

## Solutions to Problem Set for Tutorial 5

1. We have:

$$X'X = \begin{bmatrix} T & T(T+1)/2 \\ T(T+1)/2 & T(T+1)(2T+1)/6 \end{bmatrix}.$$

1.(a) Therefore, it follows that:

$$T^{-1}X'X = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & (T+1)/2 \\ (T+1)/2 & (T+1)(2T+1)/6 \end{bmatrix}.$$

and so as  $T \rightarrow \infty$   $T^{-1}X'X$  diverges, and so does not converge to a matrix of finite constants.

1.(b) In this case, we have

$$T^{-2}X'X = \begin{bmatrix} T^{-1} & (T+1)/2T \\ (T+1)/2T & (T+1)(2T+1)/6T \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since  $\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} T^{-1} = 0$  and  $\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} (T+1)/2T = 0.5$  the (1,1), (1,2) and (2,1) elements of  $T^{-2}X'X$  converge to finite constants. However, the (2,2) element of  $T^{-2}X'X$  diverges and so the matrix also diverges.

1.(c) In this case, we have

$$T^{-3}X'X = \begin{bmatrix} T^{-2} & (T+1)/2T^2 \\ (T+1)/2T^2 & (T+1)(2T+1)/6T^2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since  $\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} T^{-2} = 0$  and  $\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} (T+1)/2T^2 = 0$  the (1,1), (1,2) and (2,1) elements of  $T^{-3}X'X$  converge to zero. Further,  $\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} (T+1)(2T+1)/6T^2 = 1/3$  and so  $T^{-3}X'X$  converges to a matrix of constants but this limit matrix is singular.

(To develop a limiting distribution theory for the OLS estimator in this case, it is necessary to scale the elements of  $\hat{\beta}_T - \beta_0$  by different functions of  $T$ , and this leads to different elements of  $X'X$  being scaled by different functions of  $T$ ; see Lecture Notes Section 3.5.)

2.(a) To assess whether  $u_t$  is weakly stationary, it is necessary to derive its first two moments. Since we are given that  $u_t = \varepsilon_t$ , a white noise process, it follows immediately that  $E[u_t] = 0$  for all  $t$ ,  $Var[u_t] = \sigma^2$  for all  $t$  and  $Cov[u_t, u_s] = 0$  for all  $t \neq s$ . Using Definition 3.7 in the Lecture Notes, it can be recognized that  $u_t$  is a weakly stationary process.

2.(b) The first two moments of  $v_t$  can be derived as follows.

- $E[v_t]$ : Substituting for  $v_t$  and using  $E[\varepsilon_t] = 0$  we have,

$$E[v_t] = E[(-1)^t \varepsilon_t] = (-1)^t E[\varepsilon_t] = 0.$$

- $Var[v_t]$ : Using the previous result it follows that  $Var[v_t] = E[v_t^2]$ . So substituting for  $v_t$ , it follows that

$$Var[v_t] = E[v_t^2] = E\left[\{(-1)^t \varepsilon_t\}^2\right] = (-1)^{2t} E[\varepsilon_t^2] = \sigma^2,$$

where the last equality uses the fact that  $\varepsilon_t$  is white noise.

- $Cov[v_t, v_s]$ : Using  $E[v_t] = 0$  for all  $t$ , we have  $Cov[v_t, v_s] = E[v_t v_s]$ . Substituting for  $v_t$ , it follows that

$$Cov[v_t, v_s] = E[v_t v_s] = E[(-1)^t (-1)^s \varepsilon_t \varepsilon_s] = (-1)^{t+s} E[\varepsilon_t \varepsilon_s] = 0,$$

where the last identity uses the fact that  $\varepsilon_t$  is white noise and so  $Cov[\varepsilon_t \varepsilon_s] = E[\varepsilon_t \varepsilon_s] = 0$ .

