COMP3161/COMP9164

Abstract Machines Exercises

Liam O'Connor

October 20, 2019

1. **Decision Machines**: Suppose we have a language of nested brackets N (where ε is the empty string):

$$\frac{e \ N}{\varepsilon \ N} N_1 \qquad \frac{e \ N}{\langle e \rangle \ N} N_2 \qquad \frac{e \ N}{\langle e \rangle \ N} N_3 \qquad \frac{e \ N}{\langle e | \ N} N_4$$

 $\frac{1}{\varepsilon N} N_1 \quad \frac{e \ N}{(e) \ N} N_2 \quad \frac{e \ N}{\langle e \rangle} N_3 \quad \frac{e \ N}{[e] \ N} N_4$ Note that ()() is not a string https://powcoder.com

We developed a simple abstract machine to check if strings are in this language. We set the states for the machine to be simply strings. Initial states are all non-empty strings, and the final state is the empty string.

Then, our state transition relation is ment Project Exam Help

(a) A machine recognises a language of any anchore in chestate corresponding to a strong S will eventually reach a final state if and only if the string S is in the language.

i. [*] Show that the string ([$\langle \rangle$]) is in the language N, and show that our machine reaches a final state given that an attempting. / DOWCOGET.COM

Solution: The string is in the language, as shown:

The machine derivation is simply:

$$([\langle\rangle]) \\ \mapsto [\langle\rangle] \quad (M_1)$$

$$\mapsto$$
 $\langle \rangle$ (M_2)

$$\mapsto \varepsilon \qquad (M_2)$$

ii. $[\star\star]$ Show that the string [()] is not in the language N, and show that our machine reaches a non-final state with no outgoing transitions given the same string, i.e., there exists some stuck state s such that $[]()[] \stackrel{\star}{\mapsto} s$

Solution: If we attempt to derive []()[] N:

$$\frac{???}{\boxed{()[N]}} N_4$$

We get the subgoal () N, which is false, as all strings in N are either ε or begin with an opening bracket. Hence, as the rules are unambiguous, there is no other way to derive [()] N and hence it is not in N. Similarly, our machine derivation:

$$[]()[] \\ \mapsto]()[\quad (M_2)$$

???

We end up in the state]()[, which is a stuck state, as there are no transitions from a state that begins with a closing bracket.

- iii. Prove that the machine recognises the language N, that is:
 - α) $[\star\star\star]$ $s N \implies s \stackrel{\star}{\Longrightarrow} \varepsilon$. The relation $\stackrel{\star}{\Longrightarrow}$ of course being the reflexive transitive closure of \mapsto , that is:

$$\frac{s_1 + s_2 \quad s_2 \stackrel{\star}{\mapsto} s_3}{s_1 \stackrel{\star}{\mapsto} s_3} \text{Trans}^*$$

Solution:

Base case: Where $s = \varepsilon$, we must show $\varepsilon \stackrel{\star}{\mapsto} \varepsilon$. We can show this using the reflexivity

Inductive cases: Where s = (s') and s' N, with the inductive hypothesis that $s' \stackrel{\star}{\mapsto} \varepsilon$, we must show that if $l \in S$, then $l \in S$ then l

$$\operatorname{nment} \Pr{\circ \operatorname{\mathbf{ject}}}^{\operatorname{\overline{(s')}} \mapsto s'} \operatorname{\mathtt{Exam}} \operatorname{Help}$$

Proof. If the use induction over the definition of \Rightarrow .

Base case: Where the length of the execution is zero - i.e, we are already in a final state. The only final state is ε , and hence our proof goal is just ε N, which is already known

from radial WeChat powcoder Inductive case: Where our state s executes in one step to s' ($s \mapsto s'$), and $s' \stackrel{\star}{\mapsto} \varepsilon$ (*). From (*) we have the inductive hypothesis s' N. We must show that s N. We proceed by case distinction on s. Seeing as $s \mapsto s'$, s must be one of (s') (by rule M_1), [s'] (by rule M_2), or $\langle s' \rangle$ (by rule M_3). All three cases are nearly identical, so we will deal with just the first case, where s = (s').

$$\frac{\overline{s'\ N}^{\text{I.H}}}{(s')\ N} N_2$$

(b) Suppose that we were unable to efficiently read from both the beginning and end of the string simultaneously (For example, if a tape or a linked list is used to represent the string). This makes our original machine highly inefficient, as each state transition must examine the end of a string for a closing bracket.

