

Assignment Project Exam Help

# Information Retrieval

<https://powcoder.com>

Add WeChat powcoder

Lecture 7: Scoring and results assembly

# Recap: tf-idf weighting

---

- The tf-idf weight of a term is the product of its tf weight and its idf weight.

$$w_{t,d} = (1 + \log_{t,d}) \times \log_{10}(N / df_t)$$

- Best known weighting scheme in information retrieval
- Increases with the number of occurrences within a document
- Increases with the rarity of the term in the collection

# Recap: Queries as vectors

---

- Key idea 1: Do the same for queries: represent them as vectors in the space
- Key idea 2: Rank documents according to their proximity to the query in this space  
<https://powcoder.com>
- proximity = similarity of vectors  
[Add WeChat powcoder](#)

# Recap: cosine(query,document)

$$\cos(\vec{q}, \vec{d}) = \frac{\vec{q} \cdot \vec{d}}{\|\vec{q}\| \|\vec{d}\|} = \frac{\vec{q} \cdot \vec{d}}{\|\vec{q}\| \|\vec{d}\|} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{|V|} q_i d_i}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{|V|} q_i^2} \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{|V|} d_i^2}}$$

Dot product

Unit vectors

Add WeChat powcoder

$\cos(\vec{q}, \vec{d})$  is the cosine similarity of  $\vec{q}$  and  $\vec{d}$  ... or,  
equivalently, the cosine of the angle between  $\vec{q}$  and  $\vec{d}$ .

# This lecture

---

- Speeding up vector space ranking
- Putting together a complete search system  
Assignment Project Exam Help  
<https://powcoder.com>
- Will require learning about a number of miscellaneous topics and heuristics  
Add WeChat powcoder

**Question:** Why don't we just use the query processing methods for Boolean queries?

Term-at-a-time

# Computing cosine scores

COSINESCORE( $q$ )

```
1 float Scores[N] = 0
2 float Length[N]
3 for each query term  $t$ 
4   do calculate  $w_{t,q}$  and fetch postings list for  $t$ 
5     for each pair( $d, tf_{t,d}$ ) in postings list
6       do  $Scores[d] += w_{t,d} \times w_{t,q}$ 
7   Read the array Length
8   for each  $d$ 
9     do  $Scores[d] = Scores[d] / Length[d]$ 
10  return Top  $K$  components of Scores[]
```

# Efficient cosine ranking

---

- Find the  $K$  docs in the collection “nearest” to the query  $\Rightarrow K$  largest query-doc cosines.
- Efficient ranking:
  - Computing a single cosine efficiently.
  - Choosing the  $K$  largest cosine values efficiently.
    - Can we do this without computing all  $N$  cosines?

# Efficient cosine ranking

- What we're doing in effect: solving the  $K$ -nearest neighbor problem for a query vector
- In general, ~~Assignment Project Exam Help~~ we do not know how to do this efficiently for high-dimensional spaces  
<https://powcoder.com>
- But it is solvable for short queries, and standard indexes support this well  
~~Add WeChat powcoder~~

# Special case – unweighted queries

---

- No weighting on query terms
  - Assume each query term occurs only once
- Then for ranking, don't need to normalize query vector <https://powcoder.com>
  - Slight simplification of algorithm from Lecture 6

# Faster cosine: unweighted query

FASTCOSINESCORE( $q$ )

- 1 float  $Scores[N] = 0$
- 2 **for each**  $d$
- 3 **do** Initialize  $Length[d]$  to the length of doc  $d$
- 4 **for each**  $query\_term$
- 5 **do** calculate  $w_{t,d}$  and fetch postings list for  $t$
- 6     **for each** pair( $d, tf_{t,d}$ ) in postings list
- 7         **do** add  $\underline{w} \underline{f}_{t,d}$  to  $Scores[d]$
- 8     Read the array  $Length[d]$
- 9     **for each**  $d$
- 10    **do** Divide  $Scores[d]$  by  $Length[d]$
- 11   **return** Top  $K$  components of  $Scores[]$

Figure 7.1 A faster algorithm for vector space scores.

