

Numerical Optimisation:  
Quasi-Newton methods

# Assignment Project Exam Help

**Marta M. Betcke**

`m.betcke@ucl.ac.uk`,

**Kiko Rullan**

`f.rullan@cs.ucl.ac.uk`

<https://powcoder.com>

Add WeChat **powcoder**  
Department of Computer Science,  
Centre for Medical Image Computing,  
Centre for Inverse Problems  
University College London

Lecture 7 & 8

- First idea by William C. Davidon in mid 1950, who was frustrated by performance of coordinate descent.
- Quickly picked up by Fletcher and Powell who demonstrated that the new algorithm was much faster and more reliable than existing methods.
- Davidon's original paper was not accepted for publication. More than 30 years later it appeared in the first issue of the SIAM Journal on Optimization in 1991.
- Like steepest gradient, Quasi Newton methods only require the gradient of the objective function at each iterate. Measuring changes in gradient they build a model of the objective function which is good enough to produce superlinear convergence.
- As the Hessian is not required, Quasi-Newton methods can be more efficient than Newton methods which take a long time to evaluate the Hessian and solve for the Newton direction.

# Broyden Fletcher Goldfarb Shanno (BFGS)

Quadratic model of the objective function at  $x_k$ :

$$m_k(p) = f_k + \nabla f_k^T p + \frac{1}{2} p^T B_k p,$$

where  $B_k \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  symmetric positive definite which will be updated during the iteration.

The minimiser of  $m_k$  can be written explicitly

$$p_k = -B_k^{-1} \nabla f_k.$$

$p_k$  is used as a search direction and the next iterate becomes

$$x_{k+1} = x_k + \alpha_k p_k.$$

The step length  $\alpha_k$  is chosen to satisfy the Wolfe conditions.

The iteration is similar to the line search Newton with the key difference that the Hessian  $B_k$  is an approximation.

## $B_k$ update

Davidon proposed to update  $B_k$  in each iteration instead of computing it anew.

**Question:** When we computed the new iterate  $x_{k+1}$  and construct the new model

$$m_{k+1}(p) = f_{k+1} + \nabla f_{k+1}^T p + \frac{1}{2} p^T B_{k+1} p,$$

what requirements should we impose on  $B_{k+1}$  based on the knowledge gathered in the last step?

**Require:** gradient of  $m_{k+1}$  should match the gradient of  $f$  at the last two iterates  $x_k, x_{k+1}$ .

- i) At  $x_{k+1}$ :  $p_{k+1} = 0$ ,  
 $\nabla m_{k+1}(0) = \nabla f_{k+1}$  is satisfied automatically.
- ii) At  $x_k = x_{k+1} - \alpha_k p_k$ :

$$\nabla m_{k+1}(-\alpha_k p_k) = \nabla f_{k+1} - \alpha_k B_{k+1} p_k = \nabla f_k.$$

By rearranging ii) we obtain

$$B_{k+1}\alpha_k p_k = \nabla f_{k+1} - \nabla f_k.$$

Define vectors

Assignment Project Exam Help

$$s_k = x_{k+1} - x_k = \alpha_k p_k, \quad y_k = \nabla f_{k+1} - \nabla f_k,$$

ii) becomes the *secant equation*

<https://powcoder.com>

$$B_{k+1}s_k = y_k.$$

As  $B_{k+1}$  is symmetric positive definite, this is only possible if the *curvature condition* holds

Add WeChat powcoder

$$s_k^T y_k > 0,$$

which can be easily seen multiplying the secant equation by  $s_k^T$  from the left.

If  $f$  is strongly convex  $s_k^T y_k > 0$  is satisfied for any  $x_k, x_{k+1}$ .

However, for nonconvex functions in general this condition will have to be enforced explicitly by imposing restrictions on the line search.

$s_k^T y_k > 0$  is guaranteed if we impose Wolfe or strong Wolfe conditions:

<https://powcoder.com>

From the 2nd Wolfe condition  $s_k^T \nabla f_{k+1} \geq c_2 s_k^T \nabla f_k$ ,  $c_1 < c_2 < 1$  it follows

$s_k^T y_k \geq (c_2 - 1) \alpha_k p_k^T \nabla f_k > 0,$

since  $c_2 < 1$  and  $p_k$  is a descent direction, and the curvature condition holds.

