


Quick correction: A particular DFA to verify $\{a^n b^n \mid n \geq 0\}$.



EXAMPLE 1 $\Sigma = \{a\}$

$$L = \{a^q \mid q \text{ a prime number}\}$$

Demon picks some $p > 0$

I pick a^n where $n > p$ and n is a prime.

Demon has to pick $y = a^k$ where $k > 0$

$$0 < k \leq p$$

I choose some i (tell you in a minute)

New "pumped" string is

$$a^{n+(i-1)k}$$

I pick $i = n+1$
length = $n + n \cdot k = n(k+1)$
Definitely not prime

This language is not regular.

Ex 2 $L = \{a^n b^n \mid n \neq m\}$ $\Sigma = \{a, b\}$

Intuitively this is not regular but proving it with the pumping lemma directly is not easy.

We can try \bar{L} but this language is messy to describe.

$$\text{But } \bar{L} \cap a^* b^* = \{a^n b^n \mid n \geq 0\}$$

This is clearly not regular.

So \bar{L} cannot be regular.

So L cannot be regular.


Ex 3 $L = \{a^i b^j \mid i > j\}$ $\Sigma = \{a, b\}$

Demon picks p

$$I \text{ pick } a^p b^{p-1}$$

Demon is constrained to choose $|x|y| \leq p$ so y has to consist

exclusively of a 's.



$$\Rightarrow y = a^k \text{ where } 0 < k \leq p$$

I choose $i = 0$

New "pumped" string is

$$a^{p-k} b^{p-1}$$

$p - k$ is not strictly bigger than $p - 1$.

(((()))) X .

Balanced paren cannot be regular.

Ex 4 $\Sigma = \{0\}$ $L = \{0^2^n \mid n \geq 0\}$

2^n really does mean exponentiation but 0^x just means repeat 0 x times.

$$L = \{0, 00, 0000, 00000000, \dots\}$$

Demon chooses p

I choose m s.t. $2^m > p$ and I choose 0^m as my word w .

Demon chooses $y = 0^k$ $0 < k \leq p$

I choose $i = 2$

$$\text{Pumped string } xyyz = 0^{(2^m+k)}$$

$$2^m < 2^m + k \leq 2^m + p < 2^m + 2^m = 2^{m+1}$$

$2^m + k$ lies strictly between two consecutive powers of 2 and hence

cannot be a power of 2.

DFA's can do bounded counting or modular arithmetic.

Add WeChat powcoder

$$L = \{a^n b^n \mid 2 \geq n \geq 0\} = \{\epsilon, ab, abab\}$$

$$L = \{a^n b^n \mid 0 \leq n \leq 2\} = \{\epsilon, ab, aaabb\}$$

X X X X X X X X X X X X

MINIMIZATION of DFA

Size = # of states

We must count dead states.

Goal Design a DFA with the fewest states.

FACT (I) There is a unique smallest DFA for any regular language.

FACT (II) There is an algorithm to find the smallest DFA for a given regular language. This algorithm works by starting with any (correct) DFA and "combining" states.

MACHINE for recognizing whether numbers are divisible by 3:

$$\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$$

Let's keep track of the remainder mod 6

This does the job but it has 6 states instead of 3.

There must be states that can be combined.

s_0 & s_3 : do we really need both of them?

$$s_0 \xrightarrow{0} s_0$$

$$s_3 \xrightarrow{0} s_0$$

$$s_0 \xrightarrow{1} s_1$$

$$s_3 \xrightarrow{1} s_1$$

Once you are in s_0 or s_3 at the very next step you are in the same state.

Once you are in a state the future behaviour does not depend on how you got there.

We can deem (s_0, s_3) as equivalent.

Similarly (s_1, s_4) behave "similarly"

and (s_2, s_5) behave "similarly".

We can construct a new m/c by lumping together

$$\{s_0, s_3\}, \{s_1, s_4\}, \{s_2, s_5\}.$$