# Computing the $K$ largest cosines: selection vs. sorting


---

- Typically we want to retrieve the top  $K$  docs (in the cosine ranking for the query)
  - not to totally order all docs in the collection
- Can we pick off ~~https://www~~<sup>Assignment Project Exam Help</sup> ~~the  $K$  highest~~ cosines?
- Let  $n$  of docs with ~~Add WeChat powcoder~~ nonzero cosines
  - We seek the  $K$  best of these  $n$

[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Binary\\_heap](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Binary_heap)

# Use heap for selecting top $K$

- Binary tree in which each node's value > the values of children
- Takes  $2n$  operations to construct, then each of  $K$  “winners” read off in  $2\log n$  steps  
<https://powcoder.com>
- Total time is  $O(n + K*\log(n))$   
[Add WeChat](#) [powcoder](#)
- For  $n=1M$ ,  $K=100$ , this is about 10% of the cost of sorting.



# Quick Select

---


- QuickSelect is similar to QuickSort to find the top-K elements from an array
  - Takes  $O(n \log n)$  time
- Sorting the top-K items takes  $O(K^2 \log K)$  time
- Total time is  $O(n + K^2 \log K)$

# Query Processing

---

- Document-at-a-time
  - Calculates complete scores for documents by processing all term lists **Assignment Project Exam Help**
- Term-at-a-time [\*\*https://powcoder.com\*\*](https://powcoder.com)
  - Accumulates scores for documents by processing term lists one at a time **Add WeChat powcoder**
- Both approaches have optimization techniques that significantly reduce time required to generate scores
  - Distinguish between safe and heuristic optimizations

# Document-At-A-Time



# Document-At-A-Time



---

```

procedure DOCUMENTATATIMERETRIEVAL( $Q, I, f, g, k$ )
     $L \leftarrow \text{Array}()$ 
     $R \leftarrow \text{PriorityQueue}(k)$ 
    for all terms  $w_i$  in  $Q$  do
         $l_i \leftarrow \text{InvertedList}(w_i, I)$ 
         $L.\text{add}( l_i )$ 
    end for Assignment Project Exam Help  
https://powcoder.com  
Add WeChat powcoder
    for all documents  $d \in I$  do
        for all inverted lists  $l_i$  in  $L$  do
            if  $l_i$  points to  $d$  then
                 $s_D \leftarrow s_D + g_i(Q)f_i(l_i)$  ▷ Update the document score
                 $l_i.\text{movePastDocument}( d )$ 
            end if
        end for
         $R.\text{add}( s_D, D )$ 
    end for
    return the top  $k$  results from  $R$ 
end procedure

```

# Term-At-A-Time



# Term-At-A-Time

```

procedure TERMATATIMERETRIEVAL( $Q, I, f, g, k$ )
     $A \leftarrow \text{HashTable}()$ 
     $L \leftarrow \text{Array}()$ 
     $R \leftarrow \text{PriorityQueue}(k)$ 
    for all terms  $w_i$  in  $Q$  do
         $l_i \leftarrow \text{InvertedList}(w_i, I)$ 
         $L.add(l_i)$ 
    end for
    for all lists  $l_i \in L$  do
        while  $l_i$  is not finished do
             $d \leftarrow l_i.\text{getCurrentDocument}()$ 
             $A_d \leftarrow A_d + g_i(Q)f(l_i)$ 
             $l_i.\text{moveToNextDocument}()$ 
        end while
    end for
    for all accumulators  $A_d$  in  $A$  do
         $s_D \leftarrow A_d$                                  $\triangleright$  Accumulator contains the document score
         $R.add(s_D, D)$ 
    end for
    return the top  $k$  results from  $R$ 
end procedure

```

Assignment Project Exam Help

<https://powcoder.com>

while  $l_i$  is not finished do

$d \leftarrow l_i.\text{getCurrentDocument}()$

$A_d \leftarrow A_d + g_i(Q)f(l_i)$

$l_i.\text{moveToNextDocument}()$

end while

end for

for all accumulators  $A_d$  in  $A$  do

$s_D \leftarrow A_d$

$\triangleright$  Accumulator contains the document score

$R.add(s_D, D)$

end for

return the top  $k$  results from  $R$

// accumulators

//  $A_d$  contains partial score

# Optimization Techniques

---

- Term-at-a-time uses more memory for accumulators, but accesses disk more efficiently
- Two classes of optimization
  - Read less data from inverted lists
    - e.g., skip lists
    - better for simple feature functions
  - Calculate scores for fewer documents
    - e.g., conjunctive processing
    - better for complex feature functions

