

Normalisation – Part 3

Assignment Project Exam Help

Summary and Discussion

<https://powcoder.com>

Add WeChat powcoder

Summary of Normal Forms

- 1NF, 3NF and BCNF are popular in practice. Other normal forms are rarely used.

1NF: only atomic values for attributes
(part of the definition for the relational data model);

2NF: an intermediate result in the history of database design theory;

3NF: lossless and dependencies can be preserved;

BCNF: lossless but dependencies may not be preserved.

- 3NF can only **minimise (not necessarily eliminate) redundancy**. So a relation schema in 3NF may still have update anomalies.
- A relation schema in BCNF **eliminates redundancy**.

Why Denormalisation?

- **Do we need to normalize relation schemas in all cases** when designing a relational database?
- The normalisation process may degrade performance when data are frequently queried.
- Since relation schemas are decomposed into many smaller ones after normalisation, queries need to **join many relations together** in order to return the results.
- Unfortunately, **join operation is very expensive**.
- When data is **more frequently queried rather than being updated** (e.g., data warehousing system), a weaker normal form is desired (i.e., **denormalisation**).

Denormalisation

- **Denormalisation** is a **design process** that
 - happens after the normalisation process,
 - is often performed during the physical design stage, and
 - reduces the number of relations that need to be joined for certain queries.
- We need to distinguish:
 - **Unnormalised** – there is no systematic design.
 - **Normalised** – redundancy is reduced after a systematic design (to minimise data inconsistencies).
 - **Denormalised** – redundancy is introduced after analysing the normalised design (to improve efficiency of queries)

Trade-offs



- A good database design is to **find a balance** between desired properties, then normalise/denormalise relations to a desired degree.

Trade-offs – Data Redundancy vs. Query Efficiency

- Normalisation: **No Data Redundancy but No Efficient Query Processing**
- Data redundancies are eliminated in the following relations.

STUDENT			COURSE	
Name	<u>StudentID</u>	<u>DOB</u>	<u>CourseNo</u>	Unit
Tom	123456	25/01/1988	COMP2400	6
Michael	123458	21/04/1985	COMP8740	12

ENROL		
<u>StudentID</u>	<u>CourseNo</u>	<u>Semester</u>
123456	COMP2400	2010 S2
123456	COMP8740	2011 S2
123458	COMP2400	2009 S2

- However, the query for “list the names of students who enrolled in a course with 6 units” requires 2 join operations.

```
SELECT Name, CourseNo FROM ENROL e, COURSE c, STUDENT s WHERE  
e.StudentID=s.StudentID and e.CourseNo=c.CourseNo and c.Unit=6;
```

Trade-offs – Data Redundancy vs. Query Efficiency

- Denormalisation: **Data Redundancy but Efficient Query Processing**
- If a student enrolled 15 courses, then the name and DoB of this student need to be stored repeatedly 15 times in ENROLMENT

<https://powcoder.com>

Add WeChat powder

ENROLMENT					
Name	StudentID	DoB	CourseNo	Semester	Unit
Tom	123456	25/01/1988	COMP2400	2010 S2	6
Tom	123456	25/01/1988	COMP8740	2011 S2	12
Michael	123458	21/04/1985	COMP2400	2009 S2	6

- However, the query for “list the names of students who enrolled a course with 6 units” can be processed efficiently (no join needed).

```
SELECT Name, CourseNo FROM ENROLMENT WHERE Unit=6;
```


Discussion

- Both normalisation and denormalisation are useful in database design.
 - **Normalisation**: obtain database schema avoiding redundancies and data inconsistencies
 - **Denormalisation**: join normalized relation schemata for the sake of better query processing
- Some problems of (de-)normalisation:
 - FDs **cannot handle null values**.
 - To apply normalisation, FDs must be **fully specified**.
 - The algorithms for normalisation **are not deterministic**, leading to different decompositions.