We develop a new, stack-based machine that attempts to solve this problem. Our stack consists of three symbols, P, A, and B, one for each type of bracket. The states of the machine are of the form $s \mid e$, where s is a stack and e is a string. Our initial states are all states with an empty stack and a non-empty string, i.e. $\circ \mid e$, our final state is $\circ \mid \varepsilon$, and our state transitions are as follows:

$$\overline{s \mid (e \mapsto \mathtt{P} \triangleright s \mid e} S_1 \qquad \overline{s \mid \langle e \mapsto \mathtt{A} \triangleright s \mid e} S_2 \qquad \overline{s \mid [e \mapsto \mathtt{B} \triangleright s \mid e} S_3$$

$$\frac{}{\mathsf{P} \triangleright s \mid)e \mapsto s \mid e} S_4 \qquad \frac{}{\mathsf{A} \triangleright s \mid \rangle e \mapsto s \mid e} S_5 \qquad \frac{}{\mathsf{B} \triangleright s \mid]e \mapsto s \mid e} S_6$$

i. $[\star]$ Show the execution of the new stack machine given the start state $\circ | [(\langle \rangle)]$.

Solution: The machine execution proceeds as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\circ \mid [(\langle \rangle)] \\
\mapsto & \mathsf{B} \triangleright \circ \mid (\langle \rangle)] & (S_3) \\
\mapsto & \mathsf{P} \triangleright \mathsf{B} \triangleright \circ \mid \langle \rangle)] & (S_1) \\
\mapsto & \mathsf{A} \triangleright \mathsf{P} \triangleright \mathsf{B} \triangleright \circ \mid \rangle)] & (S_2) \\
\mapsto & \mathsf{P} \triangleright \mathsf{B} \triangleright \circ \mid)] & (S_5) \\
\mapsto & B \triangleright \circ \mid] & (S_4) \\
\mapsto & \circ \mid \varepsilon & (S_6)
\end{array}$$

- ii. Does the new machine recognise N?
 - α) [****] Prove or disprove that $s N \implies \circ \mid s \stackrel{\star}{\mapsto} \circ \mid \varepsilon$ for all strings s. Hint: You may find it useful to prove the following lemma:

$$\frac{s_1 \stackrel{\star}{\mapsto} s_2 \quad s_2 \mapsto s_3}{s_1 \stackrel{\star}{\mapsto} s_3} \text{LEMMA}$$

Also, you may need to generalise your proof goal to 1 broader claim.

Solution:

Proof of Lemma. We will prove the lemma provided above first, as it will come in handy. We proceed by induction on the size of the execution $s \mapsto s_2$ and must slow that given $s \mapsto s_2$ and $s \mapsto s_3$.

Assignment Project Exam Help Add Wechats Powcoder

Inductive Shen's DOW (COC) = 1.7.33 — (See Shen's DOW (COC) = 1.7.

Then, was defined to the constant power of the power of the state of the constant power of the state of the

$$\frac{\overline{s_1 \mapsto s_1'}(*) \quad \frac{\overline{s_2 \mapsto s_3}(\dagger)}{s_1' \stackrel{\star}{\mapsto} s_3} \text{I.H}}{s_1 \mapsto s_3} \text{Trans*}$$

Proof of main theorem. Now that we have proven the lemma, we must now prove that $s \ N \implies \circ \mid s \stackrel{\star}{\mapsto} \circ \mid \varepsilon$. We will generalise this proof goal to make the stronger claim that $s \ N \implies t \mid sr \stackrel{\star}{\mapsto} t \mid r$ for any stack t and remainder string r. Note that this trivially implies our original proof goal by setting t to \circ and r to ε .

Base case: Where $s = \varepsilon$, we must therefore show that $t \mid r \stackrel{\star}{\mapsto} t \mid r$, trivially shown by rule REFL*.

