

Christine Rizkallah CSE, UNSW Term 3 2020

Where we're at

- Antax Foundations on the Project Birding, Ambies, Substitution
- Semantics Foundations \(\square\$ Static Semantics, Dynamic Semantics (Small-Step/Big-Step), (Assignment 0) Abstract Nacht Snylynn to Vasion elem. Com
- Features
 - Algebraic Data Types ✓

 - Polymorphism de Invene Casabacht powcoder
 - Overloading
 - Subtyping
 - Modules
 - Concurrency

Assignment Project Exam Help

Consider the humble swap function in Haskell:

In our MinHS with altebraic at the from last lecture we can't define this function.

Monomorphic

In MinHS, we're stuck copy-pasting our function over and over for every different type we want to use it with the project Exam Help

$$p = (\mathsf{snd}\ p, \mathsf{fst}\ p)$$

https://powcoder.com
$$p = (\text{snd } p, \text{fst } p)$$

Add We Chat powcoder
$$p = (\operatorname{snd} p, \operatorname{fst} p)$$

• • •

This is an acceptable state of affairs for some domain-specific languages, but not for general purpose programming.

Solutions

Assignment Project Exam Help

We want some way to specify that we don't care what the types of the tuple elements are.

https://powcoder.com

This is called parametric polymorphism (or just polymorphism in functional programming circles) in Java and some other language. Whis is called generics and polymorphism refers to something else. Don't be confused.

There are two main components to parametric polymorphism:

• Aperabetraction is the ability odefine functions regardless of specificatypes (like the swap example before). In MinITS, we will write using type expressions like so: (the literature uses Λ)

https://powcoder.com

recfun swap :: $(a \times b) \rightarrow (b \times a)$ p = (snd p, fst p)

Add WeChat powcoder

Type application is the ability to instantiate polymorphic functions to specific

types. In MinHS, we use @ signs.

swap@Int@Bool (3, True)

Analogies

The reason they're called type abstraction and application is that they behave analogically applied the Project Exam Help We have a β -reduction principle, but for types:

https://powcoder.com

```
Example (Identity Function)

Add type function

\mapsto (recfun f :: (Int \to Int) \times = x) 3

\mapsto 3
```

This means that **type** expressions can be thought of as functions from types to values.

What is the type of this?

Assignment Project Exam Help

https://powcoder.com

Types can mention type variables now 1.

Add We Chat powcoder

If $id: \forall a.a \rightarrow a$, what is the type of id@Int?

$$(a \rightarrow a)[a := \mathtt{Int}] = (\mathtt{Int} \rightarrow \mathtt{Int})$$

¹Technically, they already could with recursive types.

Typing Rules Sketch

Assignment Project Exam Help We would like rules that look something like this:

https://powcoder.com

Add We Chat powcoder

But these rules don't account for what type variables are available or in scope.

Type Wellformedness

With variables in the picture, we need to check our types to make sure that they only refer to the sure that the sure that the sure that they only refer to the sure that the s



Add WeClfat powcoder

$$\frac{\Delta, a \; \mathbf{bound} \vdash \tau \; \mathbf{ok}}{\Delta \vdash \forall a. \; \tau \; \mathbf{ok}}$$

Typing Rules, Properly

Assignment Project Exam Help We add a second context of type variables that are bound.

https://powcoder.com

 Δ ; $\Gamma \vdash e : \forall a. \ \tau \qquad \Delta \vdash \rho \ \mathbf{ok}$

Add WeChat powcoder

(the other typing rules just pass Δ through)

Assignment Project Exam Help First we evaluate the LHS of a type application as much as possible:

https://powcoder.com

Then we apply our β -reduction principle:

Add Wechat, powcoder

Curry-Howard

Previously weingten the correspondence between types English Help × ^

https://powcoder.com

Add WeChatpowcoder



Curry-Howard

The type quantifier \forall corresponds to a universal quantifier \forall , but it is not the same as the **Accordance Matter Property of the Exam Help**

First-order logic quantifiers range over a set of *individuals* or values, for example the natural numbers:

https://poweoder.com

These quantifiers range over propositions (types) themselves. It is analogous to *second-order logic*, not first-order:

Add WeChat powcoder

The first-order quantifier has a type-theoretic analogue too (type indices), but this is not nearly as common as polymorphism.

Generality

If we need a function of type Int - Int a polymorphic function of type $\forall a.$ 1 a \rightarrow a will do just fine we can just instantiate the type variable to Int. But the reverse is not true. This gives rise to an ordering.

Generality
A type τ is more general than a type ρ , often written τ \subseteq Γ if type variables in τ can be instantiated to give the type ρ .

Example (Fundamental WeChat powcoder

Int \rightarrow Int \Box $\forall z. \ z \rightarrow z$ \Box $\forall x \ y. \ x \rightarrow y$ \Box $\forall a. \ a$

Implementation Strategies

Assignment Project Exam Help

Our simple dynamic semantics belies a complex implementation headache.

