Asymptotics & optimality

Assignment Project Exam Help

https://powcoder.com



Statistics (MAST20005) & Elements of Statistics

Chat powerouser

School of Mathematics and Statistics University of Melbourne

Semester 2, 2022

Aims of this module

- Assignment Lucy that we skipped in previous modules Help
 - Explain some related important theoretical concepts

https://powcoder.com

Outline

Assignment Project Exam Help

Likelihood theory

Asymptotic distribution of the MLE

crante by the contract of the

Previous claims (from modules 2 & 4)

Assignment Project Exam Help

- efficient (has the optimal variance)
- normally distributed https://powcoder.com

Can use the 2nd derivative of the log-likelihood (the 'observed information function') to get a standard error for the MLE.

Motivating example (non-zero binomial)

- Consider a factory producing items in batches. Let θ denote the Spireting feetile items. On each batches are Tep sampled at random and the number of defectives is determined. However, records are only kept if there is at least one defective.
 - Let het the sumber of defectives in death. com
 - Add We hat powcoder
 - But we only take an observation if Y > 0, so the pmf is

$$\Pr(Y = y \mid Y > 0) = \frac{\binom{3}{y} \theta^y (1 - \theta)^{3 - y}}{1 - (1 - \theta)^3}, \quad y = 1, 2, 3$$

- Let X_i be the number of times we observe i defectives and let $n = X_1 + X_2 + X_3$ be the total number of observations.
- · The likelihood is,

$Assignment \stackrel{3\theta(1-\theta)^2}{\text{ent}} \stackrel{p}{\text{roject}} \stackrel{\theta}{\text{ct}} \stackrel{\partial}{\text{ct}} \stackrel{\mathcal{C}}{\text{kam}} \stackrel{\theta}{\text{obs}} \stackrel{\partial}{\text{lelp}}$

This simplifies to,

https: powcoder.com

 After taking logarithms and derivatives, the MLE is found to be the smaller root of

Add WeChat powcoder

where $t = x_1 + 2x_2 + 3x_3$.

This gives:

$$\hat{\theta} = \frac{3t - \sqrt{-3t^2 + 12tn}}{2t}$$

- We now have the MLE...
- ... but finding its sampling distribution is not straightforward!
- In general, finding the exact distribution of a statistic is often

Assifighment Project Exam Help We will used the Central Limit Theorem to approximate the

- We we used the Central Limit Theorem to approximate the distribution of the sample mean.
- Gave us approximate Gls for a population mean μ of the form, $\bar{x} \pm \Phi^{-1} \left(1 \frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \times \frac{s}{\sqrt{n}}$
- Similar results how note general for N (De Win Cother actificators)

Definitions

• Taking the first derivative gives the score function (also known simple to Score). DO WEOGET.COM

$$\begin{array}{c} U(\theta) = \frac{\partial \ell}{\partial \theta} \\ \text{Note: we solve } U(\theta) = 0 \text{ to get the } \text{DE} \\ \end{array}$$

8 of 46

 Taking the second derivative, and then it's negative, gives the observed information function (also known simply as the observed information). Let's call it V,

Assignment Project Exam Help

• This represents the **curvature** of the log-likelihood. Greater curvature of the log-likelihood is more **informative**.

Fisher information

- As Steem no nand mrojes of the data (and parameters) Help distributions.
 - For example, we can show that $\mathbb{E}(U(\theta)) = 0$.
 - An information function (or just the Fisher information). It is also known as the expected information function (or simply as the expected information).
 - expected information). Charler powcoder
 - For example, we can show that $var(U(\theta)) = I(\theta)$.
 - More importantly, it arises in theory about the distribution of the MLE.

