### Kernel Regression

Advanced Methods for Data Analysis (36-402/36-608)

Spring 2014

#### 1 Linear smoothers and kernels

• Recall our basic setup: we are given i.i.d. samples  $(x_i, y_i)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots n$  from the model

$$y_i = r(x_i) + \epsilon_i, \quad i = 1, \dots n,$$

and our goal is to estimate r with some function  $\hat{r}$ . Assume for now that each  $x_i \in \mathbb{R}$  (i.e., the predictors are 1-dimensional)

• We talked about consider  $\hat{r}$  in the class of linear smoothers, so that

for some choice of weights  $w(x,x_i)$ . Indeed, both linear regression and k-nearest-neighbors are special cases of the special case of the special cases of the special cases

• Here we will examine another important linear smoother, called kernel smoothing or kernel regression. We start by defining a kernel function  $K : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ , satisfying

## Add WeChat\*powcoder

• Three common examples are the box kernel:

$$K(x) = \begin{cases} 1/2 & \text{if } |x| \le 1\\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases},$$

the Gaussian kernel:

$$K(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp(-x^2/2),$$

and the Epanechnikov kernel:

$$K(x) = \begin{cases} 3/4(1-x^2) & \text{if } |x| \le 1\\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

 $\bullet$  Given a choice of kernel K, and a bandwidth h, kernel regression is defined by taking

$$w(x, x_i) = \frac{K\left(\frac{x_i - x}{h}\right)}{\sum_{j=1}^{n} K\left(\frac{x_j - x}{h}\right)}$$

in the linear smoother form (1). In other words, the kernel regression estimator is

$$\hat{r}(x) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} K\left(\frac{x_i - x}{h}\right) \cdot y_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} K\left(\frac{x_i - x}{h}\right)}$$

- What is this doing? This is a weighted average of  $y_i$  values. Think about laying doing a Gaussian kernel around a specific query point x, and evaluating its height at each  $x_i$  in order to determine the weight associate with  $y_i$
- Because these weights are smoothly varying with x, the kernel regression estimator  $\hat{r}(x)$  itself is also smoothly varying with x; compare this to k-nearest-neighbors regression
- What's in the choice of kernel? Different kernels can give different results. But many of the common kernels tend to produce similar estimators; e.g., Gaussian vs. Epanechnikov, there's not a huge difference
- A much bigger difference comes from choosing different bandwidth values h. What's the tradeoff present when we vary h? Hint: as we've mentioned before, you should always keep these two quantities in mind ...

#### 2 Bias and variance of kernels

• At a fixed query point x, recall our fundamental decomposition

• Fortunately, these can actually roughly be worked out theoretically, under some smoothness assumptions of the Deras hotow. Of the taom

$$\operatorname{Bias}(\hat{r}(x))^{2} = (\mathbb{E}[\hat{r}(x)] - r(x))^{2} \le C_{1}h^{2}$$

and

# Add WeChat powcoder

for some constants  $C_1$  and  $C_2$ . Does this make sense? What happens to the bias and variance as h shrinks? As h grows?

• This means that

$$\mathbb{E}[\text{TestErr}(\hat{r}(x))] = \sigma^2 + C_1 h^2 + \frac{C_2}{nh}.$$

We can find the best bandwidth h, i.e., the one minimizing test error, by differentiating and setting equal to 0: this yields

$$h = \frac{C_2}{2C_1 n^{1/3}}.$$

Is this is a realistic choice for the bandwidth? Problem is that we don't know  $C_1$  and  $C_2$ ! (And even if we did, it may not be a good idea to use this ... why?)

#### 3 Practical considerations, multiple dimensions

- In practice, we tend to select h by, you guessed it, cross-validation
- Kernels can actually suffer bad bias at the boundaries ... why? Think of the asymmetry of the weights

• In multiple dimensions, say, each  $x_i \in \mathbb{R}^p$ , we can easily use kernels, we just replace  $x_i - x$  in the kernel argument by  $||x_i - x||_2$ , so that the multivariate kernel regression estimator is

$$\hat{r}(x) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} K\left(\frac{\|x_i - x\|_2}{h}\right) \cdot y_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} K\left(\frac{\|x_i - x\|_2}{h}\right)}$$

• The same calculations as those that went into producing the bias and variance bounds above can be done in this multivariate case, showing that

$$\operatorname{Bias}(\hat{r}(x))^2 \leq \tilde{C}_1 h^2$$

and

$$\operatorname{Var}(\hat{r}(x)) \le \frac{\tilde{C}_2}{nh^p}.$$

Why is the variance so strongly affected now by the dimension p? What is the optimal h, now?

• A little later we'll see an alternative extension to higher dimensions that doesn't nearly suffer the same variance; this is called an additive model

## Assignment Project Exam Help

https://powcoder.com

Add WeChat powcoder