MATH3075/3975 Financial Derivatives

Tutorial 5: Solutions

Exercise 1 For any trading strategy (x, φ) , the wealth at time 1 equals

$$V_1(x,\varphi) = (x - \varphi S_0)(1+r) + \varphi S_1.$$

Hence the class of all attainable contingent claims is the two-dimensional subspace of the linear space \mathbb{R}^3 spanned by the vectors (1,1,1) and (6,4,3). This means that the considered model is incomplete since the space \mathbb{R}^3 of all contingent claims is three-dimensional.

We wish to find out for which values of the strike K the call option with the payoff C_T = $(S_1 - K)^+$ is an attainable claim. To this end, we need to examine four subcases:

- We first assume that $K \leq \frac{30}{9}$. Then $C_T = S_1 K$ and thus it is an attainable claim with the unique arbitrage price at time 0 given by $C_0 = S_0 \frac{9}{10} K$.
- Next, we assume that $\frac{30}{9} < K < \frac{40}{9}$. Then

https://p
$$\left(\begin{array}{c} \alpha + 6\beta = \frac{60}{9} - K, \\ \chi + \frac{6\beta}{9} = 0. \end{array}\right)$$

From the last two equations, we obtain $\beta = \frac{40}{9} - K$ and $\alpha = -3\beta$. Then the first equation Add WeChatkpowcoder

which yields $K = \frac{30}{9}$. Hence the option is not attainable when $\frac{30}{9} < K < \frac{40}{9}$.

• We now assume that $\frac{40}{9} \le K < \frac{60}{9}$. Then

$$C_T = (S_1 - K)^+ = \left(\frac{60}{9} - K, 0, 0\right)$$

and thus we search for $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\begin{cases} \alpha + 6\beta = \frac{60}{9} - K, \\ \alpha + 4\beta = 0, \\ \alpha + 3\beta = 0. \end{cases}$$

The last two equations give $\alpha = \beta = 0$ and thus the first equation is not satisfied. Hence the option is not attainable when $\frac{40}{9} \le K < \frac{60}{9}$.

• Finally, we assume that $K \geq \frac{60}{9}$. Then $C_T = 0$ and thus it is an attainable claim with the unique arbitrage price at time 0 given by $C_0 = 0$.

We conclude that the call option is attainable only when either $K \leq \frac{30}{9}$ or $K \geq \frac{60}{9}$. However, in the former case $C_T = S_1 - K$ and thus we deal with the forward contract, and in the latter case $C_T = 0$ so that the contract is trivial. In contrast, if we take any $K \in (\frac{30}{9}, \frac{60}{9})$, then the call option cannot be replicated in our model since the claim $C_T = (S_T - K)^+$ is not attainable. This confirms that the model is incomplete, as was observed before.

Exercise 2 The model $\mathcal{M} = (B, S)$ introduced in Example 2.2.3 postulates that the stock price S_1 satisfies

$$S_{1}(\omega) = \begin{cases} (1+h)S_{0} & \text{if } \omega = \omega_{1}, \\ (1+l)S_{0} & \text{if } \omega = \omega_{2}, \\ (1-l)S_{0} & \text{if } \omega = \omega_{3}, \\ (1-h)S_{0} & \text{if } \omega = \omega_{4}, \end{cases}$$

where 0 < l < h < 1. The savings account B satisfies $B_0 = 1$, $B_1 = 1 + r$ where, by assumption,

(a) For a trading strategy (x, φ) we have

$$V_1(x,\varphi) = (x - \varphi S_0)(1+r) + \varphi S_1.$$

Hence the class of all attainable contingent claims is the two-dimensional subspace of \mathbb{R}^4 spanned by the vectors (1,1,1,1) and (1+h,1+l,1-l,1-h). It is thus clear that the model is not complete.

