```
7.1 R. n R2 = A
      A -> BC -> A-> B and A -> C
      A > B and B > D => A > D
      A>c and A>D => A >cD and CD>E => A>E
      A->E and E >A => A -> A => A -> ABCDE
      E ¬A → E -> ABCDE
     CD → E , E -> ABCDE -> CD -> ABCDE
      B→D > BC -> CD >> BC -> ABCDE
     Z: R1 = (A, B, C) R1 R2 = A
           R_2 = (A, V, E) and A is a candidate key
       . '. R_1 \cap R_2 \rightarrow R_1
7.13 F, is F to (A,B,C)
        to is F to (C, P, E)
        B-D to be preserved: FDB-a in Fit and d-D in Fit
        . RINR = A. A= A B->A is not in F,
        .. not a dependency-preserving decomposition
      {(A, B, C, E), (B, D)} which fill B > D in 7.13
7.21
        { (A,B,C), (C,D,O,B,D), (E,A)}
7.22
         (A, B, C) contains a candidate key. So R' is a third normal
         from dependency-preserving lossless-join decomposition
         R is in third normal form. So the original schema is a loss less join, dependency-
         preserving decomposition.
       a. B-> BD BD-> ABD -> ABCD -> ABCD-> ABCD-> ABCD->
7, 30
           B^{\dagger} = ABCDE
       b. A-> BCD => A-> ABCD
                                        => A-> ABCDE => AG-> ABCDEG
            BC -> DE => ABCD-> ABCDE
      C with Do extra in dep. 1 and 2 because of 3.
            we can get A^{-2}B^{C} and from problem a, we get B^{\dagger} = ABCDE
                        BC->E
                                   the FD B>E can be determined from this set. So C is
                        P \rightarrow A extra in the third dependency : A \rightarrow BC
                                                                   B-DE
                                                                   D -> A
       d every FD gives rise to its own relation, giving
                 r, (A, B, C)
                r2(B,D,E)
                (3(D,A)
           Because G is not dependent on any attribute. So G must be
           a part of every superkey. And none of above have a. So creating
```

new relation r4 (A.G)	
e. r(A,B,C,D,E,G)	
r, (A, B, C, D) [, (A, E, G)	
be cause A-> F is an FD in G ^t	
$r_{1}(A.B.C.D) \cdot r_{2}(A.G) r_{3}(A.E)$	