Asymptotic distribution

- It represented by the parameter should not be defining a boundary of the sample space (e.g. like in the boundary problem examples we've looked at).
- · Let's Aedd We Chat powcoder

Asymptotic distribution (derivation)

ssignment of Project, Exam Help

- \circ θ is not a boundary parameter
- Suppose the MLE satisfies:

$$0 = U(\hat{\theta}) = \frac{\partial \ln L(\hat{\theta})}{\partial \theta} \approx \frac{\partial \ln L(\theta)}{\partial \theta} + (\hat{\theta} - \theta) \frac{\partial^2 \ln L(\theta)}{\partial \theta^2}$$
$$= U(\theta) - (\hat{\theta} - \theta)V(\theta)$$

We can write this as:

$$V(\theta) (\hat{\theta} - \theta) \approx U(\theta)$$

Assignment Project Exam Help

$$U(\theta) = \frac{\partial \ln L(\theta)}{\partial \theta} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial \ln f(X_i, \theta)}{\partial \theta}$$
• Since the X_i are iid so are:

Add $We^{\frac{\partial \ln f(X_i,\theta)}{\partial \mathbf{h}}}$ powcoder

And the same for:

$$V_i = -\frac{\partial^2 \ln f(X_i, \theta)}{\partial \theta^2}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

• Determine $\mathbb{E}(U_i)$ by integration by substitution and exchanging the order of integration and differentiation,

ullet To get the variance of U_i , we start with one of the above results,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\partial \ln f(x,\theta)}{\partial \theta} f(x,\theta) dx = 0$$

Assignment Projective an Help $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{\partial^2 \ln f(x,\theta)}{\partial \theta^2} f(x,\theta) + \frac{\partial \ln f(x,\theta)}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial f(x,\theta)}{\partial \theta} \right\} dx = 0$

- Buthttps://powcoder.com
- Combining the previous two equations gives, $\begin{cases} A & \text{cond}(x, \theta) \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial \theta} \end{cases} \begin{cases} C & \text{cond}(x, \theta) \\ f(x, \theta) & dx = -\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \theta^2} f(x, \theta) dx \end{cases}$
- In other words,

$$\mathbb{E}(U_i^2) = \mathbb{E}(V_i)$$

• Since $\mathbb{E}(U_i) = 0$ we also have $\mathbb{E}(U_i^2) = \text{var}(U_i)$, so we can conclude,

$$var(U_i) = \mathbb{E}(V_i)$$

Assignaent Project Exami Help

• Thus,

 $\text{Add We Chat powcoder} \\ \text{Note that this is Just the Fisher information, i.e.}$

$$\mathbb{E}(V) = \text{var}(U) = I(\theta)$$

Looking back at,

$$V(\theta) (\hat{\theta} - \theta) \approx U(\theta)$$

We want to know what happens to U and V as the sample size

Ssignment Project Exam Help U has mean 0 and variance $I(\theta)$

- Central Limit Theorem $\Rightarrow U \approx N(0, I(\theta))$.
- V hat Φ // powcoder.com Law of Large Numbers $\Rightarrow V \rightarrow I(\theta)$
- Putting these together gives, as $n \to \infty$,

Add WeChatnewcoder

Equivalently,

$$\hat{\theta} \sim N\left(\theta, \frac{1}{I(\theta)}\right)$$

As This is a very powerful is all the Calledon button of the ME but Can p use this approximation.

• In other words, as a standard error of the MLE we can use:

https://powerescom/
$$\int_{I(\hat{ heta})}^{I(\hat{ heta})} der.com$$

if we And θ) We the wish replace it with it's observed exercion,

$$\operatorname{se}(\hat{\theta}) = \frac{\blacksquare}{\sqrt{V(\hat{\theta})}}$$

Furthermore, we use the normal distribution to construct approximate confidence intervals.

