

1. (a) We begin by noting that:

$$0 + 0 = 0 \in S + I$$

$$1 + 0 = 1 \in S + I$$

Let  $s_x + a_x, s_y + a_y \in S + I$ . We note that  $-s_x \in S$  and  $-1 \cdot a_x \in I$ . Thus:

$$-s_x + (-a_x) = -(s_x + a_x) \in S + I$$

For addition, we get that:

$$s_x + a_x + s_y + a_y = (s_x + s_y) + (a_x + a_y) \in S + I$$

For multiplication, we get that:

$$(s_x + a_x) \cdot (s_y + a_y) = (s_x s_y) + (s_y a_x + s_x a_y + a_x a_y) \in S + I$$

Thus, we conclude that  $S + I$  is a subring.

- (b) We begin by noting that  $0 \in I$  and  $0 \in S$ , so  $0 \in S \cap I$ . Let  $a, b \in S \cap I$ . We note that  $a + b \in S$  and  $a + b \in I$ . Thus,  $a + b \in S \cap I$ . Lastly, let  $s \in S$ . We note that  $as \in S$  and  $as \in I$ , so  $as \in S \cap I$ . This proves  $S \cap I$  is an ideal of  $S$ .
- (c) We first note that  $S \subseteq S + I$ . Thus, the natural projection map  $\pi : S \rightarrow (S + I)/I$  where  $s \mapsto [s]$  is a ring homomorphism.

We then note that for all  $x \in (S + I)/I$ , there exists a  $s + a$  for  $s \in S$  and  $a \in I$  where  $x = [s + a] = [s] + [a] = [s]$  because  $I \mid a$ , which implies  $[a] = [0]$ . Thus,  $x = \pi(s)$ . Hence,  $\pi$  is surjective, so it implies  $\text{im}(\pi) = (S + I)/(I)$ .

For  $x \in S \cap I$ ,  $\pi(x) = [x] = [0]$  as  $I \mid x$ , so  $x \in \ker(\pi)$ . Meanwhile, for  $x \in \ker(\pi)$ , we note that  $[x] = [0]$ , which implies  $I \mid x$  or  $x \in I$ . Thus, we get  $x \in S \cap I$  and that  $\ker(\pi) = S \cap I$ .

By First Isomorphism Theorem, we get that  $S/(S \cap I) \cong (S + I)/I$ .

2. (a) We note that  $[0] \in J'$ , so  $0 \in J$ . For  $a, b \in J$ , we get that  $[a], [b] \in J'$ , so  $[a + b] \in J'$  and  $a + b \in J$ . For  $r \in R$ , note that  $[r] \in R/I$ , so  $[ra] \in J'$  thus  $ra \in J$ . This proves  $J$  is an ideal of  $R$ .
- (b) We note that  $0 \in J$ , so  $[0] \in J/I$ . For  $[a], [b] \in J/I$ , we note that  $a + b \in J$ , so  $[a + b] = [a] + [b] \in J/I$ . For  $[r] \in R/I$ , we note that  $ra \in J$ , so  $[ra] = [r] \cdot [a] \in J/I$ . This proves  $J/I$  is an ideal of  $R/I$ .
- (c) We note that the natural projection map of  $\pi : R \mapsto R/I$  where  $r \mapsto [r]_I$  is a ring homomorphism. Meanwhile, the natural projection map of  $\hat{\pi} : R/I \rightarrow (R/I)/(J/I)$  where  $[r]_I \mapsto [[r]_I]_{J/I}$  is a ring homomorphism. Hence, if we denote  $\varphi = \hat{\pi} \circ \pi$ , we get that  $\varphi : R \mapsto (R/I)/(J/I)$  where  $r \mapsto [[r]]$  is a ring homomorphism.

For all  $x \in (R/I)/(J/I)$ , there exists an  $[r] \in R/I$  where  $x = [[r]]$  and consequently, an  $r \in R$  where  $[[r]] = \varphi(r)$ . This proves  $\varphi$  is surjective, so  $\text{im}(\varphi) = (R/I)/(J/I)$ .