# Conjunctive Processing

---

- Requires the result document containing all the query terms (i.e., conjunctive Boolean queries)
  - More efficient
  - Can also be more effective for short queries
  - Default for many search engines
- Can be combined with both DAAT and TAAT

```

1: procedure TERMATATIMERETRIEVAL( $Q, I, f, g, k$ )
2:    $A \leftarrow \text{HashTable}()$ 
3:    $L \leftarrow \text{Array}()$ 
4:    $R \leftarrow \text{PriorityQueue}(k)$ 
5:   for all terms  $w_i$  in  $Q$  do
6:      $l_i \leftarrow \text{InvertedList}(w_i, I)$ 
7:      $L.add(l_i)$ 
8:   end for
9:   for all lists  $l_i \in L$  do
10:    while  $l_i$  is not finished do
11:      if  $i = 0$  then
12:         $d \leftarrow l_i.\text{getCurrentDocument}()$ 
13:         $A_d \leftarrow A_d + g_i(Q)f(l_i)$ 
14:      else
15:         $d \leftarrow l_i.\text{getCurrentDocument}()$ 
16:         $d \leftarrow A.\text{getNextDocumentAfter}(d)$ 
17:         $l_i.\text{skipForwardTo}(d)$ 
18:        if  $l_i.\text{getCurrentDocument}() = d$  then
19:           $A_d \leftarrow A_d + g_i(Q)f(l_i)$ 
20:        else
21:           $A.\text{remove}(d)$ 
22:        end if
23:      end if
24:    end while
25:  end for
26:  for all accumulators  $A_d$  in  $A$  do
27:     $s_D \leftarrow A_d$             $\triangleright$  Accumulator contains the document score
28:     $R.add(s_D, D)$ 
29:  end for
30:  return the top  $k$  results from  $R$ 
31: end procedure

```

## Conjunctive Term-at-a-Time

Assignment Project Exam Help

<https://powcoder.com>

Add WeChat powcoder

```

1: procedure DOCUMENTATATIMERETRIEVAL( $Q, I, f, g, k$ )
2:    $L \leftarrow \text{Array}()$ 
3:    $R \leftarrow \text{PriorityQueue}(k)$ 
4:   for all terms  $w_i$  in  $Q$  do
5:      $l_i \leftarrow \text{InvertedList}(w_i, I)$ 
6:      $L.\text{add}( l_i )$ 
7:   end for
8:   while all lists in  $L$  are not finished do
9:     for all inverted lists  $l_i$  in  $L$  do
10:    if  $l_i.\text{getCurrentDocument}() = d$  then
11:       $d \leftarrow l_i.\text{getCurrentDocument}()$ 
12:    end if
13:   end for
14:   for all inverted lists  $l_i$  in  $L$  do  $l_i.\text{skipForwardToDocument}(d)$ 
15:     if  $l_i$  points to  $d$  then
16:        $s_d \leftarrow s_d + g_i(Q)f_i(l_i)$   $\triangleright$  Update the document score
17:        $l_i.\text{movePastDocument}( d )$ 
18:     else
19:       break
20:     end if
21:   end for
22:    $R.\text{add}( s_d, d )$ 
23: end while
24: return the top  $k$  results from  $R$ 
25: end procedure

```

## Conjunctive Document-at-a-Time

**Assignment Project Exam Help**

<https://powcoder.com>

for all inverted lists  $l_i$  in  $L$  do  $l_i.\text{skipForwardToDocument}(d)$

**if**  $l_i$  points to  $d$  **then**

$s_d \leftarrow s_d + g_i(Q)f_i(l_i)$   $\triangleright$  Update the document score

$l_i.\text{movePastDocument}( d )$

**else**

**break**

**end if**

**end for**

$R.\text{add}( s_d, d )$

**end while**

**return** the top  $k$  results from  $R$

**end procedure**

**Add WeChat powcoder**

# Threshold Methods

---

- Threshold methods use number of top-ranked documents needed ( $k$ ) to optimize query processing
  - for most applications,  $k$  is small
- For any query, there is a *minimum score* that each document needs to reach before it can be shown to the user
  - score of the  $k$ th-highest scoring document
  - gives *threshold*  $\tau$
  - optimization methods estimate  $\tau'$  to ignore documents


# Threshold Methods

---

- For document-at-a-time processing, use score of lowest-ranked document so far for  $\tau'$ 
  - for term-at-a-time, have to use  $k^{th}$ -largest score in the accumulator table  
<https://powcoder.com>
- *MaxScore* method compares the maximum score that remaining documents could have to  $\tau'$ 
  - *safe* optimization in that ranking will be the same without optimization


Better than the example in the textbook. See my Note 2 too.