Inductive case: s = (s'), where s' N(*). From (*), we have the inductive hypothesis: $t' \mid s'r' \xrightarrow{\star} t' \mid r'$, for any t' and r'. We must show that $t \mid (s')r \xrightarrow{\star} t \mid r$ for all t, r.

$$\frac{t \mid (s')r \mapsto \mathtt{P} \triangleright t \mid s')r}{t \mid (s')r \mapsto \mathtt{P} \triangleright t \mid s')r} S_1 \quad \frac{P \triangleright t \mid s')r \stackrel{\star}{\mapsto} \mathtt{P} \triangleright t \mid)r}{P \triangleright t \mid s')r \stackrel{\star}{\mapsto} t \mid r} \mathsf{LEMMA} \\ \qquad \qquad t \mid (s')r \stackrel{\star}{\mapsto} t \mid r$$

The other inductive cases are extremely similar.

- ¹: The application of the I.H rule here sets t' to be $P \triangleright t$ and r' to be r.
- β) $[\star\star]$ Prove or disprove that $\circ \mid s \stackrel{\star}{\mapsto} \circ \mid \varepsilon \implies s N$

Solution:

Counterexample. We will disprove this by way of a counterexample. It is already established that ()() is not in N. We will show that $\circ | ()() \stackrel{\star}{\mapsto} \circ | \varepsilon$ and thus there is no way that $\circ | s \stackrel{\star}{\mapsto} \circ | \varepsilon$ could imply s N.

The machine execution is as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\circ \mid ()() \\
\mapsto & \mathsf{P} \triangleright \circ \mid)() & (S_1) \\
\mapsto & \circ \mid () & (S_4) \\
\mapsto & \mathsf{P} \triangleright \circ \mid) & (S_1) \\
\mapsto & \circ \mid \varepsilon & (S_4)
\end{array}$$

iii. $[\star\star]$ If your answer to the previous question was *no*, amend the structure of the stack machine so that it does recognise N (efficiently). Explain your answer.

Solution: The problem with the existing machine is that it recognises any amount of strings in N placed next to each other. A string in N consider of a sequence of opening brackets, followed by a sequence of closing brackets. Once a closing bracket has been observed by the machine, it should not see any opening brackets. To fix this, we modify the state such that there are two modes, $pushing \ (\succ)$, and $popping \ (\prec)$. The machine starts in $pushing \ mode$, i.e. $\circ \succ s$ for some string s, and now we have two terminating states: $\circ \succ s$ and $\circ s$. Our transition rules are updated as follows:



As there are no rules to go from popping to pushing mode, the machine cannot push a symbol after one has been popped, and hence the machine recognises N_{\bullet}

Add WeChat powcoder

- 2. Computing Machines: Abstract machines are not just used for decision problems (yes/no answers), they can also be used to compute results. Can you think of a machine to compute binary addition?
 - (a) $[\star\star\star]$ Formalise such a machine.

Hint: Think about the algorithm you would use when adding up large binary numbers on paper.

Solution: The machine's states are of the form:

$$\binom{n_1}{n_2} s \langle\!\langle \mathsf{c} \rangle\!\rangle$$

Where s, n_1 and n_2 are strings of binary digits, and c is a single carry bit. n_1 and n_2 are also padded with zeros so as to be the same length.

Initial states are all states where s is empty and the carry bit is zero:

$$\binom{n_1}{n_2} \varepsilon \ \langle \langle 0 \rangle \rangle$$

Final states are all states where n_1 and n_2 are empty and the carry bit is zero:

$${\varepsilon \atop \varepsilon} s \langle \langle 0 \rangle \rangle$$

The transition rules work as follows:

$$\frac{n_1 0}{n_2 0} \left\{ s \ \left\langle \left\langle 0 \right\rangle \right\rangle \mapsto \frac{n_1}{n_2} \right\} 0s \ \left\langle \left\langle 0 \right\rangle \right\rangle }{n_2 1} B_1 \quad \frac{n_1 0}{n_2 1} \left\{ s \ \left\langle \left\langle 0 \right\rangle \right\rangle \mapsto \frac{n_1}{n_2} \right\} 1s \ \left\langle \left\langle 0 \right\rangle \right\rangle }{n_2} B_2$$

(b) [★] Compute the result 110 + 1010 with your machine. Show each execution step. https://powcoder.com

Solution:

The result is 10000, as shown below:

Assignment Project Exam Help $\begin{array}{lll} & \stackrel{1010}{\rightarrow} & \stackrel{1010}{\rightarrow} & \stackrel{1010}{\rightarrow} & \stackrel{10}{\rightarrow} & \stackrel{1000}{\rightarrow} & \stackrel{1000}{\rightarrow} & \stackrel{10000}{\rightarrow} & \stackrel{1000}{\rightarrow} & \stackrel{10000}{\rightarrow} & \stackrel{1000}{\rightarrow} & \stackrel{10000}{\rightarrow} & \stackrel{1000}{\rightarrow} & \stackrel{1000}{\rightarrow} & \stackrel{10000}{\rightarrow} & \stackrel{1000}{\rightarrow} & \stackrel{10000}{\rightarrow} & \stackrel{10000}{\rightarrow}$

3. Evaluation Machines: Because machines can express computation, we can also use them to express the operational semantics of a programming language. Imagine an extremely simple functional language with the following big-step semantics:

$$\frac{1}{\mathsf{lam}(x,y) \Downarrow \langle\!\langle x.y \rangle\!\rangle} \mathsf{L}_{\mathsf{AMBDA}} \qquad \frac{e_1 \Downarrow \langle\!\langle x.y \rangle\!\rangle \quad e_2 \Downarrow e_2' \quad y[x := e_2'] \Downarrow r}{\mathsf{apply}(e1,e2) \Downarrow r} \mathsf{Apply}$$

- (a) [★★] Develop a structural operational ("small step") semantics for this language.
 - i. Include three rules for function application. Assume the function expression is evaluated before the argument expression. Note that this language does not include explicit recursion.

Solution:
$$\frac{t_1 \mapsto t_1'}{\operatorname{apply}(t_1, t_2) \mapsto \operatorname{apply}(t_1', t_2)} \operatorname{APPLY}_1 \quad \frac{t_2 \mapsto t_2'}{\operatorname{apply}(\operatorname{lam}(x.y), t_2) \mapsto \operatorname{apply}(\operatorname{lam}(x.y), t_2')} \operatorname{APPLY}_2$$

$$\overline{\operatorname{apply}(\operatorname{lam}(x.y), \operatorname{lam}(a.b)) \mapsto y[x := \operatorname{lam}(a.b)]} \operatorname{APPLY}_3$$

- (b) Now define an abstract machine which eliminates recursion from the meta-level of the semantics to include an explicit stack, a la the C Machine.
 - i. $[\star]$ Define a suitable stack formalism.

Solution:

$$\frac{x \ Frame \ s \ Stack}{\circ \ Stack}$$

Where a Frame is simply either apply (\Box, x) or apply (x, \Box) for some x.

ii. $[\star\star]$ Define the set of states Σ , the set of initial states $I\subseteq\Sigma$, and the set of final states $F\subseteq\Sigma$.

Solution: The set of states consists of an expression, and a stack:

$$\frac{s \; Stack \quad e \; Expr}{s \mid e \in \Sigma} \tag{1}$$

Initial states are an expression with an empty stack:

$$\frac{e \; Expr}{\circ \mid e \in I}$$

Final states are a function with an empty stack coder. com $\frac{e \, \mathit{Expr}}{\circ \, | \, \mathsf{lam}(x.e) \in \mathit{F}}$

$$\frac{e \; Expr}{\circ \, | \, \mathtt{lam}(x.e) \in F}$$

iii. [**] Include SrS 1 128 ft 1 ft Gold application sages tue a Xich Most tu to 10 built-in machine operation.

Add aphyce Chaty powcoder

https://powcoder.com D > s | e2

$$\overline{\mathsf{apply}(\mathsf{lam}(x.y), \Box)} \triangleright s \, | \, \mathsf{lam}(a.b) \mapsto s \, | \, y[x := \mathsf{lam}(a.b)]$$

(c) Now suppose that we want to include environments, a la the E Machine. Recall than an environment is commonly defined as:

$$\frac{}{\bullet \ Env} \quad \frac{x \ Ident \quad y \ Expr \quad \Gamma \ Env}{x \leftarrow y; \Gamma \ Env}$$

i. $[\star\star]$ Revise your definition of the state sets Σ , I and F, and of the stack.

Solution: Our stack can now also include *environments*:

$$\frac{s\ Stack \quad \Gamma\ Env}{\Gamma \rhd s\ Stack}$$

Our state now also includes a current environment, of the form $s \mid \Gamma \mid e$, where s is a Stack, Γ is an environment and e is an expression.

I and F are unchanged except that they include the empty environment.

ii. [***] Add a transition rule for function literals. Note that these function literals should produce closures which capture the environment at their definition.