Therefore,  $v_t$  is a weakly stationary process because  $E[v_t] = 0$  and  $Var[v_t]$  are independent of  $t$ , and  $Cov[v_t, v_s] = 0$  depends on  $|t - s|$  but not  $t$  or  $s$  individually ( $t \neq s$ ).

- 2.(c) Note that the event  $t = 10$  is not random and so  $\mathcal{I}(t = 10)$  can be treated as a constant. Substituting for  $w_t$ , we have:

$$E[w_t] = E[\mathcal{I}(t = 10) + \varepsilon_t] = E[\mathcal{I}(t = 10)] + E[\varepsilon_t] = \mathcal{I}(t = 10) + E[\varepsilon_t].$$

Therefore, using  $E[\varepsilon_t] = 0$ , it follows that  $E[w_t] = 0$  for all  $t \neq 10$  and  $E[w_{10}] = 1$ . So the mean of  $w_t$  depends on  $t$  and  $w_t$  is not weakly stationary.

- 2.(d) All we know about  $v_t$  are the properties of its first two moments for which we can deduce that it is weakly stationary. But in the absence of additional information about the underlying probability distribution, there is no way to assess whether the process is strongly stationary. So the answer is that it may or may not be strongly stationary we lack the information to tell.

- 3.(a) We are given that  $\varepsilon_t$  is white noise and so  $E[\varepsilon_t] = 0$  for all  $t$ . Thus it follows that:

$$\begin{aligned} E[y_t] &= E[\varepsilon_t + \phi \varepsilon_{t-1}] \\ &= E[\varepsilon_t] + \phi E[\varepsilon_{t-1}] \\ &= 0, \quad \text{b/c } E[\varepsilon_t] = 0. \end{aligned}$$

- 3.(b) Since  $\varepsilon_t$  is white noise, we have  $E[\varepsilon_t^2] = Var[\varepsilon_t] = \sigma^2$  and  $E[\varepsilon_t \varepsilon_s] = Cov[\varepsilon_t, \varepsilon_s] = 0$  for all  $s \neq t$ . Using these properties and part(a), it follows that:

$$\begin{aligned} Var[y_t] &= E\left[(\varepsilon_t + \phi \varepsilon_{t-1})^2\right], \quad \text{b/c } E[y_t] = 0 \\ &= E\left[\varepsilon_t^2 + \phi^2 \varepsilon_{t-1}^2 + 2\phi \varepsilon_t \varepsilon_{t-1}\right] \\ &= E[\varepsilon_t^2] + \phi^2 E[\varepsilon_{t-1}^2] + 2\phi E[\varepsilon_t \varepsilon_{t-1}] \\ &= \sigma^2 + \phi^2 \sigma^2, \quad \text{b/c } E[\varepsilon_t \varepsilon_{t-1}] = 0, \\ &= (1 + \phi^2) \sigma^2. \end{aligned}$$

3.(c) Using part(a), we have:

$$\begin{aligned} Cov[y_t, y_{t-s}] &= E[(\varepsilon_t + \phi\varepsilon_{t-1})(\varepsilon_{t-s} + \phi\varepsilon_{t-s-1})] \\ &= E[\varepsilon_t\varepsilon_{t-s} + \phi\varepsilon_{t-1}\varepsilon_{t-s} + \phi\varepsilon_t\varepsilon_{t-s-1} + \phi^2\varepsilon_{t-1}\varepsilon_{t-s-1}] \\ &= E[\varepsilon_t\varepsilon_{t-s}] + \phi E[\varepsilon_{t-1}\varepsilon_{t-s}] + \phi E[\varepsilon_t\varepsilon_{t-s-1}] + \phi^2 E[\varepsilon_{t-1}\varepsilon_{t-s-1}]. \end{aligned}$$

From the previous equation we obtain (using  $E[\varepsilon_t\varepsilon_n] = 0$  for all  $t \neq n$ ): for  $s = 1$ ,

$$Cov[y_t, y_{t-1}] = \phi E[\varepsilon_{t-1}^2] = \phi\sigma^2,$$

and for  $s > 1$ ,

$$Cov[y_t, y_{t-s}] = 0.$$

3.(d) By inspection of the results in parts (a)-(c), it can be seen that  $y_t$  is a weakly stationary process because  $E[y_t]$  and  $Var[y_t]$  do not depend on  $t$  and  $Cov[y_t, y_{t-s}]$  only depends on  $s$ .