# MaxScore Example



- Compute  $\max_{\text{list}} \text{term scores}$   $\mu_i$  of each list and sort them in decreasing order (fixed during query processing)
  - Add WeChat powcoder
- Assume  $k = 3$ ,  $\tau'$  is lowest score of the current top- $k$  documents
- If  $\mu_{\text{tree}} < \tau'$  → any doc that scores higher than  $\tau'$  must contains *at least one of* the first two keywords (aka *required term set*)
  - Use postings lists of required term set to “drive” the query processing
  - Will only check **some of** the white postings in the list of “tree” to compute score → at least all gray postings are skipped.

# MaxScore



<https://powcoder.com>

Add WeChat powcoder

# Other Approaches

---

- Early termination of query processing
  - ignore high-frequency word lists in term-at-a-time
  - ignore documents at end of lists in doc-at-a-time
  - *unsafe* optimization <https://powcoder.com>
- List ordering
  - Add WeChat powcoder
  - order inverted lists by quality metric (e.g., PageRank) or by partial score
  - makes unsafe (and fast) optimizations more likely to produce good documents

# Bottlenecks

---

- Primary computational bottleneck in scoring: cosine computation
- Can we avoid ~~Assignment Project Exam Help~~ this computation?
- Yes, but may sometimes get it wrong
  - a doc *not* in the top  $K$  may creep into the list of  $K$  output docs
  - Is this such a bad thing?

# Cosine similarity is only a proxy

---

- Justifications
  - User has a task and a query formulation
  - Cosine matching does not query [Assignment Project Exam Help](https://powcoder.com)
  - Thus cosine is anyway a proxy for user happiness <https://powcoder.com>
- Approximate query processing
  - If we get a list of  $K$  docs “close” to the top  $K$  by cosine measure, should be ok

# Generic approach

---

- Find a set  $A$  of *contenders*, with  $K < |A| \ll N$ 
  - $A$  does not necessarily contain the top  $K$ , but has many docs among the top  $K$
  - Return the top  $K$  docs in  $A$   
<https://powcoder.com>
- Think of  $A$  as pruning non-contenders  
~~Add WeChat powcoder~~
- The same approach is also used for other (non-cosine) scoring functions
- Will look at several schemes following this approach

# Index elimination

---

- Basic algorithm FastCosineScore of Fig 7.1 only considers docs containing at least one query term
- Take this further:
  - Only consider high idf query terms  
<https://powcoder.com>
  - Only consider docs containing many query terms  
[Add WeChat powcoder](#)

# High-idf query terms only

---


- For a query such as *catcher in the rye*
- Only accumulate scores from *catcher* and *rye*
- Intuition: *in* and *the* contribute little to the scores and so don't alter <https://powcoder.com> much
- Benefit: Add WeChat powcoder
  - Postings of low-idf terms have many docs → these (many) docs get eliminated from set A of contenders

# Docs containing many query terms

- Any doc with at least one query term is a candidate for the top  $K$  output list
- For multi-term queries, only compute scores for docs containing several of the query terms
  - Say, at least 3 out of 4
  - Imposes a “soft conjunction” on queries seen on web search engines (early Google)
- Easy to implement in postings traversal

Can generalize to WAND method (safe)

# 3 of 4 query terms



Scores only computed for docs 8, 16 and 32.