Example (exponential distribution)

Assignment Project Exam Help $f(x \mid \theta) = \frac{1}{\theta} e^{-x/\theta}, \quad 0 < x < \infty, \quad 0 < \theta < \infty$

- $\frac{\mathbf{h}_{\ln f(x)}}{\ln f(x)} = \frac{1}{\ln \theta} \frac{\mathbf{p}_{x/\theta}}{\mathbf{p}_{x/\theta}} = \frac{1}{\ln \theta} \frac{\mathbf{p}_{x/\theta}}{\ln \theta} = \frac{1}{\ln \theta} \frac{\mathbf{p}_{x/\theta}}{\ln$

$$Add^{U_{i}} \overset{\partial}{W^{e}} \overset{\partial}{e^{C^{h}}} \overset{f(x \mid \theta)}{hat} \overset{\overline{p}}{\overset{-}{p}} \overset{x}{\overset{x}{\overset{x}{\psi}}} \overset{x}{coder}$$

$$V_{i}(\theta) = -\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \theta^{2}} \ln f(x \mid \overline{\theta}) = -\frac{1}{\theta^{2}} + \frac{2x}{\theta^{3}}$$

• Since $\mathbb{E}(X) = \theta$,

• Suppose we observe n=20 and $\bar{x}=3.7$. An approximate 95% CI is, **b** ++++ $\cos \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \cos \frac{$

Example (Poisson distribution)

Assignment Project Exam Help $f(x \mid \lambda) = \frac{\sum_{x=-\lambda}^{x} \text{Jectoristic distributions, e.g. Pn}(\lambda)}{x!} + \sum_{x=0,1,\ldots,-\lambda>0}^{\text{Pn}(\lambda)} \text{Help}(\lambda)$

we heters: p powcoder.com • $\ln f(x \mid \lambda) = x \ln \lambda - \lambda - \ln(x!)$, so

Add WeChat powcoder

Thus

$$-\mathbb{E}\left(-\frac{X}{\lambda^2}\right) = \frac{\lambda}{\lambda^2} = \frac{1}{\lambda}$$

- Then $\hat{\lambda} \approx N(\lambda, \lambda/n)$
- Suppose we observe n=40 and $\bar{x}=2.225$. An approximate 90% CI is,

Assignment Project Exam Help

https://powcoder.com

Cramér-Rao lower bound

Assignment Estimator get? Exam Help

- What is the minimum variance we can achieve?
- Under similar assumptions to before (esp. the parameter must not define the similar assumptions to before (esp. the parameter must not define the similar assumptions to before (esp. the parameter must not define the similar assumptions to before (esp. the parameter must not define the similar assumptions to before (esp. the parameter must not define the similar assumptions to before (esp. the parameter must not define the similar assumptions to before (esp. the parameter must not define the similar assumptions to before (esp. the parameter must not define the similar assumptions to before (esp. the parameter must not define the similar assumptions to before (esp. the parameter must not define the similar assumptions to before the similar assumptions to be similar assumption as a similar assump
- This is known as the Cramér–Rao lower bound
- It is equal to the asymptotic variance of the MLE.
- · In operate, iwe Connates perwecoder

$$\operatorname{var}(T) \geqslant \frac{1}{I(\theta)}$$

Cramér-Rao lower bound (proof)

Assignisherate Let T be an unbiased estimator of θ

$$cov(T, U) = \mathbb{E}(TU) - \mathbb{E}(T) \mathbb{E}(U) = \mathbb{E}(TU)$$

https://powcodercom

$$= \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \int TL \, d\mathbf{x} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \, \mathbb{E}(T) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \theta = 1$$

· Usin And d the Chat powcoder

$$cov(T, U)^2 \le var(T) var(U)$$

 $var(T) \ge \frac{1}{var(U)} = \frac{1}{I(\theta)}$

Implications of the Cramér-Rao lower bound

- If an unbiased estimator attains this bound, then it is best in the SSISPINITING Infinity Project of pared Nitrather unbased pestimators.
 - Therefore, MLEs are approximately (or exactly) optimal for large sample size because
 - sample size because power oder.com
 - Their variance meets the Cramér–Rao lower bound asymptotically

