^T R_i^T \cdots R_1^T \partial_i Y_i). \quad (\text{A.9})$$

In other words, the terms $R_p^T \cdots R_{i+1}^T$ have no effect on the determinant. This can be seen by first separating terms so that

$$\det (G_{i+1:n}^T \partial_i Y_i) = \det \left(\underbrace{I_{-i}^T}_{(n-i) \times n} \underbrace{R_p^T \cdots R_1^T}_{n \times (n-i)} \underbrace{\partial_i Y_i}_{n \times (n-i)} \right) \quad (\text{A.10})$$

$$= \det (I_{-i}^T [R_p^T \cdots R_{i+1}^T] [R_i^T \cdots R_1^T \partial_i Y_i]), \quad (\text{A.11})$$

and then noticing that $R_{i+1} \cdots R_p$ affects only the first i columns of the identity matrix so

$$I_{-i}^T [R_p^T \cdots R_{i+1}^T] = (R_{i+1} \cdots R_p I_{-i})^T = (I_{-i})^T. \quad (\text{A.12})$$

Thus Expression A.7 is equivalent to

$$\prod_{i=1}^p \det (I_{-i}^T R_i^T \cdots R_1^T \partial_i Y_i). \quad (\text{A.13})$$

Now consider the k, l element of the $(n-i) \times (n-i)$ block matrix $I_{-i}^T R_i^T \cdots R_1^T \partial_i Y_i$. This can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} e_{i+k}^T R_i^T \cdots R_1^T \partial_{i,i+l} Y_i &= e_{i+k}^T R_i^T \cdots R_1^T \partial_{i,i+l} (R_1 \cdots R_i e_i) \\ &= e_{i+k}^T R_i^T \cdots R_1^T R_1 \cdots R_{i-1} (\partial_{i,i+l} R_i e_i) \\ &= e_{i+k}^T R_i^T (\partial_{i,i+l} R_i e_i). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.14})$$

Since $e_{i+k}^T R_i^T R_i e_i = 0$, taking the derivatives of both sides and applying the product rule yields

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{i,i+l} (e_{i+k}^T R_i^T R_i e_i) &= \partial_{i,i+l} 0 \\ \Rightarrow (\partial_{i,i+l} e_{i+k}^T R_i^T) R_i e_i + e_{i+k}^T R_i^T (\partial_{i,i+l} R_i e_i) &= 0 \\ \Rightarrow e_{i+k}^T R_i^T (\partial_{i,i+l} R_i e_i) &= -(\partial_{i,i+l} e_{i+k}^T R_i^T) R_i e_i. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.15})$$

Combining expression A.15 this fact with expression A.14, the expression for the k, l element of $I_{-i}^T R_i^T \cdots R_1^T \partial_i Y_i$ becomes $-(\partial_{i,i+l} e_{i+k}^T R_i^T) R_i e_i$.

However, note that

$$e_{i+k}^T R_i^T = e_{i+k}^T R_{in}^T \cdots R_{i,i+1}^T = e_{i+k}^T R_{i,i+k}^T \cdots R_{i,i+1}^T, \quad (\text{A.16})$$

and the partial derivative of this expression with respect to $i, i+l$ is zero when $k > l$. Thus it is apparent that $I_{-i}^T R_i^T \cdots R_1^T \partial_i Y_i$ contains zeros above the diagonal and that $\det (I_{-i}^T R_i^T \cdots R_1^T \partial_i Y_i)$ is simply the product of the diagonal elements of the matrix.

A.2 Diagonal Elements of the Block Matrices

To obtain the diagonal terms of the block matrices, we directly compute $-\partial_{i,i+l} e_{i+k}^T R_i^T$ for $l = k$, $R_i e_i$, and their inner-product. Defining $D_{ij} := \partial_{ij} R_{ij}$,

$$-\partial_{i,i+k} R_i e_{i+k} = -\partial_{i,i+k} (R_{i,i+1} \cdots R_{i,i+k} e_{i+k}) \quad (\text{A.17})$$

$$= -R_{i,i+1} \cdots R_{i,i+k-1} D_{i,i+k} e_{i+k} \quad (\text{A.18})$$

$$(\text{A.19})$$

$$= R_{i,i+1} \cdots R_{i,i+k-1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ \cos \theta_{i,i+k} \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ \sin \theta_{i,i+k} \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (\text{A.20})$$

