

1. (a) We begin by noting that:

$$0 + 0 = 0 \in S + I$$

$$1 + 0 = 1 \in S + I$$

Let  $s_x + a_x, s_y + a_y \in S + I$ . We note that  $-s_x \in S$  and  $-1 \cdot a_x \in I$ . Thus:

$$-s_x + (-a_x) = -(s_x + a_x) \in S + I$$

For addition, we get that:

$$s_x + a_x + s_y + a_y = (s_x + s_y) + (a_x + a_y) \in S + I$$

For multiplication, we get that:

$$(s_x + a_x) \cdot (s_y + a_y) = (s_x s_y) + (s_y a_x + s_x a_y + a_x a_y) \in S + I$$

Thus, we conclude that  $S + I$  is a subring.

- (b) We begin by noting that  $0 \in I$  and  $0 \in S$ , so  $0 \in S \cap I$ . Let  $a, b \in S \cap I$ . We note that  $a + b \in S$  and  $a + b \in I$ . Thus,  $a + b \in S \cap I$ . Lastly, let  $s \in S$ . We note that  $as \in S$  and  $as \in I$ , so  $as \in S \cap I$ . This proves  $S \cap I$  is an ideal of  $S$ .
- (c) We first note that  $S \subseteq S + I$ . Thus, the natural projection map  $\pi : S \rightarrow (S + I)/I$  where  $s \mapsto [s]$  is a ring homomorphism.

We then note that for all  $x \in (S + I)/I$ , there exists a  $s + a$  such that  $s \in S$  and  $a \in I$  where  $x = [s + a] = [s] + [a] = [s]$  because  $I \mid a$ , which implies  $[a] = [0]$ . Thus,  $x = \pi(s)$ . Hence,  $\pi$  is surjective, so it implies  $\text{im}(\pi) = (S + I)/(I)$ .

For  $x \in S \cap I$ ,  $\pi(x) = [x] = [0]$  as  $I \mid x$ , so  $x \in \ker(\pi)$ . Meanwhile, for  $x \in \ker(\pi)$ , we note that  $[x] = [0]$ , which implies  $I \mid x$  or  $x \in I$ . Thus, we get  $x \in S \cap I$  and that  $\ker(\pi) = S \cap I$ .

By the First Isomorphism Theorem, we get that  $S/(S \cap I) \cong (S + I)/I$ .

2. (a) We note that  $[0] \in J'$ , so  $0 \in J$ . For  $a, b \in J$ , we get that  $[a], [b] \in J'$ , so  $[a + b] \in J'$  and  $a + b \in J$ . For  $r \in R$ , note that  $[r] \in R/I$ , so  $[ra] \in J'$  thus  $ra \in J$ . This proves  $J$  is an ideal of  $R$ .
- (b) We note that  $0 \in J$ , so  $[0] \in J/I$ . For  $[a], [b] \in J/I$ , we note that  $a + b \in J$ , so  $[a + b] = [a] + [b] \in J/I$ . For  $[r] \in R/I$ , we note that  $ra \in J$ , so  $[ra] = [r] \cdot [a] \in J/I$ . This proves  $J/I$  is an ideal of  $R/I$ .
- (c) We note that the natural projection map of  $\pi : R \mapsto R/I$  where  $r \mapsto [r]_I$  is a ring homomorphism. Meanwhile, the natural projection map of  $\hat{\pi} : R/I \rightarrow (R/I)/(J/I)$  where  $[r]_I \mapsto [[r]_I]_{J/I}$  is a ring homomorphism. Hence, if we denote  $\varphi = \hat{\pi} \circ \pi$ , we get that  $\varphi : R \mapsto (R/I)/(J/I)$  where  $r \mapsto [[r]]$  is a ring homomorphism.

For all  $x \in (R/I)/(J/I)$ , there exists an  $[r] \in R/I$  where  $x = [[r]]$  and consequently, an  $r \in R$  where  $[[r]] = \varphi(r)$ . This proves  $\varphi$  is surjective, so  $\text{im}(\varphi) = (R/I)/(J/I)$ .