Efficiency

As M general and unbiased estimator against the lower bound prelative to the lower bound,

https://poweoder.com

- Note that $0 \leqslant \mathsf{eff}(T) \leqslant 1$
- · If effAddweWtetChattiptostimatooder

Example (exponential distribution)

- Assignment/Project Exam Help
 - Therefore, the Cramér–Rao lower bound is θ^2/n .
 - Any unbiased estimator must have variance at least as large as this.
 - · The https://spow.coder.com
 - Therefore, $\operatorname{var}(\hat{\theta}) = \operatorname{var}(X)/n = \theta^2/n$
 - So the MLE is efficient (for all sample sizes!)

 Add WeChat powcoder

Outline

Assignment Project Exam Help

Likelihood theory

Asymptotic distribution of the MLE

https://powcoder.com

Sufficient statistics

Add WeChat powcoder

Optimal tests

Sufficiency: a starting example

- signment Projects, Exam Help
 - $X_i \sim \text{Be}(\theta)$

 - Suppose we use $\hat{\theta} = \frac{1}{2}(X_1 + X_2)$ Only Let DeSirst 2 DOW-COder. Com
 - Clearly, we have not used all of the available information!

Motivation

- ASSilf general than flest mitted by Gield differentiation to the point estimation reduces the whole sample to a few statistics. The point estimation reduces the whole sample to a few statistics. The point estimation reduces the whole sample to a few statistics. The point estimation reduces the whole sample to a few statistics. The point estimation reduces the whole sample to a few statistics.
 - Is there a preferred reduction?
 - Toss a coin with probability of heads θ 10 times. Observe PSH/7 DOWCOGET.COM
 - Intuitively, knowing we have 4 heads in 10 tosses is all we need.
 - But are we missing something? Does the length of the longest run give Atalian move Chat powcoder

Definition

Intuition: want to find a statistic so that apy other statistic parameter p

- Definition: the statistic $T = g(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$ is sufficient for an underlying parameter θ if the conditional probability distribution of the later (X) given the statistic $g(X_0, X_0)$, does not depend on the parameter θ .
- Sometimes need more than one statistic, e.g. T_1 and T_2 , in which case we say they are jointly sufficient for θ Add Wechat powcoder

Example (binomial)

Assignment Project Exam Help

https://powcoder.com

• Let $Y = \sum X_i$, we have that $Y \sim \mathrm{Bi}(n,p)$ and then,

$$Add^{x_1} \underbrace{X_1^{x_2} \underbrace{X_1^{x_2} \underbrace{X_1^{x_2} \underbrace{X_1^{x_2} \underbrace{X_1^{x_2} \underbrace{Y_1^{x_2} \underbrace{Y_1$$

- Given Y = y, the conditional distribution of $X_1, \dots X_n$ does not depend on p.
- Therefore, Y is sufficient for p.

Assignment Project Exam Help

https://powcoder.com

Factorisation theorem

Assignment sufficiency pdf or pmf $f(x_1, \dots, x_n \mid \theta)$ Help

$$f(x_1,\ldots,x_n\mid\theta)=\phi\{g(x_1,\ldots,x_n)\mid\theta\}\,h(x_1,\ldots,x_n)$$

• φ dettps://powtcoder.comd h doesn't depend on θ.

Example (binomial)

Assignment Project Exam Help

• So $y = \sum x_i$ is sufficient for p, since we can factorise the likelihood into:

• So in the coin tossing example, the total number of heads is sufficient for θ .

Example (Poisson)

Assignment Project Exam Help

The likelihood is.