Solution:

$$\overline{s \mid \Gamma \mid \mathtt{lam}(x,y) \mapsto s \mid \Gamma \mid \langle \! \langle \Gamma, x.y \rangle \! \rangle}$$

iii. $[\star\star\star]$ Revise your rules for function application.

Solution:

$$\overline{s \mid \text{apply}(e_1, e_2)} \mapsto \text{apply}(\Box, e_2) \triangleright s \mid e_1$$

$$\frac{\mathsf{apply}(\square, e_2) \rhd s \mid \Gamma \mid \langle\!\langle \Delta, x.y \rangle\!\rangle \mapsto \mathsf{apply}(\langle\!\langle \Delta, x.y \rangle\!\rangle, \square) \rhd s \mid \Delta \mid e_2}{\mathsf{apply}(\langle\!\langle \Gamma, x.y \rangle\!\rangle, \square) \rhd s \mid \Delta \mid \langle\!\langle E, a.b \rangle\!\rangle \mapsto \Delta \rhd s \mid x \leftarrow \langle\!\langle E, a.b \rangle\!\rangle; \Gamma \mid y}$$

iv. $[\star\star\star]$ Include any additional rules necessary to complete the definition, such as variable lookup.

Solution: Variable Lookup:

$$\overline{s \mid x \leftarrow y; \Gamma \mid x \mapsto s \mid x \leftarrow y; \Gamma \mid y}$$

Popping environments from the stack, back into the current environment:

$$\overline{\Gamma \triangleright s \mid \Delta \mid \langle \langle E, x.y \rangle \rangle} \mapsto s \mid \Gamma \mid \langle \langle E, x.y \rangle \rangle$$

v. [**] Give an example the pression prosume of the correctly. Explain your answer.

Exactly 2th bits upilitation will collect the inner application to be evaluated first, where x is bound to $\langle (a.a) \rangle$ Without Usures the inner application will return the function $\langle (y.apply(x,y)) \rangle$ back beyond the stack frame where x is in soope realizing in a free variable inside the function. When the outer application is finally evaluated, one would end up encountering x free it in page x, and x is the x is x. With charter, however, the environment containing the binding for x is captured in the returned function and x will not be found free.

4. Stack Machines: In this duestion, we will examine a machine that is quite similar to a type of machine used in *virtual machine*, such as the NNL called stack nachine. Chasging an arithmetic expression language with the following big step semantics:

$$\frac{x \in \mathbb{Z}}{\operatorname{num}(x) \Downarrow x} \operatorname{Num} \quad \frac{x \Downarrow x' \quad y \Downarrow y'}{\operatorname{plus}(x,y) \Downarrow x' + y'} \operatorname{PLUS} \quad \frac{x \Downarrow x' \quad y \Downarrow y'}{\operatorname{times}(x,y) \Downarrow x' \times y'} \operatorname{TIMES}$$

We have a machine, called the *J Machine*, that's capable of performing these operations, however it works by using a stack to store operands and accumulate results. For example, 4 * (2 + 3) would be the following program in the *J Machine*'s bytecode: val(4); val(2); val(3); add; times. Each val instruction pushes a value to the stack, and each operation instruction pops two values off, and pushes the result of the operation.

Formally, the *J Machine* is specified as follows: The machine consists of three *instructions*:

$$\frac{x \in \mathbb{Z}}{\operatorname{val}(x) \ Inst} \quad \frac{}{\operatorname{plus} \ Inst} \quad \frac{}{\operatorname{times} \ Inst}$$

The state of the machine consists of a list of instructions, called a *Program*, and a stack of integers:

$$\frac{1}{\text{halt } Program} \quad \frac{i \; Inst \quad p \; Program}{i; p \; Program}$$

$$\frac{x \in \mathbb{Z} \quad s \ Stack}{x \triangleright s \ Stack}$$

They are presented in the form $s \mid p$ where s is a stack and p is program. The initial state consists of the empty stack and any nonempty program p i.e, $\circ \mid p$. The final state consists of a stack with merely one element r (the result of the computation), and the empty program, i.e, $r \triangleright \circ \mid \text{halt}$.

