

Diophantine equation:

$$\rightarrow x^2 + y^2 = z^2$$

- give solutions in whole numbers
- give solutions in rational numbers

$$x^2 + y^2 = 1$$

$$3^2 + 4^2 = 5^2$$

$$5^2 + 12^2 = 13^2$$

$$x^3 + y^3 = z^3$$

$x=1, y=0, z=1$ x trivial

$$\frac{x^y - y^x}{z^2 - 2^3} = 1$$

$$3^2 - 2^3 = 1 \rightarrow \text{only solution}$$

MECHANICAL PROCEDURE??

The concept of algorithm was not yet formulated.

TODAY:

An algorithm is a process for computing something using finite resources, with a precise notion of primitive step; each step involves a finite amount of information. SOMETIMES we require that the process halts (terminate).

1928 Hilbert & Ackermann asked for a mechanical procedure to determine if a formula of logic is valid or not.

VALID: True no matter how you interpret the variables.

$$\text{VALID}((\forall x P(x) \Rightarrow Q(x)) \wedge P(a)) \Rightarrow Q(a)$$

ENTSCHIEDUNGSPROBLEME!

Both these problems are impossible!

(USA) ALONZO CHURCH 1935

(UK) ALAN TURING 1936

Need a notion of algorithm:

KURT GÖDEL → TOTAL RECURSIVE FUNCTIONS

ALONZO CHURCH → λ -CALCULUS

ALAN TURING → TURING M/C

A. MARKOV, E. POST, S. KLEENE, B. ROSSER ...

WE HAVE MANY EQUIVALENT SYSTEMS:

(1) Partial recursive fns (KLEENE)

(2) FLOW CHARTS

(3) "while" programs

(4) ASSEMBLY LANGUAGE

(5) RAM MACHINES

(6) 2 STACK AUTOMATA

(7) 2 COUNTER M/C

(8) QUEUE M/C

(9) 1000001 PROGRAMMING LANGUAGE

(10) TURING MACHINES

(11) λ -CALCULUS

(12) PHRASE STRUCTURE GRAMMAR

CHURCH-TURING THESIS

(1) Algorithms can be expressed as a sequence of symbols and treated as data.

(2) There is a universal machine.

(3) There are unsolvable problems.

The HALTING PROBLEM:

ALONZO CHURCH - 1935

BASIC ASSUMPTIONS: Assignment Project Exam Help

Every algorithm can be coded as a program and represented as a string

Add WeChat powcoder

$P \rightarrow$ program $\# P \rightarrow$ source code

$P \rightarrow$ program, $x \rightarrow$ input

$P(x) \rightarrow$ run P with x as input

$P(x, y) \rightarrow \dots \dots x, y, \dots$

P has while loops, if-then-else and ;

what we want: $H(\cdot, \cdot)$

$H(\#P, x) \rightarrow$ TRUE if $P(x)$ halts

$H(\#P, x) \rightarrow$ FALSE if $P(x)$ loops

\hookrightarrow always halts.

CLAIM: SUCH AN H CANNOT EXIST!

Assume we have such an H .

Define a new program S :

$S(\#P) : \text{if } H(\#P, \#P) \text{ then loop}$

else halt

S has source code $\#S$

$S(\#S) : \text{Does this halt?}$

if $H(\#S, \#S)$ then loop else halt.

so if $S(\#S)$ halts, H returns true,

we go to the then branch & loop \circlearrowleft

if $S(\#S)$ loops, H returns false

we go to the else branch & halt \circlearrowleft

such an H cannot exist!

EXAMPLE

$\text{COL}(n) = \text{if } n=1 \text{ then return } 1$

else if n is even $\text{COL}(n/2)$

else $\text{COL}(3n+1)$

$\text{COL} \rightarrow \text{COL AT 2}$

$\text{COL}(11) \rightarrow \text{COL}(34) \rightarrow \text{COL}(17) \rightarrow (52)$

$\rightarrow 26 \rightarrow 13 \rightarrow 40 \rightarrow 20 \rightarrow 10 \rightarrow 5 \rightarrow 16 \rightarrow$

$8 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 1 \quad \text{HALT}$

for $n > 1$ does this always halt?

AS YET UNKNOWN!

RECAP Diagonalization

The set of infinite sequences of positive integers is not countable

① 6 3 39 4 29 13

3 7 1 9

2 8 11 4

;

$\rightarrow 5 3 2 \dots$

The new list differs in its n^{th} place from the n^{th} element of the n^{th} list.

Hence it cannot be on the list!

The number of pairs of positive integers is countable.

$(1,1) \rightarrow (1,2) \rightarrow (1,3) \rightarrow (1,4) \dots$

$(2,1) \rightarrow (2,2) \rightarrow (2,3) \rightarrow (2,4) \dots$

$(3,1) \rightarrow (3,2) \rightarrow (3,3) \rightarrow (3,4) \dots$

$(4,1) \rightarrow (4,2) \rightarrow (4,3) \rightarrow (4,4) \dots$

$(1,1) \rightarrow (2,1) \rightarrow (1,2) \rightarrow (3,1) \rightarrow (2,2) \rightarrow (1,3) \dots$