

**(a) Determine the ML estimate of  $\lambda$  (i.e. determine  $\hat{\lambda}_{MLE}(\mathbf{x})$ ).**

Given the joint likelihood function of distribution parameter  $\lambda$  producing measurement vector  $\mathbf{x}$  as

$$p(\mathbf{x}|\lambda) = \prod_{n=1}^N \lambda \exp[-\lambda x_n],$$

the task of determining the maximum likelihood (ML) estimate is that of finding the value of  $\lambda$  which maximizes this distribution. Utilizing the exponential power rule of  $e^x e^y = e^{x+y}$ , the above distribution can be alternately expressed as

$$p(\mathbf{x}|\lambda) = \lambda^N \exp\left[-\lambda \sum_{n=1}^N x_n\right].$$

The next step is to take the gradient of the multivariate distribution with respect to the estimation parameter  $\lambda$  which is first preceeded by applying the natural logarithm operator to algebraically simplify subsequent operations.

$$\ln(p(\mathbf{x}|\lambda)) = N \ln(\lambda) - \lambda \sum_{n=1}^N x_n$$

$$\frac{\partial \ln(p(\mathbf{x}|\lambda))}{\partial \lambda} = \frac{N}{\lambda} - \sum_{n=1}^N x_n$$

Setting the result above equal to zero and solving for  $\lambda$  yeilds

$$\frac{N}{\lambda} - \sum_{n=1}^N x_n = 0 \longrightarrow \lambda = N \left( \sum_{n=1}^N x_n \right)^{-1}$$

To classify this extrema the second derivative test is used

$$\frac{\partial^2 \ln(p(\mathbf{x}|\lambda))}{\partial \lambda^2} = -\frac{N}{\lambda^2} < 0 \quad \forall \mathbf{x},$$

which, as shown above, is less than zero for all measurement vectors  $\mathbf{x}$ . This proves that the function is concave, the stationary point found is a global maximum, and being that this value of  $\lambda$  maximizes the likelihood function, it is the ML estimate.

$$\hat{\lambda}_{MLE}(\mathbf{x}) = N \left( \sum_{n=1}^N x_n \right)^{-1}$$