

---

Midterm Exam

<https://powcoder.com>

CS131: Programming Languages

Monday, February 10, 2014

## Assignment Project Exam Help

Add WeChat powcoder

Assignment Project Exam Help

<https://powcoder.com>

Add WeChat powcoder

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

ID: \_\_\_\_\_

Rules of the game:

- Write your name and ID number above.
- The exam is closed-book and closed-notes.
- Please write your answers directly on the exam. Do not turn in anything else.
- Obey our usual OCaml style rules.
- If you have any questions, please ask.
- The exam ends promptly at 1:50pm.
- Read questions carefully. Understand a question before you start writing. *Note: Some multiple-choice questions ask for a single answer, while others ask for all appropriate answers.*
- Relax!

1. (5 points each) Recall two of the different implementations from Homework 2 for a dictionary mapping keys of type 'a to values of type 'b:

- an *association list* of type ('a \* 'b) list
- a datatype defined as follows:  
type ('a,'b) dict2 = Empty | Entry of 'a \* 'b \* ('a,'b) dict2

- (a) Implement an OCaml function `d1tod2`, of type ('a \* 'b) list -> ('a,'b) dict2, which converts a given association list into an equivalent value as a dict2. For example, `d1tod2 ["hi",1] ["bye",2]` returns `Entry("hi", 1, Entry("bye", 2, Empty))`. **Don't define any helper functions or invoke any functions from the OCaml List module.**

```
let rec d1tod2 l =  
  match l with  
  [] -> Empty  
  | (x,y)::xs -> Entry(x, y, d1tod2 xs)
```

- (b) Implement `d1tod2` again, but this time the entire body of the function should be a single call to `List.fold_right`. Recall the type of `List.fold_right`:

```
('a -> 'b -> 'b) -> 'a list -> 'b -> 'b  
let d1tod2 l =  
  List.fold_right (fun (k,v) rest -> Entry(k,v,rest)) l Empty
```

2. (5 points) Recall the third dictionary implementation from Homework 2: a function of type  $(\text{'a} \rightarrow \text{'b})$ , which maps keys of type  $\text{'a}$  to values of type  $\text{'b}$  and raises the `Not_found` exception when given a key that is not in the dictionary. Implement a function `union3` of type  $(\text{'a} \rightarrow \text{'b}) \rightarrow (\text{'a} \rightarrow \text{'b}) \rightarrow (\text{'a} \rightarrow \text{'b})$ , which takes two dictionaries in this representation and produces a new dictionary representing their union. The second argument to `union3` should *shadow* the first one: if the same key  $k$  maps to  $v_1$  in the first dictionary and  $v_2$  in the second dictionary, then  $k$  should map to  $v_2$  in their union (similar to what `combine_envs` did in Homework 3).

```
let union3 d1 d2 =  
  (function k ->  
    try  
      d2 k  
    with  
      Not_found -> d1 k)
```

Assignment Project Exam Help

Add WeChat powcoder

Assignment Project Exam Help

<https://powcoder.com>

Add WeChat powcoder

3. (2 points each) Consider the `get1` function for looking up a key in an association list from Homework 2, of type `'a -> ('a * 'b) list -> 'b`:

```
let rec get1 k d =  
  match d with  
  [] -> raise Not_found  
  | (k',v')::d' -> if k=k' then v' else get1 k d'
```

- (a) **Choose the single best answer.** If OCaml did not support parametric polymorphism for functions, then:
- whenever `get1` is given a key of some type `T` as an argument, both the keys and values in the given association list would also need to have type `T`
  - `get1` would be just as useful as it is currently
  - `get1` would have to take its two arguments as a pair rather than through currying
  - none of the above
- (b) **Choose the single best answer.** What happens when this expression is entered into the OCaml interpreter (assuming `get1` has already been defined as above)?
- ```
get1 "hi" [("bye", 34); ("hello", 2000)]
```
- Static typechecking succeeds and gives the expression the type `int`.
  - Static typechecking succeeds but the expression's type is not determined until execution, when `'a` and `'b` are respectively instantiated with `string` and `int`.
  - Static typechecking fails with a type error.
  - Static typechecking fails with a `Not_found` exception.
- (c) **Choose the single best answer.** What happens when this expression is entered into the OCaml interpreter (assuming `get1` has already been defined as above)?
- ```
get1 56 [("bye", 34); ("hello", 2000)]
```
- Static typechecking succeeds but the expression's execution terminates with a `Not_found` exception.
  - Static typechecking succeeds but the expression's execution terminates with a dynamic type error.
  - Static typechecking fails with a type error.
  - Static typechecking fails with a `Not_found` exception.
- (d) **Choose the single best answer.** OCaml doesn't support static overloading, so:
- the `get1` function can only be declared if the name `get1` is not already in scope
  - the `get1` function can only be declared if it has the same type as all previously declared functions named `get1`
  - the `get1` function can only be invoked with association lists that map strings to integers
  - none of the above

iv

4. (2 points each) Let DOCaml be a dynamically typed version of OCaml, just like MOCaml from Homework 3 (but not limited to the MOCaml subset of OCaml).

