

Software System Design and Implementation

Add Weichwart powcoder

### **Sort Properties**

- sortFn xs == sortFn (reverse xs)
- \* x 'elem' http \$x\$//powcoder.com
- 1 length xs == length (sortFn xs)
- sortFn xsA=drderWeeChat powcoder

### **Dodgy Sort**

- Satisfy only (2) and (4)
  Satisfy only (1), (3), and (4)
- Satisfy only (1), (2), (3), and (4) WeChat powcoder

#### Fractal Art

- Let's take a look at the gallery
- Assess your peers
   Is the Intro Disch/general W for dealing the last to
  - Is the picture function given parameters that influence at least two aspects of the image other than recursion depth, size, and colour?
- Is it a real attempt to generate a nice image?
  Online form to under press of the impantent of the control of

#### **Data Invariants**

- Atsising in the state of the
- Data invarints trues to be shown to be true for all gong true fo

• Data invariants thust ask be shown to be true for all functions that transform the value of a data type. The output of these functions must satisfy the wellformedness predicate only if the input does.

#### **Abstract Data Types**

- ADTs allow us to encapsulate the implementation of a data type by restricting access to which functions can be used construct, query, or transform a value from outside the noting s. which me oder. com
- The ability to restrict access to certain implementation details is generally dependant on the language.
- If all the external visible functions maintain the data invariants then no external code can construct a value that ever violates them.

#### Refinement

- A relation from an implementation to an abstract model or an abstract specification.
- If an implementation of the same behavior but may have additional behaviour or detail.
  - A refinement is the opposite of an abstraction, which removes detail.
- In this course, the model and implementation will present an indistingushable interface with different implementation detays.

#### **Data Refinement**

- Assignment Project Exam Help we can demonstrate a refinement relation between two data types if we can show that the interfaces are the same and they exhibit the same behavior. This is a data refinement.
- We choose With as type Did Wh Con Cond Of this the definition or specification.
- The other data type then becomes our *implementation*, i.e. the data type that we will actually specified by a second at powcoder
   We must show that the implementation is a refinement of the model or
- We must show that the implementation is a refinement of the model of specification.

#### Data Refinement

### Refinersignment Project Exam Help

In general, all functional correctness specifications can be expressed as:

- all data invariants are maintained, and
- the implementations is septimental to the implementations in the implementation of the implementations is septimentations.

There is a limit to the amount of abstraction we can do before they become useless for

# testing (but not necessarily for proving) hat powcoder

While abstraction can simplify proofs, abstraction does not reduce the fundamental complexity of verification, which is provably hard.

### **Editor Example**

# Assignment Project Exam Help Consider this ADT interface for a text editor:

data Editor
einit :: Strint project of powcoder.com
stringOf :: Editor -> Editor
moveRight :: Editor -> Editor
insertChar :: Alard > Wte -> hat powcoder
deleteChar :: Editor -> Editor

#### **Data Invariant Properties**

```
prop_einit_ok
                              s = wellformed (einitA s)
prop_moveLefter Safe / Wellformed (moveRight A)
prop_moveInsert_ok x a = wellformed (insertCharA x a)
\overset{\texttt{prop\_moveDelete\_ok}}{Add}\overset{\texttt{a}\overset{\texttt{wellformed}}{WeCnat}}\overset{\texttt{(deleteCharA a)}}{powcoder}
```

### **Editor Example: Abstract Model**

## our Assignment Projects Exiam Help

```
einitA s = A s 0
stringOfA (A_s _) = s
moveRightA (https://powcoder.com
insertCharA x (A t c) = let (t1, t2) = splitAt c t
deleteCharA Add = Welthalspowcoder
in A (t1 ++ drop 1 t2) c
```

But do we need to keep track of all that information in our implementation? No!

#### **Concrete Implementation**

Our Assignmentin Propertin Extant (ir Helpad the right part of the cursor:

```
einit s = C \prod s
stringOf (C hff) sreverse of wrcoder.com
moveLeft c = c
moveRight (C ls (r:rs)) = C (r:ls) rs
moveRight c Add WeChat powcoder insertChar x (C Is rs) C (x:1s) rs
deleteChar (C ls (_:rs)) = C ls rs
deleteChar c = c
```

### Assignment Project Exam Help

Abstraction function to express our refinement relation in a QC-friendly way: such a function: https://powcoder.com

```
toAbstract :: Concrete -> Abstract
toAbstract (C ls rs) = A (reverse ls ++ rs) (length ls)
```

### Add WeChat powcoder

#### **Properties with Abstraction Functions**

```
TopAissignment Project Exam Help
prop_stringOf_r c =
  stringOf c == stringOfA (toAbstract c)
prop_moveRight_r c =
 toAbstract (moveRight c) == moveRightA (toAbstract c)
cop_insChar A: C: C WeChat powcoder
  toAbstract (insertChar x c)
  == insertCharA x (toAbstract c)
prop_delChar_r c =
  toAbstract (deleteChar c) == deleteCharA (toAbstract c)
```

#### Homework

- Last week's quiz is due on Friday. Make sure you submit your answers.
  The third primary exercises with the primary exercises with the primary exercises with the primary exercises.
- The first assignment is due by the start if my next lecture (in 7 days).
- This week's quiz is also up, it's due next Friday (in 9 days).

  Add WeChat powcoder

#### Consultations

- Poll on Piazza to register interest. Will not run if there are no votes.
- Tomorrow Party Sam / Porton College Com
- Link on course website & Plazza.
- Make sure to join the queue on Hopper. Be ready to share your screen with REPL (ghci or stAckdrd) Weichtat powcoder