



Dr. Liam O'Connor
University of Edinburgh LFCS
UNSW, Term 3 2020

Where we're at

- We refined the abstract M-Machine to a C-Machine, with explicit stacks

$$s \succ e \quad s \prec v$$

<https://powcoder.com>

- Function application is still executed via substitution:

$$\frac{(\text{Apply } \langle\langle f, x, e \rangle \rangle \rangle) \triangleright \prec v \mapsto_C s \succ e[x := v \ f := (\text{Fun } \langle f, x, e \rangle)]}{\text{Add WeChat powcoder}}$$

- We're going to extend our C-Machine to replace substitutions with an **environment**, giving us a new *E-Machine*

Environments

Definition

An *environment* is a context containing equality assumptions about variables. It can be viewed like a *state* that maps variables to their values, except that a variable's value does not change over time.

<https://powcoder.com>

• **Env** $\frac{}{x = v, \eta \text{ Env}}$

We write $\eta(x)$ to indicate the leftmost value corresponding to x in η .

Let's change our machine states to include an *environment*:

$$s \mid \eta \succ e \quad s \mid \eta \prec v$$

First Attempt

Assignment Project Exam Help

First, we'll add a rule for consulting the environment if we encounter a free variable:

$$\frac{}{s \mid \eta \succ x \mapsto_E s \mid \eta \prec \eta(x)}$$

<https://powcoder.com>

Then, we just need to handle function application.

One broken attempt:

$$\frac{}{(\text{Apply } \langle\langle f.x. e \rangle\rangle \square) \triangleright s \mid \eta \prec v \mapsto_E s \mid (x = v, f = \langle\langle f.x. e \rangle\rangle, \eta) \succ e}$$

We don't know when to remove the variables again!

Second Attempt

We will extend our **stacks** to allow us to **save** the old environment to it.

$$\frac{s \text{ Stack} \quad \eta \text{ Env}}{\eta \triangleright s \text{ Stack}}$$

Calling a function, we save the environment to the stack.

$$\frac{}{(\text{Apply } \langle\langle f.x. e \rangle\rangle \square) \triangleright s \mid \eta \prec v \mapsto_E \eta \triangleright s \mid (x = v, f = \langle\langle f.x. e \rangle\rangle, \eta) \succ e}$$

When the function returns, we restore the old environment, clearing out the new bindings:

$$\frac{}{\eta \triangleright s \mid \eta' \prec v \mapsto_E s \mid \eta \prec v}$$

Assignment Project Exam Help

<https://powcoder.com>

Add WeChat powcoder

Simple Example

(Ap □ (N 3)) ▷ ○	•	⋈	{Ap (Fun (f.x. (Plus x (N 1)))) (N 3))
(Ap □ (N 3)) ▷ ○	•	⋈	{Fun (f.x. (Plus x (N 1)))}
(Ap □ (N 3)) ▷ ○	•	⋈	{f.x. (Plus x (N 1))}
(Ap □ (N 3)) ▷ ○	•	⋈	(N 3)
(Ap □ (N 3)) ▷ ○	•	⋈	3
(Plus □ (N 1)) ▷ •▷ ○	•	⋈	{x = 3, f = {f.x. (Plus x (N 1))}}
(Plus □ (N 1)) ▷ •▷ ○	•	⋈	x
(Plus □ (N 1)) ▷ •▷ ○	•	⋈	3
(Plus 3 □) ▷ •▷ ○	•	⋈	(N 1)
(Plus 3 □) ▷ •▷ ○	•	⋈	2
(Plus 3 □) ▷ •▷ ○	•	⋈	4
○	•	⋈	4

Seems

to work for **basic examples**, but is there some way to break it?

Closure Capture

○ | ● \succ (Ap (Ap (Fun ($f.x.$ (Fun ($g.y.$ x)))) (N 3)) (N 4))

\mapsto_E (Ap \square (N 4)) \triangleright ○ | ● \succ (Ap (Fun ($f.x.$ (Fun ($g.y.$ x)))) (N 3))

\mapsto_E (Ap \square (N 3)) \triangleright (Ap \square (N 4)) \triangleright ○ | ● \succ (Fun ($f.x.$ (Fun ($g.y.$ x))))

\mapsto_E (Ap \square (N 3)) \triangleright (Ap \square (N 4)) \triangleright ○ | ● \prec $\langle\langle f.x. (Fun (g.y. x)) \rangle\rangle$

\mapsto_E (Ap $\langle\langle f \dots \rangle\rangle$ \square) \triangleright (Ap \square (N 4)) \triangleright ○ | ● \succ (N 3)

\mapsto_E (Ap $\langle\langle f \dots \rangle\rangle$ \square) \triangleright (Ap \square (N 3)) \triangleright ○ | ● \prec 3

\mapsto_E ● \triangleright (Ap \square (N 4)) \triangleright ○ | $x = 3, f = \langle\langle f \dots \rangle\rangle, \bullet \succ (Fun (g.y. x))$

\mapsto_E ● \triangleright (Ap \square (N 4)) \triangleright ○ | $x = 3, f = \langle\langle f \dots \rangle\rangle, \bullet \prec \langle\langle g.y. x \rangle\rangle$

\mapsto_E (Ap \square (N 4)) \triangleright ○ | ● $\prec \langle\langle g.y. x \rangle\rangle$

\mapsto_E (Ap $\langle\langle g.y. x \rangle\rangle$ \square) \triangleright ○ | ● \succ (N 4)

\mapsto_E (Ap $\langle\langle g.y. x \rangle\rangle$ \square) \triangleright ○ | ● \prec 4

\mapsto_E ● \triangleright ○ | $y = 4, g = \langle\langle g.y. x \rangle\rangle, \bullet \succ x$

Oh no! We're stuck!

