## COMP 302 – Section 01 / 02 – Fall 2017 Midterm Examination, 10 Oct 2017

Brigitte Pientka and Francisco Ferreira

— Model Solution—

Name:

McGill ID#: Assignment Project Exam Help

https://powcoder.com

Add WeChat powcoder
This examination is closed book, but you are allowed a cheat sheet (one page - single sided, minimum of 12pt font). No calculators, laptops, cell phones, or any other device that could conceivably run OCaml are allowed. You have 80 minutes (start: 18:05pm – 19:25 pm).

**Please write your answers on the exam paper.** Try to write in the blanks provided. If you cannot, you may write your answer in the scratch space at the end.

There are **100 points** total. This midterm is worth 10% of the course grade.

The questions are **not** ordered by difficulty.

Good luck!

## Question 1 [20 points]: Short Answers

For each of the following expressions, say whether OCaml accepts the6cm expression as well-typed or gives a type error. If the expression is well-typed, give its most general type. If the expression is syntactically ill-formed, return parse error. Further, give the result of evaluating the expressions that OCaml returns. If OCaml does not evaluate the expression, mark it with X.

```
i. fun y -> 3 * y

Type: int -> int

Result of evaluation: <fun>
ii. fun (x , y)-> if x then y else 2.0

Type: (bool,* float)-> float

ASSIGNMENT Project Exam Help
iii. let x = 4 in let y = x * 2 in let x = 3 in x + y

Type: int https://powicodericcom

iv. fun x y -> [ x Add WeChat powcoder

Type: 'a -> 'a -> 'a list

Result of evaluation: <fun>
v. fun 1 -> match 1 with [] -> true | _ -> false

Type: 'a list -> bool

Result of evaluation: <fun>
```

## Question 2 [25 points]: Let's play cards!

In class we defined cards using suit and rank, and a hand of cards as follows:

```
type suit = Clubs | Spades | Hearts | Diamonds
type rank = Two | Three | Four | Five | Six | Seven | Eight | Nine | Ten |
            Jack | Queen | King | Ace
type card = rank * suit
type hand = Empty | Hand of card * hand
```

We want to sort the cards in our hand. For this purpose, we will use mergesort as a strategy. Furthermore, we do not want to fix how the cards must be ordered. Therefore our sort function is parameterized by a function p:card -> card -> bool which allows us to compare two cards in a hand of cards. It returns a sorted hand of cards.

```
let rec sort (p:card -> card -> bool) (h:hand) = match h with
  | Empty -> Empty
  | Hand (c, Empty) -> h
  |  \rightarrow let (h1, h2) = split h in merge p (sort p h1) (sort p h2)
```

The function merge p h1 h2 which we refer to in the code above allows us to merge two Your task is to implement the function split: hand -> hand \* hand.

The function split takes a hand of cards and will put cards at odd position into the first hand and cards at even in the conditions into the condition conditions.

```
# split (Hand ((Queen, Hearts), Hand ((Queen, Diamonds), Hand ((Ace, Hearts), Empty))));;
- : hand * hand =
(Hand ((Queen, Hearts), Hand ((Ace, Hearts), Empty)),
Hand ((Queen, Diamon A) denty We Chat powcoder
# split Empty;;
- : hand * hand = (Empty, Empty)
# split (Hand((Queen, Hearts), Empty));;
- : hand * hand = (Hand ((Queen, Hearts), Empty), Empty)
```

#### Model Solution:

```
let rec split h = match h with
  | Empty -> (Empty , Empty)
  | Hand (c , Empty) -> (h , Empty)
| Hand (c1, Hand (c2, h)) ->
       let h1, h2 = split h in
          (Hand (c1, h1), Hand (c2, h2))
```

## Question 3 [30 points]: Let's have cake!

Let's model a cup cake by its price, its weight, its calories and its ingredients as follows:

```
type price = float
type weight = float
type calories = int
type ingredient = Nuts | Gluten | Soy | Dairy

type cup_cake = Cup_cake of price * weight * calories * ingredient list

let c1 = Cup_cake (2.5 , 80.3, 250, [Dairy ; Nuts]) ;;
let c2 = Cup_cake (2.75, 90.5, 275, [Dairy ; Soy]) ;;
let c3 = Cup_cake (3.05, 100.4, 303, [Nuts ; Dairy ; Gluten]) ;;
let c4 = Cup_cake (3.25, 120.4, 330, [Gluten; Dairy]) ;;
```

Your task is to implement a function allergy\_free: ingredient list -> cup\_cake list -> cup\_cake list . It takes a list of ingredients, allergens, and list of cup cakes, 1, as input. It returns only those cup cakes that do not contain allergens. Note that list of ingredients are not ordered.

```
# allergy_free [Nuts] [c1; c2; c3; c4];;
-: cup_cake disignment Project Exam Help
[Cup_cake (2.75, 275, [Dairy; Soy]);
Cup_cake (3.25, 120.4, 330, [Gluten; Dairy])]
# allergy_free [Nuts; Gluten] [c1; c2; c3; c4];;
-: cup_cake list Attop Sake DOW (0.6, Cer. [Cap. Moy])]
```

Use the following higher-order function to give a succinct answer:

```
• List.filter: (Add)-WeChat, powcoder
```

```
• List.exists: ('a -> bool)-> 'a list -> bool
```

```
• List.for_all: ('a -> bool)-> 'a list -> bool
```

If you do not know how to use these functions, write a program without them! Use the spare page at the end, if you need more space. A correct implementation without higher-order functions will receive a maximum of 20 points.

#### Model Solution:

## Question 4 [25 points]: Heartache for Everyone (Inductive Proof)

Below we give two functions which both count the number of cards in a hand.

```
let rec count h = match h with
  | Empty -> 0
  | Hand (c, h) -> count h + 1

let rec count_tr h acc = match h with
  | Empty -> acc
  | Hand (c, h) -> count_tr h (1+acc)
```

Prove that for all h:hand, count h = count\_tr h 0. As we cannot prove this statement directly, we prove the following more general statement.

**Theorem 1.** For all hands h and accumulators acc, count  $h + acc = count_t r h$  acc. Proof. By structural induction on h.

• Base Case: h = Empty.

```
count Empty + acc \Longrightarrow* 0 + acc \Longrightarrow* acc
```

# Assignment Project Exam Help

Hence we are done.

• Step Case: h Hand(c h')/powcoder.com

State your induction hypothesis:

## Add WeChat powcoder

For all acc'. count h' + acc' = count\_tr h' acc'

```
Proof: To show: count (Hand(c,h')) + acc = count_tr (Hand(c,h'))acc'

RHS: count (Hand(c,h')) + acc \Longrightarrow^* (count h' + 1) + acc by program count \Longrightarrow^* count h' + (1 + acc) by assoc. of + \Longrightarrow^* count_tr h' (1 + acc) by IH choosing 1 + acc for acc'

LHS: count_tr (Hand(c,h'))acc \Longrightarrow^* count_tr h' (1 + acc) by program of count_tr
```

Therefore, we are done.

**Theorem 2** (Main Result). For all hands h, count  $h = count_t r h o$ .

Proof.

By Theorem 1, choosing 0 for acc, we have: count h + 0 = count\_tr h 0.

Since also count  $h + 0 \Longrightarrow^* count h$ , we have count  $h = count_tr h 0$ .

Assignment Project Exam Help
https://powcoder.com
Add WeChat powcoder

### Scratch space

Assignment Project Exam Help
https://powcoder.com
Add WeChat powcoder