$$= R_{i,i+1} \cdots R_{i,i+k-2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ \cos \theta_{i,i+k-1} \cos \theta_{i,i+k} \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ \sin \theta_{i,i+k-1} \cos \theta_{i,i+k} \\ \sin \theta_{i,i+k} \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (\text{A.21})$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ \cos \theta_{i,i+1} \cos \theta_{i,i+2} \cdots \cos \theta_{i,i+k-1} \cos \theta_{i,i+k} \\ \sin \theta_{i,i+1} \cos \theta_{i,i+2} \cdots \cos \theta_{i,i+k-1} \cos \theta_{i,i+k} \\ \vdots \\ \sin \theta_{i,i+k-1} \cos \theta_{i,i+k} \\ \sin \theta_{i,i+k} \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (\text{A.22})$$

(A.23)

which is zero up to the i th spot. After the $i + k$ th spot,

$$R_i e_i = R_{i,i+1} \cdots R_{in} e_i \quad (\text{A.24})$$

(A.25)

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ \cos \theta_{i,i+1} \cos \theta_{i,i+2} \cdots \cos \theta_{i,n-1} \cos \theta_{in} \\ \sin \theta_{i,i+1} \cos \theta_{i,i+2} \cdots \cos \theta_{i,n-1} \cos \theta_{in} \\ \vdots \\ \sin \theta_{i,n-1} \cos \theta_{in} \\ \sin \theta_{in} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{A.26})$$

Finally, directly computing the inner-product of $-\partial_{i,i+l} e_{i+k}^T R_i^T$ and $R_i e_i$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} -(\partial_{i,i+l} e_{i+k}^T R_i^T)(R_i e_i) &= \cos^2 \theta_{i,i+1} \cos^2 \theta_{i,i+2} \cdots \cos^2 \theta_{i,i+k} \cos \theta_{i,i+k+1} \cdots \cos \theta_{in} \\ &+ \sin^2 \theta_{i,i+1} \cos^2 \theta_{i,i+2} \cdots \cos^2 \theta_{i,i+k} \cos \theta_{i,i+k+1} \cdots \cos \theta_{in} \\ &+ \sin^2 \theta_{i,i+2} \cos^2 \theta_{i,i+3} \cdots \cos^2 \theta_{i,i+k} \cos \theta_{i,i+k+1} \cdots \cos \theta_{in} \\ &\vdots \\ &+ \sin^2 \theta_{i,i+k} \cos \theta_{i,i+k+1} \cdots \cos \theta_{in} \\ &= \cos^2 \theta_{i,i+2} \cos^2 \theta_{i,i+3} \cdots \cos^2 \theta_{i,i+k} \cos \theta_{i,i+k+1} \cdots \cos \theta_{in} \\ &+ \sin^2 \theta_{i,i+2} \cos^2 \theta_{i,i+3} \cdots \cos^2 \theta_{i,i+k} \cos \theta_{i,i+k+1} \cdots \cos \theta_{in} \\ &\vdots \\ &+ \sin^2 \theta_{i,i+k} \cos \theta_{i,i+k+1} \cdots \cos \theta_{in} \\ &= \cdots \\ &= \cos \theta_{i,i+k+1} \cdots \cos \theta_{in} \\ &= \prod_{k=i+1}^n \cos \theta_{ik}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.27})$$

Thus the determinant of the entire block matrix $I_{-i}^T R_i^T \cdots R_1^T \partial_i Y_i$ simplifies to

$$\prod_{k=i+1}^n \left(\prod_{j=k+1}^n \cos \theta_{ik} \right) = \prod_{j=i+1}^n \cos^{j-i-1} \theta_{ij}. \quad (\text{A.28})$$

Combining this with Expression A.13 yields

$$\prod_{i=1}^p \det(I_{-i}^T R_i^T \cdots R_1^T \partial_i Y_i) = \prod_{i=1}^p \prod_{j=i+1}^n \cos^{j-i-1} \theta_{ij}. \quad (\text{A.29})$$

References

Khatri, C. and Mardia, K. (1977). “The von Mises-Fisher matrix distribution in orientation statistics.” *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. Series B (Methodological)*, 95–106.