When  $s_k^T y_k > 0$ , the secant equation always has a solution  $B_{k+1}$ .

In fact the secant equation is heavily underdetermined: a symmetric matrix has  $n(n+1)/2$  dofs, secant equation:  $n$  conditions, positive definiteness:  $n$  inequalities.

Extra conditions to obtain unique solutions: we look for  $B_{k+1}$  close to  $B_k$  in a certain sense.

DFP update: <https://powcoder.com>

$$B_{k+1} = (I - \rho_k y_k s_k^T) B_k (I - \rho_k s_k y_k^T) + \rho_k y_k y_k^T \quad (\text{DFP B})$$

with  $\rho_k = 1/y_k^T s_k$

Add WeChat powcoder

The inverse  $H_k = B_k^{-1}$  can be obtained with Sherman-Morrison-Woodbury formula

$$H_{k+1} = H_k - \frac{H_k y_k y_k^T H_k}{y_k^T H_k y_k} + \frac{s_k s_k^T}{y_k^T s_k}. \quad (\text{DFP H})$$

## Assignment Project Exam Help

This formula can be extended to higher rank updates. Let  $U$  and  $V$  be matrices in  $\mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$  for some  $p$  between 1 and  $n$ . If we define

$$\hat{A} = A + UV^T,$$

then  $\hat{A}$  is non-singular if and only if  $(I + V^T A^{-1} U)$  is non-singular, and in this case we have

$$\hat{A}^{-1} = A^{-1} - A^{-1}U(I + V^T A^{-1}U)^{-1}V^T A^{-1}. \quad (\text{A.28})$$

Add WeChat powcoder

Figure: Nocedal, Wright (A.28)



Applying the same argument directly to the inverse of the Hessian  $H_k$ . The updated approximation  $H_{k+1}$  must be symmetric and positive definite and must satisfy the secant equation

$$H_{k+1}y_k = s_k.$$

BFGS update:

$$H_{k+1} = (I - \rho_k s_k y_k^T) H_k (I - \rho_k y_k s_k^T) + \rho_k s_k s_k^T \quad (\text{BFGS})$$

with  $\rho_k = 1/y_k^T s_k$

How to choose  $H_0$ ? Depends on situation, information about the problem e.g. starting with an inverse of approximated Hessian calculated by a finite difference at  $x_0$ . Otherwise, we can use the identity of diagonal matrix to reflect the scaling of the variables.

- 1: Given  $x_0$ , inverse Hessian approximation  $H_0$ , tolerance  $\varepsilon > 0$
- 2: Set  $k = 0$
- 3: **while**  $\|\nabla f_k\| > \varepsilon$  **do**
- 4:   Compute search direction

<https://powcoder.com>

- 5:    $x_{k+1} = x_k + \alpha_k p_k$  where  $\alpha_k$  is computed with a line search procedure satisfying Wolfe conditions
- 6:   Define  $s_k = x_{k+1} - x_k$  and  $y_k = \nabla f_{k+1} - \nabla f_k$
- 7:   Compute  $H_{k+1}$  using (BFGS)
- 8:    $k = k + 1$
- 9: **end while**

- Complexity of each iteration is  $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$  plus the cost of function and gradient evaluations.
- There are no  $\mathcal{O}(n^3)$  such as linear system solves or matrix-matrix multiplications
- The algorithm is robust and the rate of convergence is superlinear. In many cases it outperforms Newton method, which while converging quadratically, has higher complexity per iteration (Hessian computation and solve).
- A BFGS version with the Hessian approximation  $B_k$  rather than  $H_k$ . The update for  $B_k$  is obtained by applying Sherman-Morrison-Woodbury formula to (BFGS)

$$B_{k+1} = B_k - \frac{B_k s_k s_k^T B_k}{s_k^T B_k s_k} + \frac{y_k y_k^T}{y_k^T s_k} \quad \text{(BFGS B)}$$

An  $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$  implementation can be achieved based on updates of  $LDL^T$  factors of  $B_k$  (with possible diagonal modification for stability) but no computational advantage is observed above (BFGS).