(b) By Definition 2.2.4 of the martingale measure, a probability measure $\mathbb{Q} = (q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4)$ belongs to M when $0 < q_i < 1$ for i = 1, 2, 3, 4 and $\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\widehat{S}_1) = S_0$. More explicitly,

Assignment Profect Exam Help

that is,

After simplifications, we obtain the following system:
$$(1 + r S_0 + r S_0) + r S_0 + r S_0$$

Add Wie Ghat-powcoder

with the constraints $0 < q_i < 1$ for i = 1, 2, 3, 4. By multiplying the first equation by h, we obtain

$$\begin{cases} q_1h + q_2h + q_3h + q_4h = h, \\ q_1h + q_2l - q_3l - q_4h = r. \end{cases}$$

Hence q_1 and q_4 can be expressed in terms of q_2 and q_3 , specifically,

$$q_1 = \frac{h+r}{2h} - \frac{h+l}{2h} q_2 - \frac{h-l}{2h} q_3,$$

and

$$q_4 = \frac{h-r}{2h} - \frac{h-l}{2h} q_2 - \frac{h+l}{2h} q_3.$$

Let us write $q_1 = f(q_2, q_3)$ and $q_4 = g(q_2, q_3)$. We denote by D the following domain in \mathbb{R}^2

$$D := \{ (q_2, q_3) \in (0, 1)^2 \mid 0 < f(q_2, q_3) < 1, \ 0 < g(q_2, q_3) < 1 \}.$$

After sketching this domain, we realise that it is non-empty. We conclude that the class of all martingale measures for \mathcal{M} is a non-empty set, which can be represented as follows

$$\mathbb{M} = \left\{ (q_2, q_3) \in D \, \middle| \, \left(\frac{h+r}{2h}, 0, 0, \frac{h-r}{2h} \right) + q_2 \left(-\frac{h+l}{2h}, 1, 0, -\frac{h-l}{2h} \right) + q_3 \left(-\frac{h-l}{2h}, 0, 1, -\frac{h+l}{2h} \right) \right\}.$$

(c) (MATH3975) We assume that $S_0(1+l) < K < S_0(1+h)$ and thus the call option can be identified in our model with the following payoff

$$C_T = ((1+h)S_0 - K, 0, 0, 0).$$

According to Proposition 2.2.5, an arbitrage price of any contingent claim X is given by the equality

$$\pi_0(X) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}((1+r)^{-1}X)$$

where \mathbb{Q} is an arbitrary martingale measure for \mathcal{M} . Recall that we denote $q_1 = f(q_2, q_3)$. Therefore, the set of arbitrage prices at time 0 for the call option is given by

$$\left\{ f(q_2, q_3)(1+r)^{-1} \left((1+h)S_0 - K \right), (q_2, q_3) \in D \right\}$$

or, more explicitly,

$$\left\{ \left(\frac{h+r}{2h} - \frac{h+l}{2h} q_2 - \frac{h-l}{2h} q_3 \right) (1+r)^{-1} \left((1+h)S_0 - K \right), \ (q_2, q_3) \in D \right\}.$$

(d) (MATH3975) We now assume that r = 0. As before, we have that Assignment Project Exam Help

and thus we search for
$$\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$$
 such that
$$\frac{https://powcoder.com}{\alpha + \beta(1+l) = 0}, \\ \frac{\alpha + \beta(1-l) = 0}{\text{C-hat powcoder}}$$

It is obvious that no solution (α, β) exists since $(1+h)S_0 - K > 0$ and thus the option is not attainable. Because any arbitrage price for C_T at time 0 is equal to $((1+h)S_0 - K)q_1$ for some value of q_1 , it suffices to find the lower and upper bounds for q_1 when \mathbb{Q} ranges over the class \mathbb{M} .

- The lower bound for q_1 equals 0, since for an arbitrarily small value of q_1 there exists a risk-neutral probability $\mathbb{Q} \in \mathbb{M}$.
- The upper bound for q_1 can be found by considering the situation when q_2 and q_3 are arbitrarily small. It is then easy to verify that the upper bound equals 0.5. Finally, one may check directly that there is no martingale measure \mathbb{Q} such that $q_1 \geq 0.5$. Indeed, for $q_1 = 0.5$, we obtain $q_2 = q_3 = 0$ and $q_4 = 0.5$, and thus \mathbb{Q} is not equivalent to \mathbb{P} . If $q_1 > 0.5$ then we get $q_4 < 0$ and thus \mathbb{Q} is not a probability measure.

We conclude that $q_1 \in (0,0.5)$ when \mathbb{Q} ranges over the class \mathbb{M} of all martingale measures. Hence the set of all possible arbitrage prices for the call option in an extended arbitrage-free market model is the open interval (0, c) where $c = 0.5((1+h)S_0 - K)$.

Remark. For a slightly different approach, you may consult Example 2.2.4 from the course notes.