# CSSE4630 Assignment 1: Array Bounds Analysis

Mark Utting, ITEE, UQ

#### Version 1.2

#### 1 Introduction

A software development company with a strong emphasis on cybersecurity is employing you to develop a static analysis for array bounds checking.

They have two main goals for the analysis:

- Security: they want to be able to guarantee that every program they write is free from array bounds errors. The compiler for their programming language already generates code that checks the array bounds at runtime, but they want to give higher security assurances than just this. They want the static analysis to be able to prove that some array accesses are deangest set. It is desirable for the static analysis to be able to put most of the array accesses into the first category (provably safe), in order to minimise work for the code review team.
- Efficiency: Runtime checking of array bounds accesses has a significant overhead, so the company plans to modify their compiler to omit the bounds checking code for the cases where the static analysis can prove that the array access is within bounds.

Your task is to design and implement a suitable static analysis, for the TIP (Tiny Imperative Language) language, extended with mutable arrays.

# 2 TIP with Array Creation and Reading [20 Marks]

The company uses a safe subset of TIP (no pointers, records, or dynamic function calls), extended with integer arrays, where each array has a fixed size that is known at compile time:

- the expression  $newarray\ N$  creates an array of size N (which must be a constant nonnegative integer value) and fills it with zeroes.
- the expression A!E is an array read, and introduces the bounds-checking requirement that  $0 \le E < N$ , where N is the length of A.
- the language of types is extended with the type array(N), where N is a non-negative integer constant (the size of the array). So the complete syntax for types is:  $\tau ::= int|\&\tau|array(N)|(\tau,\ldots,\tau) \to \tau$ .

Your Task: extend the TIP language implementation by adding the new kinds of expressions, as described above. You do NOT need to extend the interpreter or the typechecker to handle arrays, at this stage. However, you will need to extend the TIP implementation in the following ways:

- add a new keyword newarray in TipParser.scala, and also add that keyword to the *keywords* set.
- define a new type of AST expression called ANewArray that extends AExpr.
- extend the TIP parser, to parse array creation expressions: newarray N, where N is an integer constant, and return an AST node of type ANewArray.
- extend DepthFirstAstVisitor.visitChildren to handle your ANewArray expressions, by just visiting the N part.
- define a new binary operator for array indexing expressions, A!E. This should be a subclass of BinaryOperator, so that you can use this operator inside ABinaryOp.
- extend the TIP parser, to parse array index expressions, with the same precedence as multiplication and division. (Start by adding these just to right-hand-side expressions you can add them to the left-hand-side of assignments later.) Note that the TIP parser uses the *parboiled2* parser combinators, which are documented on their GitHub site: https://github.com/sirthias/parboiled2

Test your implementation by analysing some of the array test programs that are supplied. After you have implemented the newarray expression, you should be able to parse array\_new.tip. After you have implemented the A!E array reads as well, you should be able to parse array\_read1.tip.

# tip tests/argingingent Project Exam Help

## 3 Static Bounds Checking/for Array READS [30 marks] https://powcoder.com

Your Task: Design and implement a static analysis (based on interval analysis) that checks each array read to determine if the index is provably within bounds, or whether it needs a runtime bounds check and a warring about the bounds (which means that the code review team must review that access).

As you design your bounds analysis, record your extra interval rules in a file BoundsAnalysis.txt (or BoundsAnalysis.docx if you prefer). These rules will be in addition to the *eval* and constraint rules given in Section 6.1 of the textbook. You must submit this file as part of your solution.

Note that you may find it helpful here to review the work that you did in Workshop 6, where you experimented with interval analysis and implemented widening. You will need at least a basic form of widening to be able to run an interval analysis.

After or during the final pass of your static analysis, your analysis should print a report to standard output, with one line for each array read, in the following format. For example, if you run the command:

#### tip -interval wlrw tests/array\_read1.tip

it should report that all array bounds are safe. If you do the same command with array\_read2.tip your array bounds checking should include the following bounds-checking output lines (shown in blue) as the result of your analysis:

```
[info] Running tip.Tip -interval wlrw tests/array_read2.tip
[info] Normalized analysis of tests/array_read2.tip written to out/array_read2.tip__normalized.tip
Array bounds analysis results:
    ArrayRead:4:11: safe
    ArrayRead:5:15: safe
```

```
ArrayRead:6:15: WARNING: index may be outside bounds
```

[info] Interval analysis of tests/array\_read2.tip written to out/array\_read2.tip\_\_interval.dot

Hints: the abstract lattice analysis of expressions is done within the ValueAnalysis superclass of IntervalAnalysis, which is not specific to intervals. To record your analysis results in that class, but make them available in the IntervalAnalysis class, I suggest that you add a dummy method in the ValueAnalysis class, for recording warnings:

```
def saveWarning(loc: String, msg: String): Unit = ??? // for array bound checking.
```

An example call to this might be: saveWarning("ArrayRead:" + exp.loc.toString, "safe"), where exp is the expression we are analysing — so exp.loc gives the line and column number of that expression.

