

CMPSC 461: Programming Language Concepts

Assignment 1 Solution

Problem 1 [9pt] Add parentheses to the following lambda terms so that the grouping of sub-terms becomes explicit. For example, the term $\lambda x. x \lambda y. y$ with parentheses is $\lambda x. (x (\lambda y. y))$.

a) (3pt) $\lambda x. \lambda y. x y \lambda z. x z$

Solution: $\lambda x. (\lambda y. ((x y) (\lambda z. (x z))))$

b) (3pt) $\lambda x. \lambda y. x \lambda x. x y y$

Solution: $\lambda x. (\lambda y. (x (\lambda x. ((x y) y))))$

c) (3pt) $\lambda x. x \lambda y. x x y$

Solution: $\lambda x. (x (\lambda y. ((x x) y)))$

Problem 2 [6pt] For each of following terms, connect all bound variables to their definitions with lines. For example, the answer for $\lambda x. x x y$ should be $\lambda \underline{x}. x x y$.

a) (3pt) $\lambda x. y x \lambda x. x y$

Solution: $\lambda \underline{x}. y x \lambda \underline{x}. x y$

b) (3pt) $\lambda y. \lambda z. z y \lambda y. x$

Solution: $\lambda \underline{y}. \lambda \underline{z}. z y \lambda \underline{y}. x$

Problem 3 [10pt] Fully evaluate the following λ -term so that no further β -reduction is possible.

a) (5pt) $((\lambda x y. x y) (\lambda x. y)) u$

Solution:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & ((\lambda x y. x y) (\lambda x. y)) u \\
 = & ((\lambda x. (\lambda y. x y)) (\lambda x. y)) u && \text{(desugar term } \lambda x y. x y) \\
 = & ((\lambda x. (\lambda z. x z)) (\lambda x. y)) u && (\alpha - \text{reduction}) \\
 = & (\lambda z. (\lambda x. y) z) u && (\beta - \text{reduction}) \\
 = & (\lambda x. y) u && (\beta - \text{reduction}) \\
 = & y && (\beta - \text{reduction})
 \end{aligned}$$

b) (5pt) $((\lambda x. x) (\lambda y z. y)) z$

Solution:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & ((\lambda x. x) (\lambda y z. y)) z \\
 = & (\lambda y z. y) z && (\beta - \text{reduction}) \\
 = & (\lambda y. (\lambda z. y)) z && \text{(desugar term } \lambda y z. y) \\
 = & (\lambda y. (\lambda u. y)) z && (\alpha - \text{reduction}) \\
 = & \lambda u. z && (\beta - \text{reduction})
 \end{aligned}$$

Problem 4 [9pt] Recall that under Church encoding, we have the following definitions:

$$\text{IF} \triangleq \lambda b \ t \ f. \ b \ t \ f \quad \text{TRUE} \triangleq \lambda t \ f. \ t \quad \text{FALSE} \triangleq \lambda t \ f. \ f$$

a) (4pt) Fully evaluate $(\lambda x. (x \ y \ \text{TRUE})) \ \text{FALSE}$ so that no further β -reduction is possible.. **Solution:**

$$\begin{aligned} & (\lambda x. (x \ y \ \text{TRUE})) \ \text{FALSE} \\ &= (\text{FALSE} \ y \ \text{TRUE}) && (\beta - \text{reduction}) \\ &= (\lambda t \ f. \ f) \ y \ \text{TRUE} && (\text{definition of FALSE}) \\ &= \text{TRUE} && (\beta - \text{reduction}) \end{aligned}$$

b) (5pt) Show that $(\text{IF} \ \text{FALSE} \ \text{TRUE} \ \text{FALSE}) = \text{FALSE}$ under such encoding. **Solution:**

$$\begin{aligned} & (\text{IF} \ \text{FALSE} \ \text{TRUE} \ \text{FALSE}) \\ &= (\lambda b \ t \ f. \ b \ t \ f) \ \text{FALSE} \ \text{TRUE} \ \text{FALSE} && (\text{definition of IF}) \\ &= \text{FALSE} \ \text{TRUE} \ \text{FALSE} && (\beta - \text{reduction}) \\ &= (\lambda t \ f. \ f) \ \text{TRUE} \ \text{FALSE} && (\text{definition of TRUE}) \\ &= \text{FALSE} && (\beta - \text{reduction}) \end{aligned}$$

Problem 5 [16pt] In the lecture, we have used the pure λ -calculus to construct natural numbers and encoded some operations on them. In such encoding, a number n is encoded as a function $\lambda f \ z. f^n \ z$ (denoted as \underline{n}). In this problem, we will define more useful operations on numbers. If you get stuck in any question, try to proceed by assuming the previous function is properly defined. You can reuse any encoding given in lectures and previous questions.

a) (4pt) Define a function ISZERO in λ -calculus, so that given a number \underline{n} , it returns TRUE (the encoding of true) if $\underline{n} = \underline{0}$; FALSE (the encoding of false) if $\underline{n} \neq \underline{0}$. Hint: try to define two terms so that applying the second term multiple times to the first term returns FALSE, otherwise, the application returns TRUE.

Solution:

$$\text{ISZERO} \triangleq \lambda n. \ n \ (\lambda f. \ \text{FALSE}) \ (\text{TRUE})$$

b) (4pt) Define a function PRED in λ -calculus, so that given a number \underline{n} , the function returns its predecessor, assuming the predecessor of $\underline{0}$ is $\underline{0}$ Hint: follow the idea in Problem 4a. You might need to use PAIR.

Solution:

$$\text{PRED} \triangleq \lambda n. \ \text{RIGHT} \ (n \ (\lambda p. \ \text{PAIR} \ (\text{SUCC} \ (\text{LEFT} \ p)) \ (\text{LEFT} \ p)) \ (\text{PAIR} \ \underline{0} \ \underline{0}))$$

c) (4pt) Use your encoding of PRED to define a subtraction function MINUS, so that MINUS $\underline{n_1} \ \underline{n_2}$ returns $\underline{n_1 - n_2}$ when $n_1 \geq n_2$, and $\underline{0}$ otherwise.

Solution:

$$\text{MINUS} \triangleq \lambda n_1 \ n_2. \ n_2 \ \text{PRED} \ n_1$$

d) (4pt) Recall that in lecture note 2, we have defined MULT (the encoding of \times) based on PLUS (the encoding of $+$): $\text{MULT} \triangleq \lambda n_1 \ n_2. \ (n_1 \ (\text{PLUS} \ n_2) \ \underline{0})$. Try to define MULT on Church numerals without using PLUS (replacing PLUS with an equivalent term under α/β reduction doesn't count as a solution). Hint: by definition, we have $\underline{n} \ f = \lambda z. \ f^n \ z$, which can be interpreted as repeating an arbitrary function f for n times to parameter z . The goal here is to repeat an arbitrary function f for $n_1 \times n_2$ times (according to the definition of $\underline{n_1 \times n_2}$).

Solution:

$$\text{MULT} \triangleq \lambda n_1 \ n_2 \ f. \ (n_2 \ (n_1 \ f))$$