- The positive definiteness of  $H_k$  is not explicitly forced, but if  $H_k$  is positive definite so will be  $H_{k+1}$ .
- What happens if at some iteration  $H_k$  becomes as poor approximation to the true inverse Hessian. E.g. if  $s_k^T y_k$  is tiny (positive) then the elements of  $H_{k+1}$  get very large. It turns out that BFGS has effective self correcting properties, and  $H_k$  tends to recover in a few steps. The self correcting properties hold only when adequate line search is performed. In particular Wolfe conditions ensure that the gradients are sampled at points which allow the model  $m_k$  to capture the curvature information.
- On the other hand DFP method is less effective in correcting itself.
- DFP and BFGS are dual in the sense that they can be obtained by switching  $s \leftrightarrow y, B \leftrightarrow H$ .

## Assignment Project Exam Help

- $\alpha_k = 1$  should always be tried first, because this step length will eventually be accepted (under certain conditions), thereby producing super linear convergence.
- Computational evidence suggest that it is more economical (in terms of function evaluations) to perform fairly inaccurate line search.
- $c_1 = 10^{-4}$ ,  $c_2 = 0.9$  are commonly used with Wolfe conditions.

<https://powcoder.com>

Add WeChat powcoder

## Heuristic for scaling $H_0$

Choice  $H_0 = \beta I$  is popular, but there is no good strategy for estimating  $\beta$ .

If  $\beta$  is too large, the first step  $p_0 = -\beta g_0$  is too long and line search may require many iterations to find a suitable step length  $\alpha_0$ .

**Heuristic:** estimate  $\beta$  after the first step has been computed but before the  $H_0$  update (in step 7) and change the provisional value by setting  $H_0 = \frac{s_k^T y_k}{y_k^T y_k} I$ . This scaling attempts to approximate scaling with an eigenvalue of the inverse Hessian (from Taylor theorem: the secant equation is satisfied for average Hessian  $\bar{G}_k = \int_0^1 \nabla^2 f(x_k + \tau \alpha_k p_k) d\tau$ ,  $y_k = \bar{G}_k \alpha_k p_k = \bar{G}_k s_k$ ).

# Symmetric rank-1 (SR-1) update

Both BFGS and DFP methods perform a rank-2 update while preserving symmetry and positive definiteness.

**Question:** Does a rank-1 update exist such that the secant equation is satisfied and the symmetry and definiteness are preserved?

Rank-1 update: <https://powcoder.com>

$$B_{k+1} = B_k + \sigma v v^T, \quad \sigma \in \{+1, -1\}$$

and  $v$  is chosen such that  $B_{k+1}$  satisfies the secant equation

$$y_k = B_{k+1} s_k$$

Substituting the explicit rank-1 form into the secant equation

$$y_k = B_k s_k + \underbrace{(\sigma v^T s_k)}_{:=\delta} v$$

we see that  $v$  must be of the form  $v = \delta(y_k - B_k s_k)$ .

Substituting  $v = \delta(y_k - B_k s_k)$  back into the secant equation we obtain

$$y_k - B_k s_k = \sigma \delta^2 [s_k^T (y_k - B_k s_k)] (y_k - B_k s_k)$$

which is satisfied if and only if

Assignment Project Exam Help

Hence, the only symmetric rank-1 update satisfying the secant equation is

$$B_{k+1} = B_k + \frac{(y_k - B_k s_k)(y_k - B_k s_k)^T}{(y_k - B_k s_k)^T s_k}. \quad (\text{SR-1})$$

Applying the Sherman-Morrison-Woodbury formula the inverse Hessian update is

Add WeChat powcoder

$$H_{k+1} = H_k + \frac{(s_k - H_k y_k)(s_k - H_k y_k)^T}{(s_k - H_k y_k)^T y_k}. \quad (\text{SR-1})$$

SR-1 update **does not preserve the positive definiteness**. It is a drawback for line search methods but could be an asset for trust region as it allows to generate indefinite Hessians.