Then in the IntervalAnalysis class, override this dummy method with a more useful implementation. Here is a Scala trait (like an abstract superclass) that you can inherit into IntervalAnalysis to override the default saveWarning method, save just the most-recent message for each location string, and then print out all the messages when needed.

```
/**
 * A mixin for remembering the latest message for each location.
 */
trait FinalWarnings extends ValueAnalysisMisc {
 val warnings = collection.mutable.LinkedHashMap[String, String]()
                                ring, rs; String):
   warnings(key) msg
  }
   of printWarnings of println("Array bound analysi results:")
 def printWarnings () =
   for ((key,msg) <- warnings) {</pre>
                " + key + ": " + msg)
     println("
                            WeChat powcoder
 }
}
```

In the various interval analysis classes in IntervalAnalysis.scala, you should also override the analyze method to call printWarnings after the whole analysis is finished. For example:

```
override def analyze(): lattice.Element = {
  val result = super.analyze()
  printWarnings()
  result
}
```

Once you have finished implementing your static bounds analyser, run it on the following simple test programs. For each test program P.tip, save the output of your run into a file out/P.tip.out. These will be part of your submission, to demonstrate how well your bounds checker works.

For example, run the following commands at this stage:

```
tip -interval wlrw tests/array_new.tip >out/array_new.tip.out
tip -interval wlrw tests/array_read1.tip >out/array_read1.tip.out
tip -interval wlrw tests/array_read2.tip >out/array_read2.tip.out
```

## 4 Static Bounds Checking for Array WRITES [30 marks]

Your Task: Extend your static analysis to also check each array write to determine if the index is provably within bounds, or whether it needs a runtime bounds check and a warning about the bounds (which means that the code review team must review that access).

First you will need to extend the TIP language to allow array index expressions on the left-hand-side of assignments:

• the assignment A!E = E2 is an array write, and introduces the bounds-checking requirement that  $0 \le E < N$ , where N is the length of A.

Document your additional array-update bounds-checking rules in your BoundsAnalysis.txt file (or BoundsAnalysis.docx). These rules will be in addition to the *eval* and constraint rules given in Section 6.1 of the textbook. Do not forget to submit this file as part of your solution.

#### Hints:

- extend the TIP parser, to parse array index expressions on the left side of assignments.
- since the left-hand-side of an assignment is an Assignable object, you will need to change the definition of ABinaryOp so that it is a subclass of Assignable.
- you should also update TipNormalizer and AstPrinter to handle these array index expressions it Helefts to dassignments ECT EXAM HELD
- then extend your interval analysis to check the array bounds of these array writes.

After implementing https://documenting.com/alsis/farry/vriles/farry/vr

```
tip -interval wlrw tests/array_write1.tip
```

You should see output that includes the following bounds-checking output lines (shown in blue):  $Add \ We Chat \ powcoder$ 

```
[info] Running tip.Tip -interval wlrw tests/array_write1.tip
```

[info] Normalized analysis of tests/array\_write1.tip written to out/array\_write1.tip\_\_normalized.tip Array bounds analysis results:

```
ArrayWrite:4:7: safe
ArrayWrite:5:7: safe
ArrayRead:6:13: safe
```

[info] Interval analysis of tests/array\_write1.tip written to out/array\_write1.tip\_\_interval.dot

Once you have finished implementing your static bounds analyser, run it on the following simple test programs. For each test program P.tip, save the output of your run into a file out/P.tip.out. These will be part of your submission, to demonstrate how well your bounds checker works.

For example, run the following commands at this stage (in addition to the programs you tested previously).

```
tip -interval wlrw tests/array_write1.tip >out/array_write1.tip.out
tip -interval wlrw tests/array_write2.tip >out/array_write2.tip.out
tip -interval wlrw tests/array_write3.tip >out/array_write3.tip.out
```

### 5 Customer Responses

You test your static analysis thoroughly and then release it to your customers. They are happy — for a week or so!

Then they report that their programmers are complaining that the bounds analysis is reporting too many false positives. Their review teams are spending too much time reviewing potential out-of-bounds reports that turn out to be obviously within bounds. They want a more precise analysis with fewer false positives!