The state transition rules are as follows:

$$\frac{1}{s \mid \mathtt{val}(x); p \mapsto x \triangleright s \mid p} J_1 \quad \frac{1}{y \triangleright x \triangleright s \mid \mathtt{add}; p \mapsto x + y \triangleright s \mid p} J_2 \quad \frac{1}{y \triangleright x \triangleright s \mid \mathtt{times}; p \mapsto x \times y \triangleright s \mid p} J_3 \quad \frac{1}{y \triangleright x \triangleright s \mid \mathtt{times}; p \mapsto x \times y \triangleright s \mid p} J_3 \quad \frac{1}{y \triangleright x \triangleright s \mid \mathtt{times}; p \mapsto x \times y \triangleright s \mid p} J_3 \quad \frac{1}{y \triangleright x \triangleright s \mid \mathtt{times}; p \mapsto x \times y \triangleright s \mid p} J_3 \quad \frac{1}{y \triangleright x \triangleright s \mid \mathtt{times}; p \mapsto x \times y \triangleright s \mid p} J_3 \quad \frac{1}{y \triangleright x \triangleright s \mid \mathtt{times}; p \mapsto x \times y \triangleright s \mid p} J_3 \quad \frac{1}{y \triangleright x \triangleright s \mid \mathtt{times}; p \mapsto x \times y \triangleright s \mid p} J_3 \quad \frac{1}{y \triangleright x \triangleright s \mid \mathtt{times}; p \mapsto x \times y \triangleright s \mid p} J_3 \quad \frac{1}{y \triangleright x \triangleright s \mid \mathtt{times}; p \mapsto x \times y \triangleright s \mid p} J_3 \quad \frac{1}{y \triangleright x \triangleright s \mid \mathtt{times}; p \mapsto x \times y \triangleright s \mid p} J_3 \quad \frac{1}{y \triangleright x \triangleright s \mid \mathtt{times}; p \mapsto x \times y \triangleright s \mid p} J_3 \quad \frac{1}{y \triangleright x \triangleright s \mid \mathtt{times}; p \mapsto x \times y \triangleright s \mid p} J_3 \quad \frac{1}{y \triangleright x \triangleright s \mid \mathtt{times}; p \mapsto x \times y \triangleright s \mid p} J_3 \quad \frac{1}{y \triangleright x \triangleright s \mid \mathtt{times}; p \mapsto x \times y \triangleright s \mid p} J_3 \quad \frac{1}{y \triangleright x \triangleright s \mid \mathtt{times}; p \mapsto x \times y \triangleright s \mid p} J_3 \quad \frac{1}{y \triangleright x \triangleright s \mid \mathtt{times}; p \mapsto x \times y \triangleright s \mid p} J_3 \quad \frac{1}{y \triangleright x \triangleright s \mid \mathtt{times}; p \mapsto x \times y \triangleright s \mid p} J_3 \quad \frac{1}{y \triangleright x \triangleright s \mid \mathtt{times}; p \mapsto x \times y \triangleright s \mid p} J_3 \quad \frac{1}{y \triangleright x \triangleright s \mid \mathtt{times}; p \mapsto x \times y \triangleright s \mid p} J_3 \quad \frac{1}{y \triangleright x \triangleright s \mid \mathtt{times}; p \mapsto x \times y \triangleright s \mid p} J_3 \quad \frac{1}{y \triangleright x \triangleright s \mid \mathtt{times}; p \mapsto x \times y \triangleright s \mid p} J_3 \quad \frac{1}{y \triangleright x \triangleright s \mid \mathtt{times}; p \mapsto x \times y \triangleright s \mid p} J_3 \quad \frac{1}{y \triangleright x \triangleright s \mid \mathtt{times}; p \mapsto x \times y \triangleright s \mid p} J_3 \quad \frac{1}{y \triangleright x \triangleright s \mid \mathtt{times}; p \mapsto x \times y \triangleright s \mid p} J_3 \quad \frac{1}{y \triangleright x \triangleright s \mid \mathtt{times}; p \mapsto x \times y \triangleright s \mid p} J_3 \quad \frac{1}{y \triangleright x \triangleright s \mid \mathtt{times}; p \mapsto x \times y \triangleright s \mid p} J_3 \quad \frac{1}{y \triangleright x \triangleright s \mid \mathtt{times}; p \mapsto x \times y \triangleright s} J_3 \quad \frac{1}{y \triangleright x \triangleright s} J_3 \quad \frac{1}{y \triangleright x} J_3 \quad \frac{1}{$$

(a) [★★] Translate the expression plus(times(num(-1), num(7)), num(7)) into a *J Machine* program, and write down each step the *J Machine* would take to execute this program.