# SR-1 breakdown

The main drawback of SR-1 is that  $(s_k - H_k y_k)^T y_k$  can become 0 even for a convex quadratic function i.e. there may be steps where there is no symmetric rank-1 update which satisfies the secant equation

## Assignment Project Exam Help

Three cases:

- $(y_k - B_k s_k)^T s_k \neq 0$ , unique symmetric rank-1 update satisfying secant equation exists.
- $y_k = B_k s_k$ , then the only update is  $B_{k+1} = B_k$ .
- $(y_k - B_k s_k)^T s_k = 0$  and  $y_k \neq B_k s_k$ , there is no symmetric rank-1 update satisfying secant equation

Remedy: Skipping i.e. apply update only if

$$|(y_k - B_k s_k)^T s_k| \geq r \|s_k\| \|y_k - B_k s_k\|,$$

where  $r \in (0, 1)$  is a small number (typically  $r = 10^{-8}$ ), otherwise set  $B_{k+1} = B_k$ .

- This simple safeguard adequately prevents the breakdown.  
Recall: for BFGS update skipping is not recommended if the curvature condition  $s_k^T y_k > 0$  fails. Because it can occur often by e.g. taking too small step if the line search does not impose the Wolfe conditions. For SR-1  $s_k^T (y_k - B_k s_k) \approx 0$  occurs infrequently as it requires near orthogonality of  $s_k$  and  $y_k - B_k s_k$  and moreover it implies that  $s_k^T \bar{G}_k s_k \approx s_k^T B_k s_k$ , where  $\bar{G}_k$  is the average Hessian over the last step meaning that the curvature approximation along  $s_k$  is essentially already correct.
- The Hessian approximation generated by SR-1 are good, often better than those by BFGS
- When the curvature condition  $y_k^T s_k > 0$  cannot be imposed e.g. constraint problems or partially separable functions, indefinite Hessian approximations are desirable as they reflect the indefiniteness of the true Hessian.

# SR-1 trust-region method

```
1: Given  $x_0, B_0, \Delta, \eta \in (0, 10^{-3}), r \in (0, 1)$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ 
2: Set  $k = 0$ 
3: while  $\|\nabla f_k\| > \varepsilon$  do
4:    $s_k = \arg \min_s s^T \nabla f_k + \frac{1}{2} s^T B_k s$ , subject to  $\|s\| \leq \Delta_k$ 
5:    $y_k = \nabla f(x_k + s_k) - \nabla f_k$ 
6:    $\rho_k = (f_k - f(x_k + s_k)) / - (s_k^T \nabla f_k + \frac{1}{2} s_k^T B_k s_k)$ 
7:   if  $\rho_k > \eta$  then
8:      $x_{k+1} = x_k + s_k$ 
9:   else
10:     $x_{k+1} = x_k$  (failed step)
11:   end if
12:   Update  $\Delta_k$  in dependence of  $\rho_k, \|s_k\|$  (as in trust-region methods)
13:   if  $|(y_k - B_k s_k)| / \|s_k\| > r \|s_k\| \|y_k\| / \|B_k s_k\|$  then
14:     Update  $B_{k+1}$  using (SR-1) (even if  $x_{k+1} = x_k$  to improve bad approximation along  $s_k$ )
15:   else
16:      $B_{k+1} = B_k$ 
17:   end if
18:    $k = k + 1$ 
19: end while
```

# Theorem: Hessian approximation for quadratic function

Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is the strongly quadratic function  
 $f(x) = b^T x + \frac{1}{2} x^T A x$  with  $A$  symmetric positive definite. For any starting point  $x_0$  and any symmetric initial matrix  $H_0$ , the iterates

**Assignment Project Exam Help**

$$x_{k+1} = x_k + p_k, \quad p_k = -H_k \nabla f_k,$$

where  $H_k$  is updated with (SR-1), converge to the minimiser in at most  $n$  steps provided that  $(s_k - H_k y_k)^T y_k \neq 0$  for all  $k$ . After  $n$  steps, if the search directions  $p_k$  are linearly independent,  $H_n = A^{-1}$ .

**Proof Idea** Show inductively that  $H_k y_j = s_j$  for all  $j = 1, \dots, k-1$  i.e.  $H_k$  satisfies the secant equations for all the directions up to now.

For quadratic function the secant equation is satisfied for all previous directions, regardless how the line search is performed. In contrast for BFGS it can only be shown under the assumption that the line search is exact.