For  $x \in J$ ,  $\varphi(x) = [[x]]$ . We also note that  $[x] \in J/I$ , and  $[x] = [0]$  so  $[[x]] = [[0]]$  thus  $x \in \ker(\varphi)$ . Meanwhile, for  $x \in \ker(\varphi)$ ,  $\varphi(x) = [[0]]$ , so  $J/I \mid [x]$ , which further implies  $J \mid x$  or  $x \in J$ . Thus,  $\ker(\varphi) = J$ .

By the First Isomorphism Theorem,  $R/J \cong (R/I)/(J/I)$ .

3. (a)  $I$  is a prime ideal if and only if  $ab \in I$  then  $a \in I$  or  $b \in I$

(b) Assume  $(r)$  is a prime ideal. By contradiction, we assume  $r$  is not prime. Then there exists  $a, b \in R$  where  $r \mid ab$  but  $r \nmid a$  and  $r \nmid b$ . We note that  $ab \in (r)$ . This implies either  $a \in (r)$  or  $b \in (r)$ . If we assume  $a \in (r)$ , then there exists a  $q \in R$  where  $rq = a$ , but that would mean  $r \mid a$ , a contradiction. Thus, if  $(r)$  is a prime ideal, then  $r$  is prime.

Assume  $r$  is prime. By contradiction, we assume  $(r)$  is not a prime ideal, so there exists an  $ab \in (r)$  where  $a, b \notin (r)$ . Since  $ab \in (r)$ , there exists a  $q \in R$  where  $ab = rq$ , so  $r \mid ab$ . This implies either  $r \mid a$  or  $r \mid b$ . We assume  $r \mid a$ , so there exists a  $q' \in R$  where  $q'r = a$ . However, this implies  $a \in (r)$ , a contradiction. Thus, if  $r$  is prime, then  $(r)$  is a prime ideal.

(c) Assume  $I$  is a prime ideal of  $R$ . By contradiction, we assume  $R/I$  is not an integral domain, so there exists  $[a], [b] \neq [0]$  and  $[ab] = [0]$ . Since  $[ab] = [0]$ ,  $I \mid ab$ . This implies either  $I \mid a$  or  $I \mid b$ , so either  $[a] = [0]$  or  $[b] = [0]$ , a contradiction. Thus, if  $I$  is a prime ideal,  $R/I$  is an integral domain.

Assume  $R/I$  is an integral domain. By contradiction,  $I$  is not a prime ideal of  $R$ . Thus, there exists  $a, b \notin I$  but  $I \mid ab$ . This implies  $[ab] = [0]$ . However,  $R/I$  is an integral domain, so either  $[a] = [0]$  or  $[b] = [0]$ . But that implies either  $I \mid a$  or  $I \mid b$ , a contradiction. Thus, if  $R/I$  is an integral domain, then  $I$  is a prime ideal of  $R$ .

(d) We note that  $\mathbb{Z}$  is a PID. Thus, for all prime ideals  $I$  of  $\mathbb{Z}$ , there exists an  $x \in \mathbb{Z}$  where  $I = (x)$ . From b),  $x$  must be a prime number. Thus, all prime ideals of  $\mathbb{Z}$  are principle ideals of prime numbers.

(e) From 1b), we note that  $S \cap I$  is an ideal. For  $a, b \in S$ , if  $ab \in S \cap I$ , then  $ab \in I$ , which implies either  $a \in I$  or  $b \in I$ . In other words, we get that either  $a \in S \cap I$  or  $b \in S \cap I$ . Thus,  $S \cap I$  is a prime ideal.

