Predicate Logic Chtd.

https://powcoder.com

Add WeChat powcoder Fariba Sadri

Rules of Inference Natural Deduction

All inference rules for propositional logic + 4 new ruse strong early ith Etherqual ptifiers.

1. ∀-eliminations:(∀E)coder.com

 $\frac{\forall X p(X)}{p(a)}$ Add WeChat powcoder

where a is any constant.

The constant a must replace every free occurrence of X in P(X).

```
E.g.
From VX designment Project Examelel anclude
       beautifulhansipododer.com
From
                Add WeChat powcoder
\forall X (lion(X) \rightarrow \exists Y (lioness(Y) \land provides food(Y,X)))
We can infer
lion(shere_khan)→
  \exists Y(lioness(Y) \land provides\_food(Y,shere\_khan))
```

Exercise



Formalise the argument below and show that it is valid. Assignment Project Exam Help

MSc Generalhttps://pwsedencemare
Engineering studentschatsrown.projects.

Martin is either an MSc Generalist or an MSc Software Engineering student.

So Martin does a group project.

2. \forall -Introduction (\forall I)

(Universal designation of the Complete Exam Help

If we know all thepground tertes and there are a small number of them, e.g. a, ..., a, Add WeChat powcoder

then to show

$$\forall X p(X)$$

we show $p(a_1), ..., p(a_n)$.

```
Suppose we wanted to show
\forall X \text{ (student(X)} \rightarrow \text{Assignment Project Exam Help}
\text{undergrad(X)} \forall postgrad(X))
\text{Add WeChat powcoder}
```

then this approach would mean checking every student.

This approach is not practical in general.

But maybe we know some properties of being a student, Assignment Project Exam Help

All students attpsi/powcoder.actmgree
programme. Add WeChat powcoder
Our only degree programmes are UG and PG.

Then we can show that if you pick any student they will be UG or PG.

```
In general to show
```

Assignment Project Exam Help

we show p(https://powcoder.com

for an arbitrary de on stant pour which there are no constraints.

\forall -Introduction (\forall I)

p(a) Assignment Project Exam Help

 $\forall \mathbf{X} \mathbf{p}(\mathbf{X})$ https://powcoder.com provided the following conditions are met:

- i. a is an arbitrary constant powcoder
- ii. There are no assumptions involving a, left undischarged, used to obtain p(a).
- iii. Substitution of X for a in p(X) is uniform, i.e. X is substituted for every occurrence of a.

```
E.g.

Assignment Project Exam Help

\forall Y (q(a,Y) \xrightarrow{https://powcoder.com},a)))

we can infer Add WeChat powcoder

\forall X \forall Y (q(X,Y) \rightarrow \exists Z (r(Z) \land t(Z,Y,X)))

provided a is an arbitrary constant.
```

Note:

To be on the safe side: Assignment Project Exam Help

Make sure there is no variable clash when applying the drule Chat powcoder

The safest is to introduce a new variable, i.e. one that does not occur in the original wff.

Exercise

All messages are encrypted.

Assignment Project Exam Help
Anything that is encrypted is secure.

https://powcoder.com

So all messaged all ecceptive we coder

Exercise



Given

- 1. $\forall X (p(X) \rightarrow \exists Y q(X, Y))$
- 2. $\forall Z (\exists X q (Z,X) powcoder, conz. a))$
- 3. r(a) Add WeChat powcoder

show

$$\forall X (\neg p(X) \lor s(X,a))$$

3. ∃ -Introduction (∃I)

p(t) Assignment Project Exam Help

 $\exists X p(X)$ https://powcoder.com

Add WeChat powcoder

where t is any term, and X does not clash with any occurrence of X in p(t).

X is substituted for one or more occurrences of t in p(t).

Example: Given logician (chaignmento reject Exam Help writer(charlestps://dowonder.com Abbreviated And WeChlerdowcoder(cd) we can derive each of the following by an application of the \exists I rule. $\exists X (l(X) \land w(X)) \qquad \exists X (l(X) \land w(cd))$ $\exists X (l(cd) \land w(X))$

Beware clash of variables:

Example: Assignment Project Exam Help

There is a course that Mary likes.

https://powcoder.com

 $\exists X (course(X) \land fikes(mary,X))$

We can derive: Add WeChat powcoder

 $\exists Y \; \exists X (course(X) \land likes(Y,X))$

but not

 $\exists X \ \exists X (course(X) \land likes(X,X))$

(There is a course that likes itself!)