# Theorem: Hessian approximation for general function

Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  twice continuously differentiable with the Hessian bounded and Lipschitz continuous in a neighbourhood of a point  $x^* \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\{x_k\}$  a sequence of iterates such that  $x_k \rightarrow x^*$ . Suppose that

$$|(y_k - B_k s_k)^T s_k| \geq r \|s_k\| \|y_k - B_k s_k\|$$

holds for all  $k$  and some  $r \in (0, 1)$  and that the steps  $s_k$  are uniformly independent (steps do not tend to fall in a subspace of dimension less than  $n$ ).

Then the matrices  $B_k$  generated by the update (SR-1) satisfy

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|B_k - \nabla^2 f(x^*)\| = 0.$$

# The Broyden class

**Broyden class** is a family of updates of the form

$$B_{k+1} = B_k - \frac{B_k s_k s_k^T B_k}{s_k^T B_k s_k} + \frac{y_k y_k^T}{v_k^T s_k} + \tau_k (s_k^T B_k s_k) v_k v_k^T, \quad (\text{Broyden})$$

where  $\tau_k$  is a scalar parameter and

$$v_k = \frac{y_k}{y_k^T s_k} - \frac{B_k s_k}{s_k^T B_k s_k}.$$

For  $\tau_k = 0$  we recover BFGS and for  $\tau_k = 1$  we DFP.

Hence we can write (Broyden) as a linear combination of the two

$$B_{k+1} = (1 - \tau_k) B_{k+1}^{\text{BFGS}} + \tau_k B_{k+1}^{\text{DFP}}.$$

Since both BFGS and DFP satisfy secant equation so does the whole Broyden class.

Since BFGS and DFP updates preserve positive definiteness of the Hessian when  $s_k^T y_k > 0$ , so does the **restricted Broyden class** which is obtained by restricting  $0 \leq \tau_k \leq 1$ .

# Theorem: monotonicity of eigenvalue approximation

Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is the strongly convex quadratic function  $f(x) = b^T x + \frac{1}{2} x^T A x$  with  $A$  symmetric positive definite. Let  $B_0$  any symmetric positive matrix and  $x_0$  be any starting point for the iteration

$$x_{k+1} = x_k + p_k, \quad p_k = -B_k^{-1} \nabla f_k,$$

where  $B_k$  is updated with (Broyden) with  $\tau_k \in [0, 1]$ .

Denote with  $\lambda_1^k \leq \lambda_2^k \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n^k$  the eigenvalues of

$$A^{1/2} B_k^{-1} A^{1/2}.$$

Then for all  $k$ , we have

$$\min\{\lambda_i^k, 1\} \leq \lambda_i^{k+1} \leq \max\{\lambda_i^k, 1\}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

The interlacing property does not hold if  $\tau_k \notin [0, 1]$ .

**Consequence:** The eigenvalues  $\lambda_i^k$  converge monotonically (but not strictly monotonically) to 1, which are the eigenvalues when  $B_k = A$ . Significantly, the result holds even if the line search is not exact.

So do the best updates belong to the restricted Broyden class?

We recover SR-1 formula for

$$\tau_k = \frac{s_k^T y_k}{s_k^T y_k - s_k^T B_k s_k},$$

which does not belong to the restricted Broyden class as  $\tau_k$  may fall outside of  $[0, 1]$ .

It can be shown that for  $B_0$  symmetric positive definite, if for all  $k$   $s_k^T y_k > 0$  and  $\tau_k > \tau_k^c$ , then all  $B_k$  generated by (Broyden) remain symmetric and positive definite. Here

$$\tau_k^c = (1 - \mu_k)^{-1} \leq 0, \quad \mu_k = \frac{(y_k^T B^{-1} y_k)(s_k^T B s_k)}{(y_k^T s_k)^2} \leq 1$$

When the **line search is exact** all the methods in the Broyden class with  $\tau_k \geq \tau_k^c$  generate the same sequence of iterates, even for nonlinear functions because the directions differ only by length and this is compensated by the exact line search.