 $\mathbf{h}_{i=1}^{n}\mathbf{ps}. / \mathbf{p}_{i}^{\sum_{i} x_{i}} \mathbf{coder}. \mathbf{com}_{n!}$

• We see that \bar{X} is sufficient for λ . Add WeChat powcoder

Exponential family of distributions

- This is called the exponential family.
 Let N. Let ip ion an Cold Cifar Goth $\sum_{i=1}^{n} K(X_i)$ is sufficient for θ .
- To prove this note that the joint pdf is

$$\underbrace{Add}_{\exp\{p(\theta)} \underbrace{WeChat}_{K(x_i)} \underbrace{pow}_{H(x_i)} \underbrace{coder}_{H(x_i)} \\
= \left[\exp\{p(\theta) \sum K(x_i) + nq(\theta)\}\right] \exp\{\sum S(x_i)\}$$

The factorisation theorem then shows sufficiency.

Example (exponential)

Assignment Project Exam Help $f(x \mid \theta) = \frac{1}{\theta} e^{-x/\theta} = \exp\left[x\left(-\frac{1}{\theta}\right) - \ln \theta\right], \quad 0 < x < \infty$

• This https://powcoder.com

$$f(x \mid \theta) = \exp\{K(x)p(\theta) + S(x) + q(\theta)\}\$$

• So Madan We sufficient for the cord of X_i/n).

Sufficiency and MLEs

Assignment Project Exam Help

Factorise the likelihood:

${\it https://powcoder.}{\it com}^{\it https://powcoder.}$

- We find the MLE by maximizing $\phi\{g(x_1,\ldots,x_n)\mid\theta\}$ which is a function of the sufficient statistics and θ
- · So thantle hus we execute afth positive to stile r

Importance of sufficiency

- Why are sufficient statistics important?

 SSIC that the control of the care in the sample

 information on the parameter in the sample
 - Samples that have the same values of the sufficient statistic yield the later gates/powcoder.com
 - The optimal estimators/tests are based on sufficient statistics (such as the MLE)
 - A lot of statistic with earlier is lessed on the owe coder
 Easy to find the sufficient statistics in some special cases (e.g.
 - Easy to find the sufficient statistics in some special cases (e.g. exponential family)

Disclaimer

As supplementation roject Exam Help

- So, it is mostly important for theoretical work.
- In practice, we want to also look at all aspects of our data
- That the Suld go Wall of the Sulf Con Haistics, as a sanity check of our assumptions (e.g. QQ plots).

Outline

Assignment Project Exam Help

Likelihood theory

Asymptotic distribution of the MLE

https://powcoder.com

Sufficient statistics

Add WeChat powcoder

Optimal tests

Previous claims (from module 8)

Assiegnmentas Knowskie optimal test Help

https://powcoder.com

Neyman-Pearson lemma

 $A \overset{\text{Comparing simple hypotheses:}}{\underset{H_0: \ \theta = \ \theta_0}{\text{e-project}}} \overset{\text{Exam Help}}{\underset{H_1: \ \theta = \ \theta_1}{\text{Exam Help}}$

- The Neyman-Pearson lemma states that the most powerful test, for a life of the lemma states that the most powerful test,
- (Proof of lemma not shown)

Uniformly most powerful tests

$A \overset{\text{Now consider a composite alternative hypothesis,}}{\text{Project Exam Help}} \\ H_1: \emptyset \in A_1$

- If the same test (from the LRT) is most powerful for all $\theta_1 \in A_1$, ther Wildows with the company of the c
- If the form of the LRT **differs** for different values of θ_1 , then any given one will only be the best for particular values of θ_1 .
- · If so And do do by each in the power coder
- But any given test might still be a reasonably good test for other values of θ_1

Asymptotic distribution of the likelihood ratio*

Assignment Project Exam Help $H_0: \theta = \theta_0 \text{ versus } H_1: \theta \neq \theta_0$

• The likelihood ratio is, $\frac{https://pow_{L_0}}{https://pow_{L_1}} = \frac{L(\theta_0)}{L(\hat{\theta})}$

- · The Anthology Contractly plow Contem
- This can be used to set up approximate hypothesis tests
- Is often used to formally compare different models