# Champion lists

- Precompute for each dictionary term  $t$ , the  $r$  docs of highest weight in  $t$ 's postings
  - Call this the champion list for  $t$
  - (aka fancy list or top docs for  $t$ )  
<https://powcoder.com>
- Note that  $r$  has to be chosen at index build time  
Add WeChat powcoder
  - Thus, it's possible that  $r < K$
- At query time, only compute scores for docs in  $A = \bigcup_{t \in Q} \text{ChampionList}(t)$ 
  - Pick the  $K$  top-scoring docs from amongst these

Inspired by “fancy lists” of Google:

<http://infolab.stanford.edu/~backrub/google.html>

# Exercises

---

- How do Champion Lists relate to Index Elimination?  
Can they be used together?
- How can Champion Lists be implemented in an inverted index? <https://powcoder.com>
  - Note that the champion list has nothing to do with small docIDs

Add WeChat powcoder

# Static quality scores

- We want top-ranking documents to be both *relevant* and *authoritative*
- *Relevance* is being modeled by cosine scores
- *Authority* is typically query-independent property of a document
- Examples of authority signals
  - Wikipedia among websites
  - Articles in certain newspapers
  - A paper with many citations
  - Many diggs, Y!buzzes or del.icio.us marks
  - (Pagerank)

Quantitative

# Modeling authority

---

- Assign to each document a *query-independent quality score* in  $[0,1]$  to each document  $d$ 
  - Denote this by  $g(d)$
- Thus, a quantity like the number of citations is scaled into  $[0,1]$ 
  - Exercise: suggest a formula for this.

# Net score

---

- Consider a simple total score combining cosine relevance and authority
  - $\text{net-score}(q, d) = \alpha \cosine(q, d) + \beta \text{other}$
  - Can use some other linear combination than an equal weighting
  - Indeed, any function of the two “signals” of user happiness – more later
- Now we seek the top  $K$  docs by net score

# Top $K$ by net score – fast methods

---

- First idea: Order all postings by  $g(d)$
- Key: this is a common ordering for all postings
- Thus, can concurrently traverse query terms' postings for <https://powcoder.com>
  - Postings intersection
  - Cosine score computation
- Exercise: write pseudocode for cosine score computation if postings are ordered by  $g(d)$

# Why order postings by $g(d)$ ?

- Under  $g(d)$ -ordering, top-scoring docs likely to appear early in postings traversal
- In time-bound applications (say, we have to return whatever search results we can in 50 ms), this allows us to stop postings traversal early
  - Short of computing scores for all docs in postings

# Champion lists in $g(d)$ -ordering

- Can combine champion lists with  $g(d)$ -ordering
- Maintain for each term a champion list of the  $r$  docs with highest  $\text{Assignment Project Exam Help}$   
 $g(d) \cdot \text{tf-idf}_{td}$
- Seek top- $K$  results from [powcoder.com](https://powcoder.com) in these champion lists  
[Add WeChat powcoder](#)

# High and low lists

---

- For each term, we maintain two postings lists called *high* and *low*
  - Think of *high* as the champion list
- When traversing <https://powcoder.com>, only traverse all the *high* lists first
  - Add WeChat powcoder
  - If we get more than  $K$  docs, select the top  $K$  and stop
    - Only union the high lists
  - Else proceed to get docs from the *low* lists
- Can be used even for simple cosine scores, without global quality  $g(d)$
- A means for segmenting index into two tiers

# Impact-ordered postings

---

- We only want to compute scores for docs for which  $wf_{t,d}$  is high enough
- We sort each ~~posting list~~ by  $wf_{t,d}$
- Now: not all ~~postings in a common order!~~
- How do we compute scores in order to pick off top  $K$ ?
  - Two ideas follow

# 1. Early termination

---

- When traversing  $t$ 's postings, stop early after either
  - a fixed number of  $r$  docs
  - $wf_{t,d}$  drops below some threshold
- Take the union of the resulting sets of docs
  - One from the postings of each query term
- Compute only the scores for docs in this union

## 2. idf-ordered terms

---

- When considering the postings of query terms
- Look at them in order of decreasing idf
  - High idf terms likely to contribute most to score
- As we update ~~https://powcoder.com~~ from each query term
  - Stop if doc scores relatively unchanged
- Can apply to cosine or some other net scores

Why  $N^{1/2}$  leaders?

# Cluster pruning: preprocessing

- Pick  $\sqrt{N}$  docs at random: call these *leaders*
- For every other doc, pre-compute nearest leader
  - Docs attached to a leader: its *followers*;
  - Likely: each leader has  $\sim \sqrt{N}$  followers.

