MAST20005/MAST90058: Week 9 Problems

Some useful information for many of the problems is shown at end of this problem sheet.

- 1. In a one-way ANOVA with I treatments and J observations per treatment, let $\mu = I^{-1} \sum \mu_i$.
 - (a) Express $\mathbb{E}(\bar{X}_{\cdot\cdot})$ in terms of μ . (Hint: $\bar{X}_{\cdot\cdot} = I^{-1} \sum \bar{X}_{i\cdot}$)
 - (b) Compute $\mathbb{E}(\bar{X}_{i\cdot}^2)$
 - (c) Compute $\mathbb{E}(\bar{X}_{\cdot\cdot}^2)$
 - (d) Compute $\mathbb{E}(SS(T))$ and then show that

$$\mathbb{E}(MS(T)) = \sigma^2 + \frac{J}{I-1} \sum (\mu_i - \mu)^2$$

- (e) Using the result of (d), what is $\mathbb{E}(MS(T))$ when H_0 is true? When H_0 is false, how does $\mathbb{E}(MS(T))$ compare with σ^2 ?
- 2. In an experiment to compare the tensile strengths of five different types of copper wire, four samples of each type were used. In an ANOVA, the between-groups and within-groups mean squares statistics were computed as MS(T) = 2573.3 and MS(E) = 1394.2 respectively Significant for significant tensile strength of copper wire of type i.
- 3. Consider the foliation of the foliati

Im(formula Add We Chat powcoder

Estimate Std. Error (Intercept) 2.13479 0.09604 Body 0.75169 0.02846

Residual standard error: 0.6943 on 60 degrees of freedom Multiple R-squared: 0.9208, Adjusted R-squared: 0.9195 F-statistic: 697.4 on 1 and 60 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16

- (a) Test the null hypothesis of no association between body and brain weights at the $\alpha=0.01$ level of significance.
- (b) Use the following approximate distribution to obtain a test of size α for the null hypothesis H_0 : $\rho = 0$ against H_1 : $\rho \neq 0$ based on R, the sample correlation coefficient.

$$\frac{1}{2}\ln\left(\frac{1+R}{1-R}\right) \approx N\left(\frac{1}{2}\ln\left(\frac{1+\rho}{1-\rho}\right), \frac{1}{n-3}\right)$$

- (c) What is the sample correlation coefficient for these data?
- (d) Apply the procedure in (b) to the mammals data using the significance level $\alpha = 0.01$.
- (e) Based on the above results, state your conclusion about the relationship between body and brain weight of mammals.

- 4. Let X_1, \ldots, X_n be a random sample from $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$, where μ and σ^2 are unknown. We wish to test $H_0: \sigma^2 = \sigma_0^2$ against $H_1: \sigma^2 \neq \sigma_0^2$.
 - (a) Find L_0 and L_1 , the maximised likelihoods under H_0 and H_1 , that are required in order to write a likelihood ratio.
 - (b) Show that the likelihood ratio test rejects H_0 if $w > c_1$ or $w < c_2$ (for some constants c_1 and c_2), where $w = \sum_i (x_i \bar{x})^2 / \sigma_0^2$.

Some potentially helpful R output:

```
> p < -c(0.95, 0.975, 0.99, 0.995)
> qnorm(p)
[1] 1.644854 1.959964 2.326348 2.575829
> qt(p, 60)
[1] 1.670649 2.000298 2.390119 2.660283
> qchisq(p, 60)
[1] 79.08194 83.29767 88.37942 91.95170
> qf(p, 4, 15)
[1] 3.055568 3.804271 4.893210 5.802907
> qf(p, 5, 20)
[1] 2.710890 3.289056 4.102685 4.761574
> qf(p, 15, 4)
[1] 5.8578 Assignment 2 Project Exam Help
> qf(p, 20, 5)
[1] 4.558131 6.328555 9.552646 12.903488
                 https://powcoder.com
```

Add WeChat powcoder