4. ∃-Elimination (∃E)

Assignment Project Exam Help

- https://powcoder.com
- Add WeChat powcoder

 $\exists Xp(X), W$

 \mathbf{W}

where W is any wff, provided the following conditions are met:

- i. a is an arbitrary constant.
- ii. In proving wert displaced in which a assumption left pundischarged in which a occurs is p(a).
- occurs is p(a).

 Add WeChat powcoder

 iii. a does not occur in W or in $\exists X$ p(X).

Note:

p(a) is an assumption, which is discharged by the application of ∃E rule, above.

Example:

Assignment Project Exam Help

Aliens is an externing friender.com

All exciting finds Weakletaphwood finoney.

So there is a film that makes a lot of money.

```
film(als) \land exciting(als)
                                                                  given
      VX(film(Xs)iverwriting(Projectalexamolex(X))
                                                                  given
     film(als)∧exciting(als)→makes_money(als)
https://powcoder.com
makes_money(als)
                                                                  2, \forall E
3.
                                                                  3,1, \rightarrow E
                     Add WeChat powcoder
5.
      film(als)
                                                                  1, \land E
6.
      film(als) \land makes\_money(als)
                                                                  5,4, \land I
7.
      \exists X (film(X) \land makes\_money(X))
                                                                  6, ∃I
```

Compare with:

Assignment Project Exam Help

There is an exching film.

All exciting files Weaker apper cores.

So there is a film that makes a lot of money.

```
\exists X \text{ (film}(X) \land \text{ exciting}(X))
                                                                     given
\forall X (filina(X))  gnexeitting(Xe)ct>InxakesHelpney(X))
                                                                     given
          https://powcoder.com
                                                                     assume
          \begin{array}{c} \text{film(a)} \land \text{exciting(a)} \longrightarrow \text{makes\_money(a)} & 2, \ \forall E \\ \text{makes\_money(a)} & \text{Powcoder} \\ 3,4, \rightarrow E \end{array}
                                                                     3. ∧E
           film(a)
           film(a) \land makes\_money(a)
                                                                    5,6, \wedgeI
           \exists X (film(X) \land makes\_money(X))
                                                                    7, \exists I
                                                                             1,3,8,\exists E
\exists X (film(X) \land makes\_money(X))
```

Example:

∃X (manager(X) ∧ promoted(X)) ⊢ Assignment Project Exam Help

∃X promoted https://powcoder.com

More generally, the following are useful derivations:

$$\exists X (p(X) \land q(X)) \vdash \exists X p(X)$$

$$\exists X (p(X) \land q(X)) \vdash \exists X q(X)$$

- 1. $\exists X (p(X) \land q(X))$ given

 2. $p(a) \land q(a)$ given Project Exam Help
 - 3. q(a) https://poweoder.com
 - 4. ∃X q∰ WeChat powcoder
- 5. $\exists X \ q(X)$ 1, 2, 4, $\exists E$

Exercise



Formalise the following argument and show that it is signment Project Exam Help

Someone hackters into we edure fitte f ('finance').

Anyone who Addkwentapsecureefile either has stolen its password or has had insider help.

So there is someone who has stolen f's password or has had insider help.



- When applying the inference rules identify the dominahtteo/prective/quantifier correctly. Add WeChat powcoder
- Apply the inference rule applicable to that connective.

Example:

From
$$\forall X (p(X) \rightarrow q(X))$$

we can derive
$$p(a) \rightarrow q(a)$$
 by $\forall E$.

we cannot derive
$$\neg (\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{a}) \rightarrow \mathbf{q}(\mathbf{a}))$$
 by $\forall E$.

The following derivation is wrong:

- $\neg \forall X \text{ (business}(X) \rightarrow avoidsTax(X))$
- \neg (business(amazon) \rightarrow avoidsTax(amazon))



Be careful!

```
From \neg p(a)
we can derive \exists x \neg p(x) by \exists I.

But from \Rightarrow p(a)
https://powcoder.com
we cannot derive \Rightarrow p(x) by \exists I.
```

From \neg happy(tom) we can derive $\exists X \neg happy(X)$ but not $\neg \exists X happy(X)$.

Soundness and Completeness

Predicate logic is sound and complete.

Decidabiliassignment Project Exam Help

Definition:

https://powcoder.com
A logical system is decidable iff it is possible to have an effective Welbet (anwigotethm) that is guaranteed to recognise correctly whether a wff is a theorem of the system or not. In other words, a logical system is decidable if it satisfies conditions 1 and 2 below.

- 1) If |= W then there is an algorithm that recognises that W is Assignment Project Exam Help
- 2) If it is not the case that there is an algorithm that recognises that W is not a theorem.

 Add WeChat powcoder

Propositional logic is decidable.

Predicate logic is not - it is semi-decidable, that is, it satisfies condition 1, above, but not condition 2.