<https://powcoder.com>


Add WeChat powcoder

# Cluster pruning: query processing

---

- Process a query as follows:
  - Given query  $Q$ , find its nearest *leader*  $L$ .  
*Assignment Project Exam Help*
  - Seek  $K$  nearest docs from among  $L$ 's  
*followers.*  
*https://powcoder.com*  
*Add WeChat powcoder*

# Visualization



# Why use random sampling

---

- Fast
- Leaders reflect data distribution

Assignment Project Exam Help

<https://powcoder.com>

Add WeChat powcoder

# General variants

---

- Have each follower attached to  $b1=3$  (say) nearest leaders.
- From query [Assignment 4](#) ([say](#)) nearest leaders and their followers. <https://powcoder.com>
- Can recur on leader/follower construction.  
[Add WeChat powcoder](#)

# Exercises

---

- To find the nearest leader in step 1, how many cosine computations do we do?
  - Why did ~~We have N in the first place?~~ [Assignment Project Exam Help](https://powcoder.com)
  - Hint: write down the algorithm, model its cost, and minimize the cost.
- What is the effect of the constants  $b_1, b_2$  on the previous slide?
- Devise an example where this is *likely* to fail – i.e., we miss one of the  $K$  nearest docs.
  - *Likely* under random sampling.

# Parametric and zone indexes

---

- Thus far, a doc has been a sequence of terms
- In fact documents have multiple parts, some with special semantics:
  - Author <https://powcoder.com>
  - Title [Add WeChat powcoder](#)
  - Date of publication
  - Language
  - Format
  - etc.
- These constitute the metadata about a document

# Fields

---




- We sometimes wish to search by these metadata
  - E.g., find docs authored by William Shakespeare in the year 1601
- Year = 1601 is an example of a field
- Also, author last name = shakespeare, etc
- Field or parametric index: postings for each field value
  - Sometimes build range trees (e.g., for dates)
- Field query typically treated as conjunction
  - (doc *must* be authored by shakespeare)

# Zone


---

- A zone is a region of the doc that can contain an arbitrary amount of text e.g.,
  - Title      Assignment Project Exam Help
  - Abstract      <https://powcoder.com>
  - References ...
- Build inverted indexes on zones as well to permit querying
- E.g., “find docs with *merchant* in the title zone and matching the query *gentle rain*”

# Example zone indexes



Encode zones in dictionary vs. postings.




# Tiered indexes

---

- Break postings up into a hierarchy of lists
  - Most important
  - ... **Assignment Project Exam Help**
  - Least important <https://powcoder.com>
- Can be done by  $g(d)$  or another measure  
**Add WeChat powcoder**
- Inverted index thus broken up into tiers of decreasing importance
- At query time use top tier unless it fails to yield  $K$  docs
  - If so drop to lower tiers

# Example tiered index



# Query term proximity

- Free text queries: just a set of terms typed into the query box – common on the web
- Users prefer ~~Assignment Project Exam Help~~ ~~docs in which query terms occur within close proximity of each other~~ <https://powcoder.com>
- Let  $w$  be the smallest window in a doc containing all query terms, e.g.,  
~~Add WeChat powcoder~~
- For the query *strained mercy* the smallest window in the doc *The quality of mercy is not strained* is 4 (words)
- Would like scoring function to take this into account – how?

# Query parsers

---


- Free text query from user may in fact spawn one or more queries to the indexes, e.g. query *rising interest rates*  
**Assignment Project Exam Help**
  - Run the query as a phrase query  
<https://powcoder.com>
  - If  $<K$  docs contain the phrase *rising interest rates*, run the two phrase queries *Add WeChat* and *powcoder interest rates*
  - If we still have  $<K$  docs, run the vector space query *rising interest rates*
  - Rank matching docs by vector space scoring
- This sequence is issued by a query parser

# Aggregate scores

---

- We've seen that score functions can combine cosine, static quality, proximity, etc.
- How do we know the best combination?
- Some applications:  
<https://powcoder.com>
- Increasingly common machine learned  
    ■ See later lecture

# Putting it all together



# Resources

---

- IIR 7, 6.1

Assignment Project Exam Help

<https://powcoder.com>

Add WeChat powcoder