4. (a) If  $[x]^2 = [x]$  for  $0 \leq x \leq 2024$ , then it implies  $[x^2 - x] = [0]$  or  $2025 \mid x(x-1)$ . We then note that  $2025 = 81 \cdot 25$  and that  $\gcd(81, 25) = 1$ . Thus, we can apply Theorem 7.11, where  $m = 81$  and  $n = 25$  and note that:

$$\begin{aligned} [x(x-1)]_{2025} &\mapsto [x(x-1)]_{81} \times [x(x-1)]_{25} \\ [0] &\mapsto [0]_{81} \times [0]_{25} \end{aligned}$$

We also note that this map is a ring homomorphism, so since  $[x^2 - x] = [0]$ , it implies that  $[x(x-1)] = [0]_{81}$  and  $[x(x-1)] = [0]_{25}$ . In other words, we get that  $81 \mid x(x-1)$  and  $25 \mid x(x-1)$ . We then note that 25 is a prime a power of  $5^2$ . By Euclid's Lemma, either  $5 \mid x$  or  $5 \mid x-1$ . Since  $\gcd(x-1, x) = 1$ , only one of the factors could be divisible by 5 and will be the one also divisible by 25. A similar argument can be applied that only one of the factors is divisible by 81. Thus, we get that either  $25 \mid x$  or  $25 \mid x-1$  and  $81 \mid x$  or  $81 \mid x-1$ . This gives us 4 possible combinations.

**Case 1** If  $25 \mid x$  and  $81 \mid x$ , since 81 and 25 are co-prime, we get that  $2025 \mid x$ . The only  $x$  that satisfies this is if  $x = 0$ .

**Case 2** If  $25 \mid x-1$  and  $81 \mid x-1$ , since 81 and 25 are co-prime, we get that  $2025 \mid x-1$ . The only  $x$  that satisfies this is if  $x-1 = 0$  or  $x = 1$ .

**Case 3** If  $25 \mid x-1$  and  $81 \mid x$ , it implies there exist  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  where  $25a = x-1$  and  $81b = x$ . Thus:

$$\begin{aligned} 25a &= 81b - 1 \\ 1 &= 81b + 25(-a) \end{aligned}$$

We apply the Division Algorithm strategy back in Claim 2.7 to compute that  $b = 21$ , so  $x = 21 \cdot 81 = 1701$ .

**Case 4** If  $25 \mid x$  and  $81 \mid x-1$ , it implies there exist  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  where  $25a = x$  and  $81b = x-1$ . Thus:

$$\begin{aligned} 81b &= 25a - 1 \\ 1 &= 25a + 81(-b) \end{aligned}$$

We apply the same strategy to compute that  $a = 13$  thus  $x = 13 \cdot 25 = 325$

Hence, there exists 4 idempotent elements in  $\mathbb{Z}/2025\mathbb{Z}$ .

- (b) We note that  $0^2 = 0$  and  $1^2 = 1$ , so  $0, 1 \in S$ . For  $a, b \in S$ , we note that:

$$\begin{aligned} (a+b)^2 &= a^2 + 2ab + b^2 \\ &= a^2 = 2 \cdot 1 \cdot ab + b^2 \\ &= a^2 + b^2 \\ &= a + b \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $a + b \in S$ . Meanwhile::

$$\begin{aligned} (ab)^2 &= a^2b^2 \\ &= ab \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $ab \in S$ . Lastly, we note that:

$$\begin{aligned} a + a &= 2a \\ &= 2 \cdot 1 \cdot a \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we note that  $-a = a$  and since  $a \in S$ , we get that  $-a \in S$ . We proved  $S$  is a subring of  $R$ .

- (c) We first note that  $(0) = \{r0 : r \in R\} = \{0\}$ . Meanwhile, we note that the map of  $\varphi : R \rightarrow R$  where  $r \mapsto r$  is a ring homomorphism. Meanwhile, the  $\text{im}(\varphi) = R$  and that  $\ker(\varphi) = \{0\} = (0)$ . By the First Isomorphism Theorem, we get that  $R/(0) \cong R$ .

We then apply Theorem 8.24 to get that  $R/((e)(1-e)) \cong R/(e) \times R/(1-e)$ . We then note that:

$$\begin{aligned} (e)(1-e) &= e^2 - e \\ &= e - e \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we get that  $R \cong R/(0) \cong R/(e) \times R/(1-e)$  or  $R \cong R/(e) \times R/(1-e)$  as desired.

- (d) e