3.(e) The long run variance is defined in Section 3.3.1 of the lecture notes. By convention, we use  $\gamma_i$  to denote the  $i^{th}$  autocovariance of a scalar and so the long run covariance of  $y_t$  is given by:  $\gamma_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty}(\gamma_i + \gamma'_i)$  where  $\gamma_i = Cov[y_t, y_{t-i}]$ . Since the transpose of a scalar is itself, the formula can be equivalently written as:

$$\Omega_y = \gamma_0 + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \gamma_i \quad (1)$$

Using the results from parts (b)-(c), we have:

$$\Omega_y = \sigma^2(1 + \phi^2 + 2\phi) = \sigma^2(1 + \phi)^2.$$

4.(a) By similar arguments to the answer to Question 3.(a), we have:

$$\begin{aligned} E[y_t] &= E\left[\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \theta^i \varepsilon_{t-i}\right] \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \theta^i E[\varepsilon_{t-i}] \\ &= 0, \text{ b/c } E[\varepsilon_t] = 0. \end{aligned}$$

4.(b) By similar arguments to the answer to Question 3.(b):

$$\begin{aligned} Var[y_t] &= Var\left[\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \theta^i \varepsilon_{t-i}\right] \\ &= E\left[\left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \theta^i \varepsilon_{t-i}\right)^2\right] \quad \text{since } E\left[\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \theta^i \varepsilon_{t-i}\right] = 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= E\left[\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \theta^{2i} \varepsilon_{t-i}^2\right] \quad \text{since } E[\varepsilon_t \varepsilon_s] = 0 \quad \forall t \neq s \\
&= \sigma^2 \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \theta^{2i} \\
&= \sigma^2 / (1 - \theta^2)
\end{aligned}$$

where the last equality uses the hint (note that  $|\theta| < 1$  implies  $0 \leq \theta^2 < 1$ .)

4.(c) Assume  $s > 0$ . Using the  $MA(\infty)$  representation and part(a), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
Cov[y_t, y_{t-s}] &= Cov\left[\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \theta^i \varepsilon_{t-i}, \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \theta^i \varepsilon_{t-s-i}\right] \\
&= E\left[\left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \theta^i \varepsilon_{t-i}\right) \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \theta^i \varepsilon_{t-s-i}\right)\right]. \tag{2}
\end{aligned}$$

At this point it is convenient to write  $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \theta^i \varepsilon_{t-i}$  as the sum of two components,

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \theta^i \varepsilon_{t-i} = \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} \theta^i \varepsilon_{t-i} + \sum_{i=s}^{\infty} \theta^i \varepsilon_{t-i}.$$

Notice further that

$$\sum_{i=s}^{\infty} \theta^i \varepsilon_{t-i} = \theta^s \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \theta^i \varepsilon_{t-s-i},$$

and so we have

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \theta^i \varepsilon_{t-i} = \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} \theta^i \varepsilon_{t-i} + \theta^s \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \theta^i \varepsilon_{t-s-i}. \tag{3}$$

Substituting (3) into (2), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
Cov[y_t, y_{t-s}] &= E\left[\left(\sum_{i=0}^{s-1} \theta^i \varepsilon_{t-i} + \theta^s \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \theta^i \varepsilon_{t-s-i}\right) \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \theta^i \varepsilon_{t-s-i}\right)\right] \\
&= E\left[\left(\sum_{i=0}^{s-1} \theta^i \varepsilon_{t-i}\right) \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \theta^i \varepsilon_{t-s-i}\right)\right] + E\left[\theta^s \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \theta^i \varepsilon_{t-s-i}\right)^2\right] \tag{4}
\end{aligned}$$