# Thm: Properties of Broyden class for quadratic function

Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be the strongly convex quadratic function  $f(x) = b^T x + \frac{1}{2} x^T A x$  with  $A$  symmetric positive definite. Let  $x_0$  be any starting point and  $B_0$  any symmetric positive definite matrix. Assume that  $\alpha_k$  is the exact step length and  $\tau_k \geq \tau_1$  for all  $k$ . Then it holds

- (i) The iterates are independent of  $\tau_k$  and converge to the solution in at most  $n$  iterations.
- (ii) The secant equation is satisfied for all previous search directions

$$B_k s_j = y_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, k-1.$$

- (iii) If  $B_0 = I$ , then the sequence of iterates  $\{x_k\}$  is identical to that generated by the conjugate gradient method, in particular the search directions  $s_k$  are conjugate

$$s_i^T A s_j = 0, \quad i \neq j.$$

- (iv) If  $n$  iterations are performed, we have  $B_n = A$ .

- The theorem can be slightly generalised to hold if the Hessian approximation remains nonsingular but not necessarily positive definite i.e.  $\tau_k$  could be smaller than  $\tau_k^c$  provided the chosen value did not produce singular updated matrix.
- (ii) can be generalised to  $B_0 \neq I$  then the Broyden class method is identical to preconditioned conjugate gradient method with the preconditioner  $B_0$ .
- The theorem is mainly of theoretical interest as the inexact line search used in practice significantly alters the performance of the methods. This type of analysis however, guided much of the development in quasi-Newton methods.

# Global convergence

For general nonlinear objective function, there is no global convergence result for quasi-Newton methods i.e. convergence to a stationary point from any starting point and any suitable Hessian approximation.

## Assignment Project Exam Help

### Theorem: [BFGS global convergence]

Let  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be twice continuously differentiable and  $x_0$  be a starting point for which the level set  $\mathcal{L} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : f(x) \leq f(x_0)\}$  is convex and there exist two positive constants  $m, M$  such that

$$m\|z\|^2 \leq z^T \nabla^2 f(x) z \leq M\|z\|^2, \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{R}^n, x \in \mathcal{L}.$$

Then for any symmetric positive definite matrix  $B_0$  the sequence  $\{x_k\}$  generated by BFGS algorithm (with  $\varepsilon = 0$ ) converges to the minimizer  $x^*$  of  $f$ .

This results can be generalised to the restricted Broyden class with  $\tau_k \in [0, 1)$  i.e. except for DFP method.

# Theorem: Superlinear convergence BFGS

Assignment Project Exam Help

Let  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be twice continuously differentiable and the sequence of iterates generated by BFGS algorithm converge to  $x^* \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that the Hessian  $\nabla^2 f$  is Lipschitz continuous at  $x^*$

$$\|\nabla^2 f(x) - \nabla^2 f(x^*)\| \leq L\|x - x^*\|, \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{N}(x_0), \quad 0 < L < \infty,$$

and that it holds

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \|x_k - x^*\| < \infty,$$

Add WeChat powcoder

then  $x_k$  converges to  $x^*$  at a superlinear rate.

# Theorem: SR-1 trust region convergence

Let  $\{x_k\}$  be the sequence of iterates generated by the SR-1 trust region method. Suppose the following conditions hold:

- the sequence  $\{x_k\}$  does not terminate, but remains in a closed bounded convex set  $\mathcal{D}$  on which  $f$  is twice continuously differentiable and in which  $f$  has a unique stationary point  $x^*$ ;
- $\nabla^2 f(x^*)$  is positive definite and  $\nabla^2 f(x)$  is Lipschitz continuous in  $\mathcal{N}(x^*)$ ;
- the sequence  $\{B_k\}$  is bounded in norm;
- $|(y_k - B_k s_k)^T s_k| \leq r \|s_k\| \|y_k - B_k s_k\|$ ,  $r \in (0, 1)$ ,  $\forall k$ .

Then for the sequence  $\{x_k\}$  we have  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_k = x^*$  and

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|x_{k+n+1} - x^*\|}{\|x_k - x^*\|} = 0 \quad (r = 1 \text{ step superlinear rate}).$$

**Remark:** SR-1 update does not maintain positive definiteness of  $B_k$ . In practice  $B_k$  can be indefinite at any iteration (trust region bound may continue to be active for arbitrarily large  $k$ ) but it can be shown that (asymptotically)  $B_k$  remains positive definite most of the time.