While we can expite define functions that operate triffermly on muchiple types, when this is compiled to machine code the results may differ depending on the size of the type in question.

There are two main approaches to solve this problem.

Add WeChat powcoder

Template Instantiation

Key Idea

For example, if we defined our polymorphic swap function:

recfun swap ::
$$(a \times b) \rightarrow (b \times a)$$

 $p = (\text{snd } p, \text{fst } p)$

Then a type application like war on the two public words by the compiler with the monomorphic version:

$$swap_{\mathtt{IB}} = \mathbf{recfun} \; swap :: (\mathtt{Int} \times \mathtt{Bool}) \to (\mathtt{Bool} \times \mathtt{Int})$$
 $p = (\mathsf{snd} \; p, \mathsf{fst} \; p)$

A new copy is made for each unique type application.

Evaluating Template Instatiation

This Apposition of the Property of the Little to no un-time cost

- Simple mental model
- Allows for hystomore cialisations (e.g. distorbed can cintorbit vectors)
 Easy to implement

However the downsides are just as numerous:

- Large binar size is nativi/startiations are used wooder
 This can lead to long compilation times
- Restricts the type system to statically instantiated type variables.

Languages that use Template Instantiation: Rust, C++, Cogent, some ML dialects

Polymorphic Recursion

Consider the following Haskell data type:

Assignment Project Exam Help

This describes a list of matrices of increasing dimensionality, e.g.:

We can write a sum function like this:

And
$$s : We Chat prowed oder$$
 $sum Dims \ f \ Epsilon = 0$
 $sum Dims \ f \ (Step \ a \ t) = (f \ a) + sum Dims \ (sum \ f) \ t$

How many different instantiations of the type variable *a* are there? We'd have to run the program to find out.

HM Types

Automatically generating copy for Peacing tention is great uncart the Ipil polymorphic programs.

In practice a statically determined subset can be carved out by restricting what sort of programs can be written S://DOWCOCET.COM

- Only allow ∀ quantifiers on the outermost part of a type declaration (not inside functions or type constructors).
- 2 Recursive functions can what the ments with a tweet of the period of the contract of the con

This restriction is sometimes called *Hindley-Milner* polymorphism. This is also the subset for which *type inference* is both complete and tractable.

Boxing

Assignment Project Exam Help An alternative Four copy-paste-heavy template instantiation approach is to make all

An alternative our copy-paste-heavy template instantiation approach is to make all types represented the same way. Thus, a polymorphic function only requires one function in the generated code.

Typically this is done by Soxing particle. The life all da Cylisare represented as a pointer to a data structure on the heap. If everything is a pointer, then all values use exactly 32 (or 64) bits of stack space.

The extra indirection has a un-time peralty, and it can make garbige collection more necessary, but it results in smaller binaries and unrestricted polymorphism.

Languages that use boxing: Haskell, Java, C#, OCaml

Constraining Implementations

Assignment Project Exam Help How many possible implementations are there of a function of the following type?

now many possible implementations are there of a function of the following ty

https://powcoder.com

Add WeChat powcoder

Polymorphic type signatures constrain implementations.

Parametricity

Definition

The Angre penning the Property of polynomy furction and depend on values of an abstracted type.

More formally, suppose I have a polymorphic function g that takes a type parameter. If run any arbitrary function $f: \tau \to \tau$ on some values of type τ , then run the function $g@\tau$ on the result that Sil give the Park could Clining of first, then f.

Example

Add WeChat-powcoder

We know that every element of the output occurs in the input. The parametricity theorem we get is, for all f:

 $foo \circ (map \ f) = (map \ f) \circ foo$

More Examples

Assignment Project Exam Help

What's the parinttips the proweder.com

Example (Answer)

For any f:

Add WeChat powcoder ℓ (head ℓ) = head (map $f(\ell)$)

More Examples

Assignment Project Exam Help

 $(++):: \forall a. \ [a] \rightarrow [a] \rightarrow [a]$

What's the parametricity Sheover powcoder.com

Example (Answer)

Add We hat powcoder

More Examples

Assignment Project Exam Help

 $concat :: \forall a. \ [[a]] \rightarrow [a]$

What's the parametricity Sheover Dowcoder.com

Example (Answer)

Add We Shat poweoder

Higher Order Functions

Assignment Project Exam Help

 $\textit{filter} :: \forall \textit{a}. \; (\textit{a} \rightarrow \textit{Bool}) \; \rightarrow [\textit{a}] \rightarrow [\textit{a}]$

What's the parinttipy sheep powcoder.com

Example (Answer)

Add We hat powcoder

Parametricity Theorems

Assignment Project Exam Help

Follow a similar structure. In fact it can be mechanically derived, using the *relational* parametricity framework Sventer of the famous paper, "Theorems for Free!"².

Upshot: We can ask lambdabot on the Haskell IRC channel for these theorems.

Add WeChat powcoder

²https://people.mpi-sws.org/~dreyer/tor/papers/wadler.pdf