# Philosophical Roots of Machine https//parmingom

Add WeChat.powcoder Induction

Based on John Vickers, <u>The Problem of Induction</u>, The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy

#### What is Induction?

 The original problem of induction can be simply put: It concerns the support or Assignment Project Exam Help justification of inductive methods; methods that predict by menon that "that instances of which we have had no experience, must resemble those of which we have had experience, and that the course of nature continues always uniformly the same".

## Deduction versus Induction I (examples)

- A deductive argument
  - No cows are grasshoppers
  - Daisy is a Assignment Project Exam Help
- Therefore, Daisy is not a grasshopper [TRUE]
  https://powcoder.com
   An inductive argument
- - One million cloyerschawerte hat deotified den catalogued
  - Each of them has three leaves.
  - Therefore, all clovers have three leaves [NOT NECESSARILY] TRUE]

[From 501 things you should have learned about Philosophy]

#### Deduction versus Induction II

- Deductive logic is demonstrably complete. The premises of an argument constructed according to the rules of this logic imply the argument's cappusited Exam Help
- Not so for induction: There is no comprehensive theory of sound induction, https://progredefiporfules that license good or sound inductive inference, nor is there a serious prospect of such a theory.
- Further, induction differs from deductive proof or demonstration not only in induction's failure to preserve truth (true premises may lead inductively to false conclusions) but also in failing of monotonicity: adding true premises to a sound induction may make it unsound.

## Hume's Skepticism

- Hume's view is that the causal relations we discern in the world are uncovered by a process of induction.
- Eg.: If a billians ball thits a Pottjecto he which then moves, we will say that the first ball caused the movement of the second. We do so because we've seen this happen many times.
- However, nothing that we these ponjunctions logical necessities, which means that there is no contradiction in asserting that a billiard ball will not move the next time it is struck [even if it has moved on every previous occasion].

[From 501 things you should have learned about Philosophy]

#### **Hume and Mill**

- Hume (~1739) argued that there can be no support (deductive or inductive) for this problem, which he viewed as insolubleAssignment Project Exam Help
- J. S. Mill (~1843) asked: "Why is a single instance, in some across, sufficient for a complete induction, while in others myriads of concurring instances, without a single exception known or presumed, go such a little way towards establishing an universal proposition?"
- → Compare: (i) Everyone seated on the bus is moving northward. (ii) Everyone seated on the bus was born on a prime numbered day of the month.

## Epistemology vs Metaphysics

- Two sides or facets of the problem of induction should be distinguished: The *epistemological* problem is to find a method for distinguishing good or reliable inductive habits from bad or unreliable habits. The second and deeper problem is metaphysical significant by broblem by broblem by inductions.
- According to Hume induction is insoluble at both the epistemological and the metaphysical level.
  - At the epistemological level: there can be no formula or recipe, however complex, for ruling out unreliable inductions.
  - At the metaphyisical level: there is no objective difference between reliable and unreliable inductions.
- The insolubility at the metaphysical level is disturbing and counter-intuitive. It is explained by the fact that Hume took too restrictive a definition of induction (enumerative induction)

### Popper (~1959)'s views on induction

A theory of induction is superfluous. It has no function in a logic of science.

#### Assignment Project Exam Help

The best we can say of a hypothesis is that up to now it has been able to show its worth, and that it has been more successful than otherchypethhaesalthcoder, in principle, it can never be justified, verified, or even shown to be probable. This appraisal of the hypothesis relies solely upon deductive consequences (predictions) which may be drawn from the hypothesis: There is no need even to mention "induction".

# Probability and Induction I

#### Carnap (~1952) 's inductive logic

- Probability in Carnap's theory is a metalinguistic operator. In this context the problem of induction is to choose on to design a language appropriate to a given situation and to define a probability on this language that properly codiffes inference.
- He came up with a taxonomy of the varieties of inductive inference:
- Direct inference , Predictive inference , Inference by analogy , Inverse inference, Universal inference.