For  $x \in J$ ,  $\varphi(x) = [[x]]$ . We also note that  $[x] \in J/I$ , so  $[[x]] = [[0]]$  thus  $x \in \ker(\varphi)$ . Meanwhile, for  $x \in \ker(\varphi)$ ,  $\varphi(x) = [[0]]$ , so  $[x] \in J/I$ , which further implies  $x \in J$ . Thus,  $\ker(\varphi) = J$ .

By the First Isomorphism Theorem,  $R/J \cong (R/I)/(J/I)$ .

3. (a) A proper ideal  $I$  is prime ideal if and only if  $ab \in I$  then  $a \in I$  or  $b \in I$

(b) Assume  $(r)$  is a prime ideal. By contradiction, we assume  $r$  is not prime. Then there exists  $a, b \in R$  where  $r \mid ab$  but  $r \nmid a$  and  $r \nmid b$ . We note that  $ab \in (r)$ . This implies either  $a \in (r)$  or  $b \in (r)$ . If we assume  $a \in (r)$ , then there exists a  $q \in R$  where  $rq = a$ , but that would mean  $r \mid a$ , a contradiction. Thus, if  $(r)$  is a prime ideal, then  $r$  is prime.

Assume  $r$  is prime. By contradiction, we assume  $(r)$  is not a prime ideal, so there exists an  $ab \in (r)$  where  $a, b \notin (r)$ . Since  $ab \in (r)$ , there exists a  $q \in R$  where  $ab = rq$ , so  $r \mid ab$ . This implies either  $r \mid a$  or  $r \mid b$ . We assume  $r \mid a$ , so there exists a  $q' \in R$  where  $q'r = a$ . However, this implies  $a \in (r)$ , a contradiction. Thus, if  $r$  is prime, then  $(r)$  is a prime ideal.

(c) Assume  $I$  is a prime ideal of  $R$ . By contradiction, we assume  $R/I$  is not an integral domain, so there exists  $[a], [b] \neq [0]$  and  $[ab] = [0]$ . Since  $[ab] = [0]$ ,  $I \mid ab$ . This implies either  $I \mid a$  or  $I \mid b$ , so either  $[a] = [0]$  or  $[b] = [0]$ , a contradiction. Thus, if  $I$  is a prime ideal,  $R/I$  is an integral domain.

Assume  $R/I$  is an integral domain. By contradiction,  $I$  is not a prime ideal of  $R$ . Thus, there exists  $a, b \notin I$  but  $I \mid ab$ . This implies  $[ab] = [0]$ . However,  $R/I$  is an integral domain, so either  $[a] = [0]$  or  $[b] = [0]$ . But that implies either  $I \mid a$  or  $I \mid b$ , a contradiction. Thus, if  $R/I$  is an integral domain, then  $I$  is a prime ideal of  $R$ .

(d) We note that  $\mathbb{Z}$  is a PID. Thus, for all prime ideals  $I$  of  $\mathbb{Z}$ , there exists an  $x \in \mathbb{Z}$  where  $I = (x)$ . From b),  $x$  must be prime. By Euclid's Lemma, all prime numbers are prime, so their principal ideals are also prime ideals. However,  $0$  satisfies the definition of prime because  $\mathbb{Z}$  is an integral domain, so if  $0 \mid ab$  then either  $a$  or  $b$  must be zero, so  $(0)$  is also a prime ideal. Thus, all prime ideals of  $\mathbb{Z}$  are principal ideals of prime numbers and  $0$ .

(e) From 1b), we note that  $S \cap I$  is an ideal. For  $a, b \in S$ , if  $ab \in S \cap I$ , then  $ab \in I$ , which implies either  $a \in I$  or  $b \in I$ . In other words, we get that either  $a \in S \cap I$  or  $b \in S \cap I$ . Thus,  $S \cap I$  is a prime ideal.

