CS229 Lecture notes

Andrew Ng

Mixtures of Gaussians and the EM algorithm

In this set of notes, we discuss the EM (Expectation-Maximization) algorithm for density estimation.

Suppose that we are given a training set $\{x^{(1)}, \dots, x^{(n)}\}$ as usual. Since we are Silegible to the training set $\{x^{(1)}, \dots, x^{(n)}\}$ as usual. Since we are Silegible to the training set $\{x^{(1)}, \dots, x^{(n)}\}$ as usual. Since we are Silegible to the set want $\{x^{(1)}, \dots, x^{(n)}\}$ as usual. Since we are silegible to the set want $\{x^{(1)}, \dots, x^{(n)}\}$ as usual. Since we are silegible to the set want $\{x^{(1)}, \dots, x^{(n)}\}$ as usual. Since we are silegible to the set want $\{x^{(1)}, \dots, x^{(n)}\}$ as usual.

We wish to model the data by specifying a joint distribution $p(x^{(i)}, z^{(i)}) = p(x^{(i)}|z^{(i)})p(z^{(i)})$ there $z^{(i)}$ Multinomial (where $z^{(i)}$) $0, \sum_{j=1}^k \phi_j = 1$, and the parameter ϕ_j gives $p(z^{(i)} = j)$, and $x^{(i)}|z^{(i)} = j \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_j, \Sigma_j)$. We let k denote the number of values that the $z^{(i)}$'s can take on. Thus, our model posits that each $z^{(i)}$ was generated by randomly choosing $z^{(i)}$ from $\{1, \ldots, k\}$, and that $z^{(i)}$ was generated by randomly choosing $z^{(i)}$ from $z^{(i)}$. This is called the **mixture of Gaussians** model. Also, note that the $z^{(i)}$'s are **latent** random variables, meaning that they're hidden/unobserved. This is what will make our estimation problem difficult.

The parameters of our model are thus ϕ , μ and Σ . To estimate them, we can write down the likelihood of our data:

$$\ell(\phi, \mu, \Sigma) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log p(x^{(i)}; \phi, \mu, \Sigma)$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log \sum_{z^{(i)}=1}^{k} p(x^{(i)}|z^{(i)}; \mu, \Sigma) p(z^{(i)}; \phi).$$

However, if we set to zero the derivatives of this formula with respect to the parameters and try to solve, we'll find that it is not possible to find the maximum likelihood estimates of the parameters in closed form. (Try this yourself at home.)

The random variables $z^{(i)}$ indicate which of the k Gaussians each $x^{(i)}$ had come from. Note that if we knew what the $z^{(i)}$'s were, the maximum

likelihood problem would have been easy. Specifically, we could then write down the likelihood as

$$\ell(\phi, \mu, \Sigma) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log p(x^{(i)}|z^{(i)}; \mu, \Sigma) + \log p(z^{(i)}; \phi).$$

Maximizing this with respect to ϕ , μ and Σ gives the parameters:

$$\phi_{j} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} 1\{z^{(i)} = j\},$$

$$\mu_{j} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} 1\{z^{(i)} = j\}x^{(i)}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} 1\{z^{(i)} = j\}},$$

$$\Sigma_{j} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} 1\{z^{(i)} = j\}(x^{(i)} - \mu_{j})(x^{(i)} - \mu_{j})^{T}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} 1\{z^{(i)} = j\}(x^{(i)} - \mu_{j})(x^{(i)} - \mu_{j})^{T}}.$$
Help

Indeed, we see that if the $z^{(i)}$'s were known, then maximum likelihood estimation becomes nearly identical to what we had when estimating the parameters of the passian pion what the parameters of the passian pion what the $z^{(i)}$'s playing the role of the class labels.

However, in our density estimation problem, the $z^{(i)}$'s are *not* known. What can we 40?

What can we have the content of the EM algorithm is an electron that the content of the EM algorithm is an electron that the content of the EM algorithm is an electron that the guess in the values of the $z^{(i)}$'s. In the M-step, it updates the parameters of our model based on our guesses. Since in the M-step we are pretending that the guesses in the first part were correct, the maximization becomes easy. Here's the algorithm:

Repeat until convergence: {

(E-step) For each i, j, set

$$w_j^{(i)} := p(z^{(i)} = j | x^{(i)}; \phi, \mu, \Sigma)$$

¹There are other minor differences in the formulas here from what we'd obtained in PS1 with Gaussian discriminant analysis, first because we've generalized the $z^{(i)}$'s to be multinomial rather than Bernoulli, and second because here we are using a different Σ_j for each Gaussian.

(M-step) Update the parameters:

$$\phi_j := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n w_j^{(i)},$$

$$\mu_j := \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n w_j^{(i)} x^{(i)}}{\sum_{i=1}^n w_j^{(i)}},$$

$$\Sigma_j := \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n w_j^{(i)} (x^{(i)} - \mu_j) (x^{(i)} - \mu_j)^T}{\sum_{i=1}^n w_j^{(i)}}$$

}

In the E-step, we calculate the posterior probability of our parameters the $x^{(i)}$'s, given the $x^{(i)}$ and using the current setting of our parameters. I.e., using Bayes $x^{(i)}$ we obtain:

Here, $p(x^{(i)}|z^{(i)}=j;\mu,\Sigma)$ is given by evaluating the density of a Gaussian with mean μ_j and covariance Σ at $x^{(i)}$: $p(z^{(i)}=j;\phi)$ is given by ϕ_j , and so on. The values $w_j^{(i)}$ calculated in the E-step represent our soft guesses² for the values of $z^{(i)}$.

Also, you should contrast the updates in the M-step with the formulas we had when the $z^{(i)}$'s were known exactly. They are identical, except that instead of the indicator functions " $1\{z^{(i)}=j\}$ " indicating from which Gaussian each datapoint had come, we now instead have the $w_i^{(i)}$'s.

The EM-algorithm is also reminiscent of the K-means clustering algorithm, except that instead of the "hard" cluster assignments c(i), we instead have the "soft" assignments $w_j^{(i)}$. Similar to K-means, it is also susceptible to local optima, so reinitializing at several different initial parameters may be a good idea.

It's clear that the EM algorithm has a very natural interpretation of repeatedly trying to guess the unknown $z^{(i)}$'s; but how did it come about, and can we make any guarantees about it, such as regarding its convergence? In the next set of notes, we will describe a more general view of EM, one

²The term "soft" refers to our guesses being probabilities and taking values in [0,1]; in contrast, a "hard" guess is one that represents a single best guess (such as taking values in $\{0,1\}$ or $\{1,\ldots,k\}$).

that will allow us to easily apply it to other estimation problems in which there are also latent variables, and which will allow us to give a convergence guarantee.

Assignment Project Exam Help https://powcoder.com Add WeChat powcoder