(b) $[\star\star\star]$ Formalise (using inference rules) a "compilation" relation $\bigvee \subseteq Expr \times Program$ which translates expressions in the arithmetic language to the semantically entirely entirely bytecode. You may assume that the semicolon operator in J Machine code is associative.

Assignment Project Exam Help Add We Chat powcoder

(c) $[\star\star\star\star]$ Suppose we wanted to add a let construct to add variables to our arithmetic language, using environments as **how in Section 2.7** powcoder.com

$$\underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} x \in \mathbb{Z} \\ \Gamma \vdash \mathsf{num}(x) \Downarrow x \end{array}}_{\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{num}(x) \Downarrow x} \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} \Gamma \vdash x \Downarrow x' & \Gamma \vdash y \Downarrow y' \\ \Gamma \vdash \mathsf{plus}(x,y) \Downarrow x' + y' \end{array}}_{\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{plus}(x,y) \Downarrow x' + y'} \mathsf{PLUS} \quad \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} \Gamma \vdash x \Downarrow x' & \Gamma \vdash y \Downarrow y' \\ \Gamma \vdash \mathsf{times}(x,y) \Downarrow x' \times y' \end{array}}_{\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{times}(x,y) \Downarrow x' \times y'} \mathsf{TIMES} \\ \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} \Gamma \vdash \mathsf{plus}(x,y) \Downarrow x' + y' \\ \Gamma \vdash \mathsf{plus}(x,y) \Downarrow x' + y' \end{array}}_{\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{plus}(x,e_1,e_2) \Downarrow v_2} \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} \Gamma \vdash x \Downarrow x' & \Gamma \vdash y \Downarrow y' \\ \Gamma \vdash \mathsf{times}(x,y) \Downarrow x' \times y' \end{array}}_{\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{var}(x) \Downarrow v} \mathsf{VAR}$$

Extend the J Machine to support this construct, and expand your \bigvee relation to include the correct translation. Don't forget to deal with name shadowing by exploiting stacks.

Solution: We extend the state definition of the states in the machine to include an *additional* stack of environments, called *scopes*, notated as $z \mid s \mid p$, where z is the integer stack and s is the scope stack. The initial states now look like this:

$$\circ \, | \, \{\} \mid p$$

That is, they start with the empty environment sitting at the bottom of the scope stack. Similarly, final states also have the empty environment only on their scope stack.

We introduce three new instructions, scope, descope, and var, which have the following semantics: scope(x) pushes a new environment to the scope stack. The new environment is the same as the old environment except it includes a new binding¹ from the name x to the value on the top of the value stack. The value stack is also popped.

descope(x) simply pops the scope stack. var(x) pushes the value of a variable to the value stack. The value is determined by looking in the topmost scope environment.

$$\frac{1}{v \triangleright s \mid \Gamma \triangleright \zeta \mid \mathsf{scope}(x); p \mapsto s \mid \Gamma \cup \{x \leftarrow v\} \triangleright \zeta \mid p} J_4 \quad \overline{s \mid \Gamma \triangleright \zeta \mid \mathsf{descope}; p \mapsto s \mid \zeta \mid p} J_5$$

$$\overline{s \mid \{x \leftarrow v\} \cup \Gamma \triangleright \zeta \mid \mathsf{var}(x); p \mapsto v \triangleright s \mid \{x \leftarrow v\} \cup \Gamma \triangleright \zeta \mid p} J_6$$

¹: Because each environment is a superset of the last, pointer magic could be used here to make this efficient in practice.

As for the compilation relation, we translate let as follows:

$$\frac{e_1 \lor e_1'; \texttt{halt} \quad e_2 \lor e_2'; \texttt{halt}}{\texttt{let}(x, e_1, e_2) \lor e_1'; \texttt{scope}(x); e_2'; \texttt{descope}; \texttt{halt}} \mathsf{Let}_J$$

And, for variable lookup, it's quite simple:

$$\frac{}{\operatorname{var}(x) \vee \operatorname{var}(x)} \operatorname{Var}_J$$

Note: This is basically how the JVM bytecode works (modulo some OO features).

https://powcoder.com

Assignment Project Exam Help Assignment Project Exam Help Add WeChat powcoder https://powcoder.com

Add WeChat powcoder