STAT 513/413: Lecture 4 Mostly linear algebra

(first non-trivialities perhaps)

Assignment Project Exam Help

https://powcoder.com

Add WeChat powcoder

A tale of expert code I: floating point arithmetics

```
Floating-point arithmetics: numbers are represented as
  base * 10<sup>exponent</sup>
                             - which has inevitable consequences
> 0.000001*1000000
\lceil 1 \rceil 1
> x=0; for (k in (1:1000000)) x=x+0.000001
> x
                 Assignment Project Exam Help
[1] 1
> x-1
[1] 7.918111e-12 https://powcoder.com
> x=1000000; for (k iAdd10000batxpowcouder)1
> x
「1] 1000001
> x-1000000
[1] 1.000008
> x-1000001
[1] 7.614493e-06
```

The moral here is: with floating-point arithmetics, adding works well if the added numbers are about of the same magnitude

A better algorithm thus does it

```
> x=0; for (k in (1:1000000)) x=x+0.000001; x=x+1000000
> x
[1] 1000001
> x-1000000
\lceil 1 \rceil 1
> x-1000001
[1] 0
Assignment Project Exam Help Yeah, but what to do in general? The solution seems to be: use
addition programmed https://protwcoder.com
> sum
function (..., na.rm AddLWeChatinowcoderm")
> x=sum(c(1000000,rep(0.000001,1000000)))
> x
[1] 1000001
> x-1000000
\lceil 1 \rceil \mid 1
> x-1000001
[1] -2.561137e - 09
```

Vectorization alone does not do it

```
> x=rep(1,1000001) %*% c(1000000,rep(0.000001,1000000))
> x-1000000
          \lceil , 1 \rceil
[1,] 1.000008
> x-1000001
[1,] 7.614493e-06 Assignment Project Exam Help
> x=crossprod(rep(1,1000001),c(1000000,rep(0.000001,1000000)))
> x=1000000
> x-1000000
          [,1]
                      Add WeChat powcoder
[1,] 1.000008
> x-1000001
              [,1]
[1,] 7.614493e-06
```

A tale of expert code II: never invert a matrix...

The theory for a linear model $y\sim X\beta$ suggests that you obtain the least squares estimates via the formula

$$\mathbf{b} = (\mathbf{X}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{y}$$

However, in computing you are never ever (well, every rule has an exception, but still) supposed for ect Exam Help

b <- solve(t(X) %*% X) %*% t(X) %*% y

https://powcoder.com

Doing alternatively

b <- solve(crossprod(X))d/\(\frac{\text{\text{MeChat powcoder}}}{\text{\text{crossprod(X, y)}}}\)
does not really save it

... but rather solve (a system of) equations

It is much better to get b via solving the system

$$(X^{\mathsf{T}}X)b = X^{\mathsf{T}}y$$

To this end,

b <- solve(crossprod(X), crossprod(X,_y))</pre>

may work pretty well; but experts know that the best way is via a so-called QR decomposition (MATLAB "backslash" operator), which in R amounts to

b <- qr.solve(X, y) Add WeChat powcoder

This is correct - but many people do not need to know that much; unless they are in certain special situations), they may just do

$$b \leftarrow coef(lm(y \sim X-1))$$

and it amounts to the same thing!

Showing the difference is, however, a bit intricate...

...because the numerics of R is *very* good... The first attempt > x = runif(10,0,10)> X = cbind(rep(1,length(x)),x) y = 2 + 3*x + rnorm(length(x))> cbind(X, y) *Assignment Project Exam Help [1,] 1 5.088385 18.846518 [2,] 1 1.875540 8.434784://powcoder.com [3,] 1 4.509448 16.397015 [4,] 1 7.366187 24.4**A254**7WeChat powcoder [5,] 1 4.914751 18.399520 [6,] 1 9.296908 29.273038 [7,] 1 8.083712 26.970036 [8,] 1 5.210684 16.587565 [9,] 1 5.028429 15.727741 [10,] 1 8.422086 28.772038

The first attempt actually does not show anything

```
solve(t(X) %*% X) %*% t(X) %*% y
      [,1]
  2.715395
x 2.954821
> solve(crossprod(X)) %*% crossprod(X, y)
      [,1]
                Assignment Project Exam Help
  2.715395
x 2.954821
> solve(crossprod(X), crossprod(X, y)).com
      [,1]
                    Add WeChat powcoder
  2.715395
x 2.954821
> qr.solve(X, y)
                X
2.715395 2.954821
> coef(lm(y^X-1))
       X
               Xx
2.715395 2.954821
```

