# COMP547A Homework set #4 <u>Due Thursday December 1st</u>, 2022, 23:59

### **Exercises (from Katz and Lindell's book)**

- [5%]
- 4.7 Let F be a pseudorandom function. Show that the following MAC for messages of length 2n is insecure: Gen outputs a uniform  $k \in \{0,1\}^n$ . To authenticate a message  $m_1 || m_2$  with  $|m_1| = |m_2| = n$ , compute the tag  $F_k(m_1) || F_k(F_k(m_2))$ .
- <mark>[5%</mark>]
- 4.13 We explore what happens when the basic CBC-MAC construction is used with messages of different lengths.

  - tag on a message of length 4n.
- [5%]
- (b) Say the receiver only accepts 3-block messages (so  $\mathsf{Vrfy}_k(m,t) = 1$  on the same of the same o

### Add WeChat powcoder

- 4.14 Prove that the following modifications of basic CBC-MAC do not yield a secure MAC (even for fixed-length messages):
  - (b) A random initial block is used each time a message is authenticated. That is, change Construction 4.9 by choosing uniform  $t_0 \in \{0,1\}^n$ , computing  $t_\ell$  as before, and then outputting the tag  $\langle t_0, t_\ell \rangle$ ; verification is done in the natural way.
- [5%]

[5%]

4.27 Define an appropriate notion of a  $\varepsilon$ -secure two-time MAC, and give a construction that meets your definition.

#### **HOMEMADE** Question: Achieving Rivest's private-key encryption from a Mac

- [5%]
- Provide a security definition of a **Mac** that makes the (bit-by-bit) private-key encryption scheme that Rivest described secure in the sense of indistinguishability in the presence of an eavesdropper.

- 12.6 Consider the following public-key encryption scheme. The public key is  $(\mathbb{G}, q, g, h)$  and the private key is x, generated exactly as in the El Gamal encryption scheme. In order to encrypt a bit b, the sender does the following:
  - (a) If b = 0 then choose a uniform  $y \in \mathbb{Z}_q$  and compute  $c_1 := g^y$  and  $c_2 := h^y$ . The ciphertext is  $\langle c_1, c_2 \rangle$ .
  - (b) If b = 1 then choose independent uniform  $y, z \in \mathbb{Z}_q$ , compute  $c_1 := g^y$  and  $c_2 := g^z$ , and set the ciphertext equal to  $\langle c_1, c_2 \rangle$ .

Show that it is possible to decrypt efficiently given knowledge of x. Prove that this encryption scheme is CPA-secure if the decisional Diffie-Hellman problem is hard relative to  $\mathcal{G}$ .

Hint: Prove that if "not CPA-secure" then "DDH problem is efficiently solved".

12.7 Consider the following variant of El Gamal encryption. Let p = 2q + 1, let  $\mathbb{G}$  be the group of squares modulo p (so  $\mathbb{G}$  is a supgroup of  $\mathbb{Z}_p^*$  of Explain key  $\mathbb{C}[p](q,q,x)$  and the public key is  $(\mathbb{G}, g, q, h)$ , where  $h = g^x$  and  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_q$  is chosen uniformly. To encrypt a message  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_q$ , choose a uniform  $r \in \mathbb{Z}_q$ , compute  $p \in \mathbb{Z}_q$  is the ciphertext be  $\langle c_1, c_2 \rangle$ . Is this scheme CPA-secure? Prove your answer.

Add WeChat powcoder

12.17 Say three users have RSA public keys  $\langle N_1, 3 \rangle$ ,  $\langle N_2, 3 \rangle$ , and  $\langle N_3, 3 \rangle$  (i.e., they all use e=3), with  $N_1 < N_2 < N_3$ . Consider the following method for sending the same message  $m \in \{0,1\}^{\ell}$  to each of these parties: choose a uniform  $r \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_{N_1}^*$ , and send to everyone the same ciphertext

$$\langle [r^3 \bmod N_1], [r^3 \bmod N_2], [r^3 \bmod N_3], H(r) \oplus m \rangle,$$

where  $H: \mathbb{Z}_{N_1}^* \to \{0, 1\}^{\ell}$ . Assume  $||N_1|| = ||N_2|| = ||N_3|| = n \ll \ell$ .

- (a) Show that this is not CPA-secure, and an adversary can recover m from the ciphertext even when H is modeled as a random oracle.

  Hint: See Section 12.5.1.
- (b) Show a simple way to fix this and get a CPA-secure method that transmits a ciphertext of length  $3\ell + \mathcal{O}(n)$ .
- (c) Show a better approach that is still CPA-secure but with a ciphertext of length  $\ell + \mathcal{O}(n)$ .



[5%]

[5%]

[5%]

[5%]

[5%]

- 13.1 Show that Construction 4.7 for constructing a variable-length MAC from any fixed-length MAC can also be used (with appropriate modifications) to construct a signature scheme for arbitrary-length messages from any signature scheme for messages of fixed length  $\ell(n) \geq n$ .
- 13.5 Another approach (besides hashing) that has been explored to construct secure RSA-based signatures is to *encode* the message before applying the RSA permutation. Here the signer fixes a public encoding function enc :  $\{0,1\}^{\ell} \to \mathbb{Z}_N^*$  as part of its public key, and the signature on a message m is  $\sigma := [\operatorname{enc}(m)^d \operatorname{mod} N]$ .

[5%]

(a) How is verification performed in such a scheme?

[5%]

(b) Suggest an appropriate encoding function for  $\ell \ll ||N||$  that heuristically prevents the "no-message attack" described in Section 13.4.1.

[5%]

(c) Show that encoded RSA is insecure if  $enc(m) = m||0^{\kappa/10}|$  (where  $\kappa \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} ||N||$ ,  $|m| \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} 4\kappa/5$ , and m is not the all-0 message). Assume e=3.

[5%]

(d) Show that encoded RSA is insecure for enc(m) = m||0||m (where

A

Assignment in Projected 1 Exercise. Assign = 3. (e) Show attacks in parts (c) and (d) for arbitrary e.

[5%]

## HOMEMADE Ohttps://pow.coder.com

Alice and Bob are a bit confused. They are going to use a Digital Signature scheme as a Ward Leville Grant growth confused digital signature scheme (such as hashed RSA for instance). They run  $Gen(1^n)$  to obtain  $(p_k, s_k)$  but only share and use  $s_k$  as the private-key of a **Mac**.

[5%]

(A) Let  $\Pi' = (\operatorname{Gen}', \operatorname{Mac}', \operatorname{Vrfy}')$  be the **Mac** resulting from this idea. Used as a **Mac** they simply set  $t := \operatorname{Mac}'_{sk}(m) := \operatorname{Sign}_{sk}(m)$ . However, since they only use  $s_k$ , how will the receiver verify the message-tag pair (m,t)? In other words, what is  $\operatorname{Vrfy}'_{sk}(m,t)$ ? Why did I underlined the word "deterministic" above?

[5%]

(B) Show that if  $\Pi$  is a digital signature scheme existentially unforgeable under an adaptive chosen-message attack then  $\Pi'$  is a Mac existentially unforgeable under an adaptive chosen-message attack (whether  $p_k$  is made public or not).

[5%]

(C) Image that Alice and Bob use  $\Pi'$  as above, and that  $p_k$  is disclosed publicly. Explain how this defeats Rivest's argument seen in class that private-key authentication implies private-key encryption.