A Practical Analysis of UEFI threats against Windows 11

Joshua Machauer

June 21, 2022 Version: Draft 1.0

Technische Universität Berlin



Electrical Engineering and Computer Science
Institute of Software Engineering and Theoretical Computer Science
Security in Telecommunications (SecT)

Bachelor's Thesis

A Practical Analysis of UEFI threats against Windows 11

Joshua Machauer

1. Reviewer Prof. Dr. Jean-Pierre Seifert

Electrical Engineering and Computer Science

Technische Universität Berlin

2. Reviewer Prof. Dr.-Ing. Friedel Gerfers

Electrical Engineering and Computer Science

Technische Universität Berlin

Supervisors Jane Doe and John Smith

June 21, 2022

Joshua Machauer

A Practical Analysis of UEFI threats against Windows 11

Bachelor's Thesis, June 21, 2022

Reviewers: Prof. Dr. Jean-Pierre Seifert and Prof. Dr.-Ing. Friedel Gerfers

Supervisors: Jane Doe and John Smith

Technische Universität Berlin

Security in Telecommunications (SecT)

Institute of Software Engineering and Theoretical Computer Science

Electrical Engineering and Computer Science

Ernst-Reuter-Platz 7

10587 and Berlin

Eigenständigkeitserklärung

Hiermit erkläre ich, dass ich die vorliegende Arbeit selbstständig und eigenhändig sowie ohne unerlaubte fremde Hilfe und ausschließlich unter Verwendung der aufgeführten Quellen und Hilfsmittel angefertigt habe.

Berlin, den 10. Juli 2022	
	Joshua Machauer

Abstract

In Computer Security one of the most feared threats is a bootkit, executing at the beginning of a computers boot chain, before the operating system and accompanying antivirus programs. With the widespread adaption of standardized UEFI firmware these threats have become less machine dependent and can now target a host of systems at once. Past analyses about bootkits have been case studies of their appearances in the wild, this thesis instead aims to be a more practical approach by developing a bootkit and analyzing the challenges doing so. We restrict our analysis by assuming an attacker has already gained read and write access to the BIOS image and is thus only facing security mechanisms involved during and with execution of the bootkit. Our bootkit was able to achieve elevated execution on Windows 11 by exploiting unrestricted hard drive access to edit Windows Registries, this was also possible on BitLocker encrypted hard drives by keylogging the Recovery Key. UEFI makes it very easy for an attacker who has gained access to the System Firmware to leverage its powers and gain full control over the system.

Abstract (different language)

Hello, here is some text without a meaning. This text should show what a printed text will look like at this place. If you read this text, you will get no information. Really? Is there no information? Is there a difference between this text and some nonsense like "Huardest gefburn"? Kjift – not at all! A blind text like this gives you information about the selected font, how the letters are written and an impression of the look. This text should contain all letters of the alphabet and it should be written in of the original language. There is no need for special content, but the length of words should match the language.

Acknowledgement

Contents

1	Intr	duction	1
2	Bac	ground	3
	2.1	Unified Extensible Firmware Interface (UEFI)	3
		2.1.1 Boot Sequence	3
		2.1.2 UEFI/PI Firmware Images	2
		2.1.3 UEFI Images	2
		2.1.4 Firmware Core	5
		2.1.5 edk2	5
		2.1.6 Security	5
	2.2	Windows	6
		2.2.1 User Access Control (UAC)	6
		2.2.2 Signing	6
		2.2.3 Bitlocker	6
3	Rela	ed Work	7
4	Atta	ks	ç
	4.1	Neither Secure Boot nor Bitlocker	ç
	4.2	Secure Boot	10
	4.3	Secure Boot and Bitlocker	10
5	Disc	assion	13
	5.1	Rootkit classification	13
	5.2	Mitigations	13
		5.2.1 User awareness	14
6	Con	lusion 1	15
	6.1	Achieved Goals	15
	6.2	Future Work	15
Α	Exa	pple Appendix	23
	A.1	Appendix Section 1	2.5

	A.2 Appendix Section 2	23
В	Acronyms	25

Introduction

definition of rootkit/bootkit persistence goals 1/3 - 1/2 pages

Background

The following introduces the background information necessary to understand the employment of a UEFI rootkit. This includes the general workings of the Platform Initialization (PI) and UEFI, the UEFI programming model and interface itself; as well as its security mechanisms. It is also necessary to understand our target's defenses, for this, we briefly describe the Window's security mechanisms faced when performing our attacks.