Consider each of these two terms in turn. Multiplying out

$$\left(\sum_{i=0}^{s-1} \theta^i \varepsilon_{t-i}\right) \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \theta^i \varepsilon_{t-s-i}\right)$$

it can be seen that the result is a linear combination of terms of the form  $\varepsilon_t \varepsilon_n$  where  $t \neq n$ . Since the expectation of a linear combination of rv's is the corresponding linear combination

of the expectations and  $E[\varepsilon_t \varepsilon_n] = 0$  for all  $t \neq n$  (as  $\varepsilon_t$  is white noise), it follows that

$$E \left[ \left( \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} \theta^i \varepsilon_{t-i} \right) \left( \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \theta^i \varepsilon_{t-s-i} \right) \right] = 0. \quad (5)$$

Now consider the other term. Since  $\theta^s$  is a constant, it follows that

$$E \left[ \theta^s \left( \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \theta^i \varepsilon_{t-s-i} \right)^2 \right] = \theta^s E \left[ \left( \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \theta^i \varepsilon_{t-s-i} \right)^2 \right], \quad (6)$$

and so using the same argument as for part (b), we have

$$\theta^s E \left[ \left( \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \theta^i \varepsilon_{t-s-i} \right)^2 \right] = \theta^s \sigma^2 / (1 - \theta^2). \quad (7)$$

The desired result then follows from (4)-(7).

- 4.(d) Inspection of parts (a)-(c) reveals that  $E[y_t]$  and  $Var[y_t]$  do not depend on  $t$ , and  $Cov[y_t, y_{t-s}]$  depends on  $s$  but neither  $t$  or  $t - s$ . Therefore the process is weakly stationary. (The “stationarity” condition in ARMA models ensures that the process is weakly stationary.)
- 4.(e) As  $y_t$  is a scalar, we evaluate (4). Using parts (b)-(d), it follows that:

$$\Omega_y = \frac{\sigma^2}{1 - \theta^2} + 2 \frac{\sigma^2}{1 - \theta^2} \{ \theta + \theta^2 + \dots \} = \frac{\sigma^2}{1 - \theta^2} \left\{ 1 + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \theta^i \right\}. \quad (8)$$

Now, using the hint, we have:

$$2 \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \theta^i = 2 \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \theta^i - 1 = \frac{2}{1 - \theta} - 1 = \frac{1 + \theta}{1 - \theta},$$

and substituting this into (8), we obtain:

$$\Omega_y = \left( \frac{\sigma^2}{1 - \theta^2} \right) \left( \frac{1 + \theta}{1 - \theta} \right) = \frac{\sigma^2}{(1 - \theta)^2}.$$

5. As can be seen from Figures 1 and 2, the pdf is still bell-shape but the bell has been elongated along the negative 45-degree line. Most of each contour lies in in the North-West and South-East quadrants of the plot. This reflects that if  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  are negatively correlated then positive values of  $u_1$  are more likely to occur with negative values of  $u_2$  and *vice versa*. The shape of the plots is different from those presented in Figures 4.1-4.6.

Figure 1: Probability density function with non-spherical errors due to negative correlation

**Assignment Project Exam Help**

**<https://powcoder.com>**

**Add WeChat powcoder**

Figure 2: Probability contours with non-spherical errors due to negative correlation

6. A suitable program is contained in the file Tut5timetrend.m where you need to make the comparison on the screen; this program calls the file ols.m used in the solutions to Tutorial 2. It is common practice to include a time trend in time series regression models. This exercise demonstrates a general result: if we regress  $y_t$  on an intercept, a time trend and a vector of variables  $z_t$  then the estimated coefficients on  $z_t$  are the same as those obtained by regressing  $\tilde{y}_t$  on  $\tilde{z}_t$  where  $(\tilde{\cdot})$  denotes the linearly de-trended value of  $(\cdot)$ .

**Assignment Project Exam Help**

**<https://powcoder.com>**

**Add WeChat powcoder**