COMP2610/COMP6261 Tutorial 2 Solutions*

Semester 2, 2018

(a) Let n_i denote the number of times that we observe outcome X = i. The likelihood is

$$L(\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^{N} p(X = x_i | \theta)$$

Assignment $= \mathbf{P} \cdot \mathbf$

https://powcoder.com

(b) The log-likelihood is WeChat powcoder $\mathcal{L}(\theta) = (n_1 + n_2) \cdot \log \frac{\theta}{2} + n_3 \cdot \log(1 - \theta)$.

$$\mathcal{L}(\theta) = (n_1 + n_2) \cdot \log \frac{\theta}{2} + n_3 \cdot \log(1 - \theta).$$

The derivative is

$$\mathcal{L}'(\theta) = \frac{n_1 + n_2}{\theta} - \frac{n_3}{1 - \theta}.$$

We have that $n_1 = 3, n_2 = 3, n_3 = 4$. So, we need

$$\frac{6}{\theta} = \frac{4}{1 - \theta}$$

for which the solution may be checked to be $\theta = 0.6$. Observe then that we estimate

$$p(X = 1) = 0.3$$

$$p(X=2) = 0.3$$

$$p(X=3) = 0.4,$$

matching the frequencies of observations of each outcome.

^{*}Based in part on solutions by Avraham Ruderman or the 2012 version of the course.

- 2. (a) We can show that X and Y are not statistically independent by showing that $p(x,y) \neq p(x)p(y)$ for at least one value of x and y. For example: p(X=1) = 1/8 + 1/8 = 1/4 and p(Y=2) = 1/8 + 1/16 + 1/16 = 1/4. From the given table we see that: p(X=1,Y=2) = 1/8 which is different from p(X=1)p(Y=2) = 1/16.
 - (b) First, we find the marginal probabilities using the sum rule:

$$\mathbf{p}(X) = (P(X=1), P(X=2), P(X=3), P(X=4)) = (1/4, 1/4, 1/4, 1/4)$$
$$\mathbf{p}(Y) = (P(Y=1), P(Y=2), P(Y=3), P(Y=4)) = (1/4, 1/4, 1/4, 1/4).$$

We see that both p(X) and p(Y) are uniform distributions with 4 possible states. Hence: $H(X) = H(Y) = \log_2 4 = 2$ bits.

To compute the conditional entropy H(X|Y) we need the conditional distributions p(X|Y) which can be computed by using the definition of conditional probability p(X=x|Y=y)=p(X=x,Y=y)/p(Y=y). In other words, we divide the rows of the given table by the corresponding marginal.

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{p}(X|Y=1) = (0,0,1/2,1/2) \\ \mathbf{p}(X|Y=2) = (1/2,1/4,1/4,0) \\ \mathbf{p}(X|Y=2) = (1/2,1/4,1/4,0) \\ \mathbf{p}(X|Y=4) = (0,1/4,1/4,1/2). \end{array}$$

Hence the harditional entropy H(X) is even by: Com

$$H(X|Y) = \sum_{i=1}^{4} p(Y=i)H(X|Y=i)$$

$$Add = (1/4)H(0,0,1/2,1/2,0,0) + (1/4)H(0,1/4,1/4,1/2)$$

$$= 1/4 \times 1 + 1/4 \times 3/2 + 1/4 \times 1 + 1/4 \times 3/2$$

$$= 5/4 \text{ bits.}$$

Here we note that conditioning has indeed decreased entropy. We can compute the joint entropy by using the chain rule:

$$H(X,Y) = H(X|Y) + H(Y) = 5/4 + 2 = 13/4$$
 bits.

Additionally, we know that by the chain rule H(X,Y) = H(Y|X) + H(X), hence:

$$H(Y|X) = H(X,Y) - H(X) = 13/4 - 2 = 5/4$$
 bits.

We see that for this particular example H(X|Y) = H(Y|X), which is not generally the case.

Finally, the mutual information I(X;Y) is given by:

$$I(X;Y) = H(X) - H(X|Y) = 2 - 5/4 = 3/4$$
 bits.

3. (a)
$$h(c = \text{red}, v = K) = \log_2 \frac{1}{P(c = \text{red}, v = K)} = \log_2 \frac{1}{1/26} = 4.7004 \text{ bits.}$$

(b)
$$h(v = \mathbf{K}|f = 1) = \log_2 \frac{1}{P(v = \mathbf{K}|f = 1)} = \log_2 \frac{1}{1/3} = 1.585 \text{ bits.}$$

(c) We have

i.
$$H\left(S\right) = \sum_{s} p\left(s\right) \log_{2} \frac{1}{p(s)} = 4 \times \frac{1}{4} \times \log_{2} \frac{1}{1/4} = 2 \text{ bits.}$$
ii. $H(V,S) = \sum_{v,s} p(v,s) \log_{2} \frac{1}{p(v,s)} = 52 \times \frac{1}{52} \log_{2} \frac{1}{1/52} = 5.7 \text{ bits.}$

- (d) Since V and S are independent we have I(V; S) = 0 bits.
- (e) Since C is a function of S and by the data processing inequality $I(V;C) \leq I(V;S) = 0$. However, mutual information must be nonnegative so we must have I(V;C) = 0 bits.
- 4. This is a direct application of Jensen's inequality to the convex function $g(x) = x^2$.
- 5. (a) We see that I(X;Y) = 0 as $X \perp \!\!\! \perp Y$.
 - (b) To compute I(X;Y|Z) we apply the definition of conditional mutual information:

Assignment Project Exam Help

$$I(X;Y|Z) = H(X|Z)$$

To determine the call we cok a set of the whole of the computed by considering the following possibilities:

$$\begin{array}{c|cccc} X & Y & Z \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 \\ \end{array}$$

Therefore:

$$\mathbf{p}(X|Z=0) = (1,0)$$

 $\mathbf{p}(X|Z=1) = (1/2, 1/2)$
 $\mathbf{p}(X|Z=2) = (0,1)$

From this, we obtain: $H(X|Z=0)=0,\,H(X|Z=2)=0,\,H(X|Z=1)=1$ bit. Therefore:

$$I(X;Y|Z) = p(Z=1)H(X|Z=1) = (1/2)(1) = 0.5$$
 bits.

- (c) This does not contradict the data-processing inequality (or more specifically the "conditioning on a downstream variable" corollary): the random variables in this example do not form a Markov chain. In fact, Z depends on both X and Y.
- 6. Gibb's inequality tells us that for any two probability vectors $\mathbf{p}=(p_1,\ldots,p_{|\mathcal{X}|})$ and $\mathbf{q}=(q_1,\ldots,q_{|\mathcal{X}|})$:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{|\mathcal{X}|} p_i \log \frac{p_i}{q_i} \ge 0$$

with equality if and only if $\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{q}$. If we take \mathbf{q} to be the vector representing the uniform distribution $q_1 = \ldots = q_{|\mathcal{X}|} = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{X}|}$, then we get

$$0 \le \sum_{i=1}^{|\mathcal{X}|} p_i \log \frac{p_i}{\frac{1}{|\mathcal{X}|}} = \sum_{i=1}^{|\mathcal{X}|} p_i \log p_i + \sum_{i=1}^{|\mathcal{X}|} p_i \log |\mathcal{X}| = -H(\mathbf{p}) + \log |\mathcal{X}|$$

with equality if and only if \mathbf{p} is the uniform distribution. Moving $H(\mathbf{p})$ to the other side gives the inequality.

Assignment Project Exam Help

https://powcoder.com

Add WeChat powcoder