They would also like to have a static typechecker for the extended TIP language.

You have limited time, so you have to prioritise which of these two requests to work on.

# Option A: Path-Sensitive Bounds Analysis [20 marks]

NOTE: this part of the assignment is more difficult than the previous parts. Attempt it only after you have completed the previous steps.

After investigating the complaints from your customer, you find that the above bounds analysis works well for straight-line code, but does not handle programs with if-else branches and loops in a very precise way.

Try your analysis on tests/array\_if1.tip. You will find that it reports possible out-of-bounds errors (false positives), even though the program actually uses if-else statements to ensure that the array accesses are within bounds. This lack of accuracy is because the effects of the control flow are not included in your analysis.

Your Task significant in the property (i Henri ) The anguage and interpretate them into your bounds analysis so that the analysis is more accurate when programs contain branches and loops.

https://powcoder.com

• Add an assert statement to the language, as a subclass of AStmtInNestedBlock. Steps:

- You will also need to add this assert statement into AstPrinters\_DepthFirstAstVisitor and TipNormal Aef (Hint Vleted at the usage) WER (Title and used those usages as a guideline for the assert case.
- You do not have to add it to the parser, since this assert statement will be for internal use only.
- You need to insert assert statements after each condition check, where the flow of control changes in while and if statements. See Section 7.1 of the textbook for details. Hint: a nice place to do this is in TipNormalizers.normalizeStmtInNestedBlock.
- Extend your bounds analysis to handle assertions, by implementing the rules for assert (X>E) and assert (E>X) that are discussed on page 84 of the textbook.

After implementing your bounds analysis of array writes, try running the command:

tip -normalizereturns -interval wlrw tests/array\_if1.tip

Note that you must include the **-normalizereturns** flag from now on, to ask TIP to run your normalizer. (By default, TIP does no normalization).

You should see output that includes the following bounds-checking output lines (shown in blue):

[info] Running tip.Tip -normalizereturns -interval wlrw tests/array\_if1.tip

[info] Normalized analysis of tests/array\_if1.tip written to out/array\_if1.tip\_\_normalized.tip Array bounds analysis results:

ArrayWrite:10:11: safe

[info] Interval analysis of tests/array\_if1.tip written to out/array\_if1.tip\_\_interval.dot

Once you have finished implementing your path-sensitive static bounds analyser, run it on ALL the test programs in the tests folder. For each test program P.tip, save the output of your run into a file out/P.tip.out. These will be part of your submission, to demonstrate how well your bounds checker works.

For example, here is a bash command to run them all:

```
#!/usr/bin/env bash
for T in $(cd tests; ls *.tip)
do
    echo $T
    ./tip -normalizereturns -interval wlrw tests/$T >out/$T.out
done
```

# 7 Option B: Typechecking Arrays [20 marks]

Your customers would like to have a static typechecker for the TIP language, extended with arrays, and have offered to pay for the development of the typechecker.

Your Task: implment a static typechecker for TIP, including the extra type constraints associated with arrays.

Note: This 'Typechecking' task is an alternative task to the previous 'Assertions' task. You can attempt either task. If you attempt both, then your mark for these two sections will be max(A, T), where T is your mark from the 'Typechecking' task.

You will first need to implement the typechecking rules for standard TIP programs in TypeAnalysis.scala, since they not fully interest. DOWCOGET.COM

Then you can add the new array(N) type as a subclass of TipType in Types.scala, and implement the additional typechecking rules for arrays.

See Section 3.2 of the textbook for the standard FIP type constraints. Here are the additional array-specific typechecking constraints for our custom TIP extension.

$$A!E \quad \llbracket A \rrbracket = array(N) \wedge \llbracket E \rrbracket = int \wedge \llbracket A!E \rrbracket = int$$

$$newarray \quad N \quad \llbracket N \rrbracket = int \wedge \llbracket newarray \quad N \rrbracket = array(N)$$

#### 8 Submission

This assignment is due on Friday of Week 10 (16-Oct-20 6pm Queensland time).

Submit your whole repository on Blackboard, in a single \*.zip file. Make sure you include:

- the 'src' folder, containing all the Scala source code, including your modifications.
- your BoundsAnalysis.txt file (or BoundsAnalysis.docx) that documents the bounds-checking rules that you have designed. You can also explain any design decisions or limitations in that file, if needed.
- the 'out' folder, containing all your final out/array\*.tip.out files and all the \*.dot files generated by your analysis. These show the final output from each run of your bounds analyser on the various test programs.