2.1 Unified Extensible Firmware Interface (UEFI)

interface between operating system and platform firmware data datables containing platform-related information boot- and runttime service functions for the bootloader and os to call pure interface specification merely states what interfaces to offer and not how they are implemented nor which or how they are used goals: - complete solution describing all features and capabilities - abstract interfaces to support a range of processors without the need for knowledge about underlying hardware for the bootloader - sharable persistent storage for platform support code replace bios but also backwards compatible with Compability Support Module (CMS) supports boot from media containing UEFI OS loader or UEFI System Partition does not require changes to the first sector, this allows media to boot legacy and uefi at the same time security

2.1.1 Boot Sequence

focus will be on dxe and transient system load

1. Security (SEC)

first phase establishment of root of trust handles platform restart temporary memory in CPU cache (CAR) cache as ram, no evictions mode 2. Pre-EFI Initialization (PEI)

initialize stuff

3. Driver Execution Environment (DXE)

dxe core dxe dispatcher depex dxe drivers

- 4. Boot Device Selection (BDS)
- 5. Transient System Load (TSL)

boottime and runtime services/driver bootloader ExitBootServices()

6. Runtime (RT)

runtime services/driver

7. Afterlife (AL)

hibernation sleep

2.1.2 UEFI/PI Firmware Images

flash device flash volume flash file system file sections depex

2.1.3 UEFI Images

executable subset of PE32+ file format with modified header signature to distinguish from normal PE32 Images + stands addition of 64-bit relocation fix-up extension fixed and dynamic address loading relocatable boot and runtime memory application vs os loader vs driver loaded fully into memory and reloaction fix ups memory marked as code and data jump to entry point what is the boot manager

UEFI Applications

example efi shell loaded by boot manager or other applications return or calling exit specifically always unloaded from memory

UEFI OS Loaders

example windows boot manager normally take over control from the firmware upon load behaves like a normal UEFI application - only use memory allocated from the firmware - only use services/protocols to access devices that the firmware exposes - conform to driver specifications to access hardware on error can return allocated resources with Exit boot service with error specific information given in ExitData on success take full control with ExitBootServices boot service all boot services in the system are terminated, including memory management UEFI OS loader now responsible

UEFI Drivers

loaded by boot manager, UEFI firmware (DXE foundation), or other applications example payload unloaded only when returning error code presistent on success boot and runtime drivers only difference is that runtime are available after Exit-BootServices was called boottime drivers are terminated and memory is released runttime drivers are fixed up with virtual mappings upon SetVirtualAddressMap call has to convert its allocated memory

2.1.4 Firmware Core

boot and runtime services boot service table guids handles and protocols protocols

2.1.5 edk2

build system

2.1.6 Security

Secure Boot

Signed Capsule Update

SMM

2.2 Windows

- 2.2.1 User Access Control (UAC)
- 2.2.2 Signing
- 2.2.3 Bitlocker

TPM

how does it work explain TPM

Related Work

scholar ranking

Attacks 4

Our different attacks face three escalating levels of security mechanisms. The first is with Secure Boot and Bitlocker disabled, the second is just Secure Boot enabled and the third is both Secure Boot and Bitlocker enabled with the focus of the study on Bitlocker. All attacks share the requirement of being able to add DXE Drivers to the DXE Volume. This can be achieved by having read/write access to the SPI flash or using the Signed Capsule Update. Gaining read/write access to the SPI Flash is possible either through physical access to the device by using an SPI clamp on the chip itself or through exploits like for example the . Signed Capsule Updates can be leveraged with access to private vendor information by signing the payload to make it appear legitimate or by intercepting the distribution process and employing infected firmware.

4.1 Neither Secure Boot nor Bitlocker

use read access to dump image since it an FV with FFS we can open with UEFITool remove previous NTFS driver if present, for full control, might be read only etc in UEFITool search and remove add in NTFS driver use write access

try in EFI shell navigate to Windows folder create folder

how does one compile uefi application with edk2 it's open source so we can look up examples for most stuff

try in code compile dxe driver within ovmf to receive .ffs file with version depex user interface section SimpleFileSystem Protocol iteration write failed on hibernated file patch to allow write on hibernated drives

pack executable binary as uefi module iterate over firmware volume protocols search for payload guid check size match override notepad works

but no automatic execution nor elevated privileges dll proxying dll hijacking registry editing

Task Scheduler defined in xml cached in registry edit with start cmd.exe and trigger manually whoami

chntpw and reged port to uefi edit Task in machine under Control maybe look if just adding a key would have also worked export target registry key modify so that registry key can differ and found via matching values import and override registry key on target machine payload whoami localsystem

4.2 Secure Boot

how does one enable it mostly comes with default keys OEM expectation: not to boot observation: no difference secure boot default policy snippet option roms and bootloader instead relies on Signed Capsule Updates assumes integrity

