

PHIL1012 Lecture 14: Semantics of MPL, Pt. 2

- $\underline{P}\underline{a}$ is true in M iff the referent of \underline{a} in M is in the extension of \underline{P} in M .
- $\forall x \underline{P}x$ is true in M iff every object in the domain of M is in the extension of \underline{P} .
- $\exists x \underline{P}x$ is true in M iff some object in the domain of M is in the extension of \underline{P} .
- Truth conditions for connectives can be read off truth tables.

Assignment Project Exam Help

<https://powcoder.com>

Add WeChat powcoder

Plan

- ① Truth values of general quantified propositions
- ② Analyses of logical concepts

①

Domain : { Alice, Bill, Caroline }
P : { Alice, Bill }, L : { Bill, Caroline }
D : { Alice, Bill, Caroline }

Assignment Project Exam Help

① $\exists y (P_y \wedge L_y)$ T

<https://powcoder.com>

③ $\forall x ((D_x \wedge L_x) \rightarrow P_x)$ F

② $\forall x (L_x \rightarrow D_x)$ T

Add WeChat powcoder

General idea We want a rule for determining truth values of complex propositions like

$$\alpha = \forall x ((D_x \wedge L_x) \rightarrow P_x)$$

in a model M.

- Drop quantifier from α

- Replace free x with a new name
- Check whether resulting proposition is true in every model like M that assigns a referent to the new name

Terminology

- $\alpha(\underline{x})$ is an arbitrary wff that has no free variable other than \underline{x} .

<https://powcoder.com>

- $\alpha(\underline{a}/\underline{x})$ is the wff obtained from $\alpha(\underline{x})$ by replacing all free occurrences of \underline{x} in $\alpha(\underline{x})$ with the name \underline{a} .

Examples

Suppose $\alpha(\underline{x})$ is $\boxed{F\underline{x} \rightarrow G\underline{x}}$. What are ... ?

• $\alpha(\underline{a}/\underline{x}) : Fa \rightarrow Ga$

$$\odot \alpha(b/x) : Fb \rightarrow Gb$$

$$\alpha(\gamma) : (F\gamma \vee Gb) \leftrightarrow H\gamma$$

Assignment Project Exam Help

$$\alpha(a/\gamma) : (Fa \vee Gb) \leftrightarrow Ha$$

<https://powcoder.com>

Add WeChat powcoder

$$\alpha(b/\gamma) : \underline{(Fb \vee Gb)} \leftrightarrow Hb$$

$$\alpha(z) : \forall x ((Gx \wedge Ha) \rightarrow Fz)$$

$$\dots \wedge \forall x ((Gx \wedge Ha) \rightarrow Fz)$$

$$\alpha(a/z): \forall x ((Gx \wedge Ha) \rightarrow Fa)$$

$$\alpha(r/z): \forall x ((Gx \wedge Ha) \rightarrow Fr)$$

How to determine whether $\forall x \alpha(x)$ is true in M ?

- Start with $\alpha(x)$

- Introduce a new name \underline{r} , i.e. \underline{r} is not assigned a referent in M .

- Consider $\alpha(\underline{r}/x)$: Is it true in every model that is exactly like M except that it assigns \underline{r} a referent?

- $\forall x \alpha(x)$ is true^{in M} iff answer is "Yes."

M

Domain: {Alice, Bill, Caroline}
P: {Alice} F: {Alice, Bill}

Is $\forall x (Px \rightarrow Fx)$ true
in M? YES!

• $\alpha(x) : Px \rightarrow Fx$

• New name: a

Assignment Project Exam Help

• $\alpha(a/x) : \boxed{Pa \rightarrow Fa}$

<https://powcoder.com>

Add WeChat powcoder

Domain: {Alice, Bill, Caroline} P: {Alice} F: {Alice, Bill} a: Alice
Domain: {Alice, Bill, Caroline} P: {Alice} F: {Alice, Bill} a: Bill
Domain: {Alice, Bill, Caroline} P: {Alice} F: {Alice, Bill}

M^a_{Alice}

$\boxed{Pa \rightarrow Fa}$
T (T) T

M^a_{Bill}

$Pa \rightarrow Fa$
F (T)

$M^a_{Caroline}$

$Pa \rightarrow Fa$

a: Caroline

Caroline

F

T

Terminology Given a model M, an object o in the domain of M, and a name a that is not assigned a referent in M, let

M_o^a

be the model that is exactly like M except that it assigns a the referent o.

(Examples above)

Add WeChat powcoder

- $\forall x \alpha(x)$ is true in M iff for every object o in the domain of M, $\alpha(\underline{a}/x)$ is true in M_o^a , where a is a name that isn't

assigned a referent in M .

- $\exists x \alpha(x)$ is true in M iff there is ^{at least one} some object a in the domain of M such that $\alpha(\underline{a}/x)$ is true in M_0^a , where \underline{a} is a name that isn't assigned a referent in M .

Add WeChat powcoder

Examples $\exists x (Gx \wedge Hx \wedge \neg Fx)$

M

Domain: $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$

$G: \{1, 3\}$ $H: \{3, 4, 5\}$

$F: \{2, 5\}$

• $\alpha(x): Gx \wedge Hx \wedge \neg Fx$

• New name: a

Domain: $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$

$G: \{1, 3\}$ $H: \{3, 4, 5\}$

$F: \{2, 5\}$ $a: 3$

• $\alpha(a/x): \underline{Ga \wedge Ha \wedge \neg}$

M_3^a

Because $\alpha(a/x)$ is true in M_3^a ,

$\exists x (Gx \wedge Hx \wedge \neg Fx)$ is true in M .

M

Domain: $\{1, 2, 3, 4, \dots\}$

$P: \{2, 4, 6, \dots\}$ $a: 2$

$Q: \{1, 3, 5, \dots\}$

$R: \{2, 4, 6, \dots\}$

Assignment Project Exam Help

<https://powcoder.com>

Add WeChat powcoder

② Analyses of logical concepts

- An **argument** is **valid** iff there is no model in which its premises are true and its conclusion is false.

If there is such a model, it is called a **counterexample** and the argument is **invalid**.

Assignment Project Exam Help

- A **proposition** is a **logical truth** iff it is true in every model; it is a **contradiction** iff it is false in every model; it is **satisfiable** iff it is true in some model.

- **Two propositions** are **equivalent** iff they have the same truth value in every model; they are **contradictory** iff there is no model in which they have the same truth value; they are **jointly satisfiable** iff there is some model in which they are both true.
- typo in recorded lecture

- A set of propositions is satisfiable iff there is some model in which every proposition in the set is true.

Assignment Project Exam Help

Example
~~~~~  
 $\forall x (Kx \rightarrow Dx)$

$K_m$   
-----  
 $D_m$

Let  $M$  make the premises true  
Then, because  $\forall x (Kx \rightarrow Dx)$

is true in  $M$ ,  $K_b \rightarrow D_b$   
is true in  $M_o^b$ , where the

object  $o$  is the referent of  
 $m$  in  $M$ . If  $b$  and  $m$  have same referent  
in  $M_o^b$ , then  $D_m$  is true in  $M_o^b$ . But  $M_o^b$   
is exactly like  $M$  with respect to  $m$ . So,  $D_m$   
is true in  $M$ .