- (a) Provide an expression that incurs a static type error in OCaml and signals a dynamic type error during execution in DOCaml. Say “none” if no such expression exists.

`1 + false`

- (b) Provide an expression that incurs a static type error in OCaml but executes without signaling any error in DOCaml. Say “none” if no such expression exists.

`if true then 0 else false`

- (c) Provide an expression that typechecks successfully in OCaml but signals a dynamic type error during execution in DOCaml. Say “none” if no such expression exists.

`none`

- (d) Consider the following declaration:

```
let f = (function x -> x + true)
```

Circle all answers that apply.

- i. Static typechecking of the declaration incurs a type error in OCaml.
- ii. Static typechecking of the declaration succeeds in OCaml, but OCaml will signal a type error during static typechecking of any call to the function `f`.
- iii. DOCaml signals a dynamic type error when executing the declaration.
- iv. DOCaml evaluates the declaration without error, but it will signal a dynamic type error during the execution of any call to the function `f`.

i and iv

5. (3 points each)

- (a) Provide an OCaml expression that evaluates to 0 but would evaluate to 1 if OCaml used dynamic scoping. Say “none” if no such expression exists. You may define as many helper declarations before the expression as you need.

```
let x = 0
let f() = x
let x = 1
f()
```

- (b) Provide an OCaml expression that evaluates to 0 but would have a run-time variable lookup failure if OCaml used dynamic scoping. Say “none” if no such expression exists. You may define as many helper declarations before the expression as you need.

```
let f x y = x + y
let g = f 0
g 0
```

- (c) Provide an OCaml expression that incurs a static type error due to an unbound variable but would execute without error and evaluate to 0 if OCaml used dynamic scoping. Say “none” if no such expression exists. You may define as many helper declarations before the expression as you need.

```
let f() = x
let x = 0
f()
```

6. Recall the standard recursive implementation of concatenation for OCaml lists:

```
let rec concat l1 l2 =  
  match l1 with  
  | [] -> l2  
  | x::xs -> x::(concat xs l2)
```

- (a) (5 points) Provide another implementation of `concat` that is also explicitly recursive, but this time it should be *tail recursive*. You may define a helper function to do most of the work, as usual for tail recursive functions.

A few acceptable solutions:

```
let concat l1 l2 =  
  let rec helper l acc =  
    match l with  
    | [] -> acc  
    | x::xs -> helper xs (x::acc)  
  in helper (List.rev l1) l2
```

```
let concat l1 l2 =  
  let rec helper l acc =  
    match l with  
    | [] -> acc  
    | x::xs -> helper xs (acc@[x])  
  in helper l2 l1
```

```
let concat l1 l2 =  
  let rec helper l k =  
    match l with  
    | [] -> k l2  
    | x::xs -> helper xs (function res -> k(x::res))  
  in helper l1 (function x -> x)
```

- (b) (2 points) **Circle the best answer.** Every tail recursive function in OCaml is guaranteed to:

- i. take constant time
- ii. use linear space for its recursive calls
- iii. use constant stack space for its recursive calls
- iv. none of the above

iii

7. (3 points) Recall the `Env` module from Homework 3, which declares the type `'a env` for environments as follows:

```
type 'a env = (string * 'a) list
```

The `Env` module is explicitly annotated to have the module type `ENV`, defined as follows:

```
module type ENV = sig
  type 'a env
  exception NotBound
  val empty_env: unit -> 'a env
  val add_binding: string -> 'a -> 'a env -> 'a env
  val combine_envs: 'a env -> 'a env -> 'a env
  val lookup: string -> 'a env -> 'a
end
```

Circle all answers that apply. Suppose the `Env` module were not declared to have type `ENV` but rather had no explicitly declared type. This change would enable which of the following abilities?

- (a) A client of the `Env` module can now pass the empty list `[]` as the argument to `lookup` without incurring a static type error.
- (b) A client of the `Env` module can now instantiate `'a` with whatever type they want.
- (c) A client of the `Env` module can now pass the value `Empty` from the `dict2` type (see Problem 1 for its definition) as the argument to `lookup` without incurring a static type error.
- (d) A client of the `Env` module can now change the definition of the type `'a env` without having to modify the `Env` module.

a