4.3 Secure Boot and Bitlocker

assumptions: secure boot or not bitlocker enabled with TPM auto decryption

observation: boot execution differs from executing rootkit tpm values different bitlocker auto decryption fails recovery key prompt

what is the reaction of the average user (ask admin for recovery password) type in recovery password alternative would be to remove drive and insert into safe device

prompt is done by the OS Loader ergo still during transient system load phase required to use protocol services therefor uses uefi services for IO such as SimpleTextInputEx Protocol go over the two different input protocols find out which one is used

explain more in depth how protocols are returned to the end user one instance per controller/handle

explain basic hooking explain how we retain information of the hook in question map protocol pointer to hook information keylog recovery key key input advancment is weird and makes tracking tricky alternatively screen shot still need hook to find when enter is pressed explain how screenshotting works some basic compression wait for recovery key send recovery key on enter press

on real hardware network stack wasn't installed onto handles when boot over ip was disabled compared loaded dxe drivers between both configurations with efi shell Realtek Family driver not loaded load manually reinstall all handle to controllers to enable network stack regardless

sending key out is only good for physical access attack vector dislocker linux utility mount encrypted drive with decryption mean read and write access dual boot in vm enter password and it works port to uefi bitlocker encrypts block-wise uefi protocol stack hook block io again hook data mapping dislocker validate block solves recovery key advancement issue

hook ExitBootServices enable hook write payload import registry key disable hook

next boot would require to input tpm values again update tpm values in payload caveat pin? look into this

persistence when part of root of trust fresh install / tpm update values hook Trusted Copmuting Group 2 (TCG2) Protocol TPM communication receive bitlocker vmk key and send to dislocker

Discussion

```
attack assumption reflected to real world aplicability social engineering aspekt driver vorhanden und was mitbringen, debloating
```

5.1 Rootkit classification

statisken zu bilocker und secureboot auf systemen industrie standard zur system security in firmen

5.2 Mitigations

hardware validated boot
inaccessible spi flash
tpm + pin detectability
googeln wie legitime recovery key prompt reaktion aussieht
enterprise policy recovery key einschraenkbar?
enterprise policy on recovery key loss

5.2.1 User awareness

Conclusion

6.1 Achieved Goals

when we are already in the image we can gain full control over the system system cant be trusted anymore e.g. uefi services full file access escalate it to local system level execution bitlocker has the flaw of allowing to enter criticial information into an inherently untrustable system on the other hand one could force such a prompt themselves mere existence of a recovery key is a security flaw

6.2 Future Work

tpm and pin capsule update

List of Figures

List of Tables

A.1	This is a caption text	23
A.2	This is a caption text	24

List of Listings

Example Appendix

Hello, here is some text without a meaning. This text should show what a printed text will look like at this place. If you read this text, you will get no information. Really? Is there no information? Is there a difference between this text and some nonsense like "Huardest gefburn"? Kjift – not at all! A blind text like this gives you information about the selected font, how the letters are written and an impression of the look. This text should contain all letters of the alphabet and it should be written in of the original language. There is no need for special content, but the length of words should match the language.

A.1 Appendix Section 1

This is the second paragraph. Hello, here is some text without a meaning. This text should show what a printed text will look like at this place. If you read this text, you will get no information. Really? Is there no information? Is there a difference between this text and some nonsense like "Huardest gefburn"? Kjift – not at all! A blind text like this gives you information about the selected font, how the letters are written and an impression of the look. This text should contain all letters of the alphabet and it should be written in of the original language. There is no need for special content, but the length of words should match the language.

Alpha	Beta	Gamma
0	1	2
3	4	5

Tab. A.1.: This is a caption text.

A.2 Appendix Section 2

And after the second paragraph follows the third paragraph. Hello, here is some text without a meaning. This text should show what a printed text will look like

at this place. If you read this text, you will get no information. Really? Is there no information? Is there a difference between this text and some nonsense like "Huardest gefburn"? Kjift – not at all! A blind text like this gives you information about the selected font, how the letters are written and an impression of the look. This text should contain all letters of the alphabet and it should be written in of the original language. There is no need for special content, but the length of words should match the language.

Alpha	Beta	Gamma
0	1	2
3	4	5

Tab. A.2.: This is a caption text.

After this fourth paragraph, we start a new paragraph sequence. Hello, here is some text without a meaning. This text should show what a printed text will look like at this place. If you read this text, you will get no information. Really? Is there no information? Is there a difference between this text and some nonsense like "Huardest gefburn"? Kjift – not at all! A blind text like this gives you information about the selected font, how the letters are written and an impression of the look. This text should contain all letters of the alphabet and it should be written in of the original language. There is no need for special content, but the length of words should match the language. Hello, here is some text without a meaning. This text should show what a printed text will look like at this place. If you read this text, you will get no information. Really? Is there no information? Is there a difference between this text and some nonsense like "Huardest gefburn"? Kjift – not at all! A blind text like this gives you information about the selected font, how the letters are written and an impression of the look. This text should contain all letters of the alphabet and it should be written in of the original language. There is no need for special content, but the length of words should match the language.

Acronyms

AL Afterlife
BDS Boot Device Selection
DXE Driver Execution Environment
EFI Extensible Firmware Interface
PEI Pre-EFI Initialization
Pl Platform Initialization
RT Runtime
SEC Security
TSL Transient System Load
UEFI Unified Extensible Firmware Interface