# Carnap's Taxonomy I

- Direct inference typically infers the relative frequency of a trait in a sample from its relative frequency in the population from which the sample is drawn reject the incidence of lung disease among all cigarette smokers in the U.S. is 0.15, then it is reasonable to htepict/that/thedictidence among smokers in California is close to that figure.
- California is close to that figure.
   Predictive inference is inference from one sample to another sample not overlapping the first. This, according to Carnap, is "the most important and fundamental kind of inductive inference" E.g.: Inferring the color of the next ball to be drawn from an urn on the basis of the frequency of balls of that color in previous draws with replacement illustrates a common sort of predictive inference.

# Carnap's Taxonomy II

- Inference by analogy is inference from the traits of one individual to those of another on the basis of traits that they share. E.g.: Hume's famous arguments that beasts can reason, love, hate, and be proud or humble are classic instance. Project Exam Help
- Inverse inference infers something about a population on the basis of premises about a sample point particle. The use of polls to predict election results, of controlled experiments to predict the efficacy of therapies or medications derivations are presented as a sample of the predict the efficacy of the therapies or medications are predicted as a sample of the prediction on the basis of premises about a sample of the prediction on the basis of premises about a sample of the prediction on the basis of premises about a sample of the premises are premises as a sample of the premises are premises and the premises are premises as a sample of the premises are premises as a sample of the premises and the premises are premises as a sample of the premises are premises as a sample of the premises are premises and the premises are premises and the premises are premises as a sampl
- Universal inference is inference from a sample to a hypothesis of universal form. Simple enumerative induction is the standard sort of universal inference. Karl Popper's objections to induction, mentioned are for the most part directed against universal inference. Popper and Carnap are less opposed than it might seem in this regard: Popper holds that universal inference is never justified. On Carnap's view it is inessential.

# Probability and Induction II

#### Reichenbach's frequentism

- -On Reichenbach (~1935)'s view, the problem Assignment Project Exam Help of induction is just the problem of ascertaining probability on the basis of evidence. The conclusions of inductions are not asserted, they are posited. "A posit is a statement with which we deal as true, though the truth value is unknown"
- His taxonomy is a bit different from Carnap's:

## Reichenbach's Taxonomy

- Induction by enumeration, in which an observed initial frequency is posited to hold for the limit of the sequence;
- Explanatory interence vincoulaidom theory or hypothesis is interred from observations;
- Cross induction, in which distinct but similar inductions are compared and, perhaps, corrected;
- Concatenation or hierarchical assignment of probabilities.

## Subjectivism and Bayesian Induction

- Bruno de Finetti (1906–1985) is the founder of modern subjectivism in probability and induction. Subjectivism in probability identifies probability with strength of belief. Assignment Project Exam Help
- Bruno de Finetti announced that "PROBABILITY DOES NOT EXIST!" in the beginning paragraph of this Theory of Probability. By this he meant to deny the existence of objective probability and to insist that probability be Ander Moodhastaper of constraints on partial belief.
- In particular, strength of belief is taken to be expressed in betting odds: If you will put up p dollars (where, for example, p = 0.25) to receive one dollar if the event A occurs and nothing (forfeiting the p dollars) if A does not occur, then your strength of belief in A is p.

## **Bayesian Induction**

- The central question of induction is, de Finetti says, "if a prediction of frequency can be, in a certain sense, confirmed or refuted by experience. ... [O] ur explanation of inductive reasoning is nothing else, at bottom than the knowledge of ... the probability of  $E_{n+1}$  evaluated when the result A por trial  $E_n$ , corfinite known".
- [T]he probability of E evaluated when one comes to know the result A of [trials]  $E_1$ , ...,  $E_n$  is not an element of an essentially novel nature (justifying the introduction of a new term, like "statistical" or "a posteriori" probability.) This probability is not independent of the "a priori probability" and does not replace it; it flows in fact from the same a priori judgment, by subtracting, so to speak, the components of doubt associated with the trials whose results have been obtained.

#### Conclusion

Induction has been and continues to be a much debated issue in Philosophy.
 Assignment Project Exam Help

 In this course, we will take a very pragmatic

- In this course, we will take a very pragmatic approach to interction, sudging its validity using sophisticated testing approachese.
- We will not return to the questions raised by the various philosophers mentioned here, although, if interested, feel free to follow up on the source on which this introduction was based.