

21–23 October 2020

The plan

Try to get through all of this week's exercises. Reminder 1: A good text on context-free languages is available under "Readings Online". Reminder 2: Assignment 2 is due by the end of Week 11.

The exercises

90. Construct push-down automata (PDAs) for the languages from Exercise 77. In each case, the alphabet is $\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$.

- (a) $\{w \mid w \text{ starts and ends with the same symbol}\}$
- (b) $\{w \mid \text{the length of } w \text{ is odd}\}$
- (c) $\{w \mid \text{the length of } w \text{ is odd and its middle symbol is 0}\}$
- (d) $\{w \mid w \text{ is a palindrome}\}$

91. Let us say that a PDA $(Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta, q_0, F)$ is *progressive* if none of its transitions are of form $\delta(q, \epsilon, a) = \dots$. That is, a progressive PDA consumes an input symbol in each of its moves.

Let us say that a PDA is *deterministic* if, from any configuration, it has at most one available move. That is,

- (a) $\forall q \in Q \forall v \in \Sigma \cup \{\epsilon\} \forall a \in \Gamma \cup \{\epsilon\} (|\delta(q, v, a)| \leq 1)$
- (b) $\forall q \in Q \forall a \in \Gamma \cup \{\epsilon\} (|\delta(q, \epsilon, a)| = 1 \Rightarrow \forall v \in \Sigma (|\delta(q, v, a)| = 0))$
- (c) $\forall q \in Q \forall v \in \Sigma \cup \{\epsilon\} (|\delta(q, v, \epsilon)| = 1 \Rightarrow \forall a \in \Gamma (|\delta(q, v, a)| = 0))$

Which of your PDAs from Exercise 90 are progressive, and which are deterministic?

(The PDAs required in Assignment 2's Challenge 6 are both progressive and deterministic.)

92. We have seen that the set of context-free languages is not closed under intersection. However, it *is* closed under intersection with regular languages. That is, if L is context-free and R is regular then $L \cap R$ is context-free.

We can show this if we can show how to construct a push-down automaton P' for $L \cap R$ from a push-down automaton P for L and a DFA D for R . The idea is that we can do something similar to what we did in Exercise 65 when we built "product automata", that is, DFAs for languages $R_1 \cap R_2$ where R_1 and R_2 were regular languages. If P has state set Q_P and D has state set Q_D , then P' will have state set $Q_P \times Q_D$.

More precisely, let $P = (Q_P, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta_P, q_P, F_P)$ and let $D = (Q_D, \Sigma, \delta_D, q_D, F_D)$. Recall the types of the transition functions:

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_P &: (Q_P \times \Sigma_\epsilon \times \Gamma_\epsilon) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(Q_P \times \Gamma_\epsilon) \\ \delta_D &: (Q_D \times \Sigma) \rightarrow Q_D\end{aligned}$$

We construct P' with the following components: $P' = (Q_P \times Q_D, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta, (q_P, q_D), F_P \times F_D)$. Discuss how P' can be constructed from P and D . Then give a formal definition of δ , the transition function for P' .

93. (a) Consider the language $A = \{a^i b^j a^i b^j \mid i \geq 0 \wedge j \geq 0\}$. Use the pumping lemma for context-free languages to show that A is not context-free.
- (b) Now consider $B = \{a^i b^j a^j b^i \mid i \geq 0 \wedge j \geq 0\}$. Give a context-free grammar for B .
- (c) A and B look very similar. We might try to prove B not context-free by doing what we did to prove that A is not context-free. Where does the attempted proof fail?
94. Recall that a binary relation \prec over set S is a well-founded relation iff there is no infinite sequence $s_0, s_1, s_2, s_3, \dots$ such that $s_i \succ s_{i+1}$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. That is, each sequence of elements from S , when listed in decreasing order, is finite. For each of the following, say whether it is well-founded:
- (a) The usual “smaller than” relation, $<$, on the natural numbers \mathbb{N} .
 - (b) The usual “smaller than” relation, $<$, on the rational numbers, \mathbb{Q} .
 - (c) The relation “is a proper sublist of” on the set of finite lists.
 - (d) The (strict) lexicographic ordering of pairs of natural numbers, that is, the relation \prec defined by $(m, m') \prec (n, n')$ iff $m < n \vee (m = n \wedge m' < n')$.

For the last question, it may help to draw the Hasse diagram for the partially ordered set $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$, ordered by \preceq , the reflexive closure of \prec .

95. You have a bag of ($n > 0$) coloured marbles. There are three colours: red, blue, and white, and on the table, next to the bag, is a huge box with marbles of all three colours, enough that you never run out. Now repeat the following process.
- (a) If the bag contains at most one marble, halt. Otherwise remove two marbles from the bag (without looking).
 - (b) If one of the two marbles is red, move both to the box.
 - (c) If both are white but one of them, pack into the bag, together with 5 blue marbles from the box (the other white marble goes in the box).
 - (d) Otherwise move both to the box, and move 10 red marbles from the box to the bag.

Show that the process must halt.

96. (Optional.) The Week 10 lecture introduced the function $c : \mathbb{N} \leftrightarrow \mathbb{N}$, defined recursively like so:

$$c(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = 0 \text{ or } n = 1 \\ c(n/2) & \text{if } n \text{ is even and } n > 1 \\ c(3n + 1) & \text{if } n \text{ is odd and } n > 1 \end{cases}$$

Write a Haskell function `hailstone :: Integer -> Int` which calculates the number of recursive calls made when computing $c(n)$. For example, `hailstone 5` should evaluate to 5, and `hailstone 27` should evaluate to 111.

c is known to terminate for all natural numbers up to 10^{20} . It is conjectured to terminate for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, but whether this is actually the case is an open problem. There are examples where similar conjectures have been refuted. One famous example has to do with prime factorisations. Say that $n > 1$ is *peven* if its prime factorisation has an even number of factors; otherwise n is *podd*. So $28 = 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 7$ is *podd*, and $40 = 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 5$ is *peven*. Pólya conjectured that, for any k , the set $\{2, 3, 4, \dots, k\}$ never has a majority of *peven* elements. However, that turned out to be false, the smallest counter-example being $k = 906150257$.