We have to be more extreme

```
> x = rep(1,1000) + rnorm(1000,0,.000001)
> X = cbind(rep(1,length(x)),x)
y = 2 + 3*x + rnorm(length(x))
> det(crossprod(X))
[1] 1.133003e-06
> solve(t(X) %*% X) %*% t(X) %*% y
                Assignment Project Exam Help
   20737, 20
x - 20732.22
                     https://powcoder.com
> solve(crossprod(X), crossprod(X, y))
       [,1]
                     Add WeChat powcoder
   20737.19
x - 20732.21
> qr.solve(X, y)
                   X
 20733.83 -20728.85
> coef(lm(y ~ X-1))
        X
                  \mathbf{X}\mathbf{x}
 20733.83 -20728.85
```

Vector and matrix algebra

```
is for componentwise multiplication
*
                      (components better match!)
%*%
                   vector/matrix multiplication
                   A^{T}B (uses dedicated algorithm)
crossprod(A,B)
                   in particular A^TA
crossprod(A)
                 Assignment Project Exam Helbie
rep()
solve(A, y)
                   findsttbs:45bothebdeb.com
                  finds A^{-1} (if needed be)
solve(A)
                  Add WeChat powcoder concatenation of vectors, flexible too
c()
matrix()
                  setting up matrices
rbind(A,B)
                  matrices are merged by rows (must match)
                  matrices are merged by columns (must match)
cbind(A,B)
                  returns the length of a vector
length()
                  returns the dimension of a matrix
dim()
```

Type conversions

> qr.solve(X, y)

x
20733.83 -20728.85
> as.vector(qr.solve(X, y))
[1] 20733.83 -20728.85
> as.vector(coef Amsygnment Project Exam Help
[1] 20733.83 -20728.85

> as.vector(solve(croseprod(X), y)))

> as.vector(solve(t(XAdd/Wedh/attpowebder)

 $\alpha\alpha^{T}$, a matrix, we need to write a %*% t(a)

General format as. type

20737.19 -20732.21

20737.20 -20732.22

Γ1 1

Note: in R, vectors are interpreted not linewise or columnwise, but in an "ambiguous manner": whatever suits more for a multiplication to succeed. In other words, the same square matrix can be multiplied by the same vector from both sides: X %*% a or a %*% X - which creates usually no problem, until we have an expression a %*% a which is always a number, $\alpha^{\mathsf{T}}\alpha$ for column vectors. If we want to obtain

Potpourri

```
> numeric(4)
[1] 0 0 0 0
> rep(0,4)
[1] 0 0 0 0
> rep(c(0,1),4)
[1] 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1
> rep(c(0,1),c(3,2))
[1] 0 0 0 1 1
> X=matrix(0,nrow=2,ncolignment Project Exam Help
> X=matrix(1:4,nrow=2,ncol=2)
                        https://powcoder.com
> X
     [,1] [,2]
[1,]
                        Add WeChat powcoder
       1
[2,]
> as.vector(X)
[1] 1 2 3 4
> as.matrix(1:4)
     [,1]
[1,]
[2,]
[3,]
[4,]
```

Finally, reminder

Inverse of a matrix should never be computed, unless:

- it is absolutely necessary to compute standard errors
- the number of right-hand sides is so much larger than $\mathfrak n$ that the extra cost is insignificant

(this one is based on the following: solving two systems, $Ax = b_1$ and $Ax = b_2$ costs exactly that much as solving one system Ax = b by first calculating A_1^{-1} and then A_2^{-1} by A_1^{-1} and then A_2^{-1} by A_2^{-1} and A_2^{-1} by A_2^{-1} by A_2^{-1} and A_2^{-1} by A_2^{-1} by A_2^{-1} by A_2^{-1} and A_2^{-1} by A_2^{-1}

- the size of n is so small that the costs are irrelevant

(yeah, in the toy setting we hat pewcoder

(John F. Monahan, Numerical Methods of Statistics)

(remarks by I.M.)

Some reminders from linear algebra

```
Useful formulae: (AB)^{\mathsf{T}} = B^{\mathsf{T}}A^{\mathsf{T}}

\det(AB) = \det(A)\det(B) \quad \det(A^{\mathsf{T}}) = \det(A)
```

Useful definitions: we say that matrix A is nonnegative definite (or positive semidefinite): $x^TAx \ge 0$ for every x positive definite: $x^TAx > 0$ for every $x \ne 0$

The definitions imply that A is a square matrix; some automatically require that it is also symmetric perfect that it is also symmetric matrices the definitions are applied to)

Useful habit in theory https://powbgeleegypr in practice): consider vectors as $n \times 1$ columns (in statistics, it is always like this) Useful caution: if α is an $n \times 1$ vector, then α a is a number (which

Useful caution: if α is an $n \times 1$ vector, then $\alpha^{\dagger}\alpha$ is a number (which we did denote by $\|\alpha\|_2^2$), but $\alpha\alpha^{\dagger}$ is an $n \times n$ matrix. In general, matrix multiplication is not commutative: AB is in general different from BA

Useful principle: block matrices are multiplied in a same way as usual matrices, only blocks are itself matrices, thus multiplied as such, and hence the dimensions must match

Useful practice: check dimensions