Assignment Project Exam Help

<https://powcoder.com>

Add WeChat powcoder

Something went wrong!

Returning functions as a result means that the function's body expression escapes the scope of bound variables that existed where it is defined.

```
(let x = 3 in recfun f y = x + y) 5
```

The function value $\langle\langle f.x. x + y \rangle\rangle$, when it is applied, does not “remember” that $x = 3$ when the function was defined.

Solution: Store the environment inside the function value!

$$s \mid \eta \succ (\text{Recfun } (f.x. e)) \mapsto_E s \mid \eta \prec \langle\langle \eta, f.x. e \rangle\rangle$$

This type of function value is called a *closure*.

$(\text{Apply } \langle\langle \eta', f.x. e \rangle\rangle \square) \triangleright s \mid \eta \prec v \mapsto_E \eta \triangleright s \mid (x = v, f = \langle\langle f.x. e \rangle\rangle, \eta') \succ e$

Assignment Project Exam Help

<https://powcoder.com>

Add WeChat powcoder

Retrieve the new
env. from the closure

Store the
old env. in
the stack

Our Example

○ | ● \succ (Ap (Ap (Fun (f.x. (Fun (g.y. x)))) (N 3)) (N 4))

(Ap □ (N 4)) \triangleright ○ | ● \succ (Ap (Fun (f.x. (Fun (g.y. x)))) (N 3))

(Ap □ (N 3)) \triangleright (Ap □ (N 4)) \triangleright ○ | ● \succ (Fun (f.x. (Fun (g.y. x))))

(Ap □ (N 3)) \triangleright (Ap □ (N 4)) \triangleright ○ | ● \prec $\langle\langle \bullet, f.x. (Fun (g.y. x)) \rangle\rangle$

(Ap $\langle\langle \bullet, f \dots \rangle\rangle$ □) \triangleright (Ap □ (N 4)) \triangleright ○ | ● \succ (N 3)

(Ap $\langle\langle \bullet, f \dots \rangle\rangle$ □) \triangleright (Ap □ (N 4)) \triangleright ○ | ● \prec 3

● \triangleright (Ap □ (N 4)) \triangleright ○ | $x = 3, f = \langle\langle f \dots \rangle\rangle, \bullet \succ (Fun (g.y. x))$

● \triangleright (Ap □ (N 4)) \triangleright ○ | $x = 3, f = \langle\langle f \dots \rangle\rangle, \bullet \prec \langle\langle (x = 3, f = \dots, \bullet), g.y. x \rangle\rangle$

(Ap □ (N 4)) \triangleright ○ | ● $\prec \langle\langle (x = 3, f = \dots, \bullet), g.y. x \rangle\rangle$

(Ap $\langle\langle (x = 3, f = \dots, \bullet), g.y. x \rangle\rangle$ □) \triangleright ○ | ● \succ (N 4)

(Ap $\langle\langle (x = 3, f = \dots, \bullet), g.y. x \rangle\rangle$ □) \triangleright ○ | ● \prec 4

● \triangleright ○ | $y = 4, g = \langle\langle g.y. x \rangle\rangle, x = 3, f = \dots, \bullet \succ x$

● \triangleright ○ | $y = 4, g = \langle\langle g.y. x \rangle\rangle, x = 3, f = \dots, \bullet \prec 3$

○ | ● \prec 3

Assignment Project Exam Help

<https://powcoder.com>

Add WeChat powcoder

Refinement

Assignment Project Exam Help

- We already sketched the proof that shows that each C-machine execution has a corresponding M-machine execution (**refinement**).
- This means that any functional correctness (not security or cost) property we prove about all M-machine executions of a program apply just as well to any C-machine executions of the same program.
- Now we want to prove that each E-machine execution has a corresponding C-machine execution (and therefore a M-machine execution).

<https://powcoder.com>
Add WeChat powcoder

Ingredients for Refinement

Assignment Project Exam Help

Once again, we want the same ingredients to prove a simulation proof that we did in the previous refinement. That is, we must define an **abstraction function** \mathcal{A} that converts E-machine states to C-machine states, such that:

- Each initial state in the E-machine is mapped to an initial state in the C-Machine.
- Each final state in the E-machine is mapped to a final state in the C-Machine.
- For each transition from one state to another in the E-machine, either there exists a corresponding transition in the C-Machine or the two states map to the same C-machine state.

<https://powcoder.com>

Add WeChat powcoder

How to define \mathcal{A} ?

- Our abstraction function \mathcal{A} applies the environment η as a substitution to the current expression, and to the stack, starting at the left.
- If any environment is encountered in the stack, switch to substituting with that environment instead.
- E-Machine values are converted to C-Machine values merely by applying the environment inside closures as a substitution to the expression inside the closure.

With such a function definition, it is trivial to prove that each E-Machine transition has a corresponding transition in the C-Machine, as it is 1:1.

Except!

There is one rule which is not 1:1. Which one?