4. (a) If  $[x]^2 = [x]$  for  $0 \leq x \leq 2024$ , then it implies  $[x^2 - x] = [0]$  or  $2025 \mid x(x-1)$ . We then note that  $2025 = 81 \cdot 25$  and that  $\gcd(81, 25) = 1$ . Thus, we can apply Theorem 7.11, where  $m = 81$  and  $n = 25$  and note that:

$$\begin{aligned} [x(x-1)]_{2025} &\mapsto [x(x-1)]_{81} \times [x(x-1)]_{25} \\ [0] &\mapsto [0]_{81} \times [0]_{25} \end{aligned}$$

We also note that this map is a ring homomorphism, so since  $[x^2 - x] = [0]$ , it implies that  $[x(x-1)] = [0]_{81}$  and  $[x(x-1)] = [0]_{25}$ . In other words, we get that  $81 \mid x(x-1)$  and  $25 \mid x(x-1)$ . We then note that 25 is a prime power of  $5^2$ . By Euclid's Lemma, either  $5 \mid x$  or  $5 \mid x-1$ . Since  $\gcd(x-1, x) = 1$ , only one of the factors could be divisible by 5 and will be the one also divisible by 25. A similar argument can be applied that only one of the factors is divisible by 81. Thus, we get that either  $25 \mid x$  or  $25 \mid x-1$  and  $81 \mid x$  or  $81 \mid x-1$ . This gives us 4 possible combinations.

**Case 1** If  $25 \mid x$  and  $81 \mid x$ , since 81 and 25 are co-prime, we get that  $2025 \mid x$ . The only  $x$  that satisfies this is if  $x = 0$ .

**Case 2** If  $25 \mid x-1$  and  $81 \mid x-1$ , since 81 and 25 are co-prime, we get that  $2025 \mid x-1$ . The only  $x$  that satisfies this is if  $x-1 = 0$  or  $x = 1$ .

**Case 3** If  $25 \mid x-1$  and  $81 \mid x$ , it implies there exist  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  where  $25a = x-1$  and  $81b = x$ . Thus:

$$\begin{aligned} 25a &= 81b - 1 \\ 1 &= 81b + 25(-a) \end{aligned}$$

We apply the Division Algorithm strategy back in Claim 2.7 to compute that  $b = 21$ , so  $x = 21 \cdot 81 = 1701$ .

**Case 4** If  $25 \mid x$  and  $81 \mid x-1$ , it implies there exist  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  where  $25a = x$  and  $81b = x-1$ . Thus:

$$\begin{aligned} 81b &= 25a - 1 \\ 1 &= 25a + 81(-b) \end{aligned}$$

We apply the same strategy to compute that  $a = 13$  thus  $x = 13 \cdot 25 = 325$

Hence, there are 4 idempotent elements in  $\mathbb{Z}/2025\mathbb{Z}$ .

- (b) We note that  $0^2 = 0$  and  $1^2 = 1$ , so  $0, 1 \in S$ . For  $a, b \in S$ , we note that:

$$\begin{aligned} (a+b)^2 &= a^2 + 2ab + b^2 \\ &= a^2 = 2 \cdot 1 \cdot ab + b^2 \\ &= a^2 + b^2 \\ &= a + b \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $a + b \in S$ . Meanwhile::

$$\begin{aligned} (ab)^2 &= a^2b^2 \\ &= ab \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $ab \in S$ . Lastly, we note that:

$$\begin{aligned} a + a &= 2a \\ &= 2 \cdot 1 \cdot a \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we note that  $-a = a$  and since  $a \in S$ , we get that  $-a \in S$ . We proved  $S$  is a subring of  $R$ .

- (c) We first note that  $(0) = \{r0 : r \in R\} = \{0\}$ . Meanwhile, we note that the map  $\varphi : R \rightarrow R$  where  $r \mapsto r$  is a ring homomorphism. Meanwhile, the  $\text{im}(\varphi) = R$  and that  $\ker(\varphi) = \{0\} = (0)$ . By the First Isomorphism Theorem, we get that  $R/(0) \cong R$ .

For  $(e) + (1 - e)$ , we note that for all  $r \in R$  that

$$er + (1 - e)r = 1r = r$$

Hence,  $r \in (e) + (1 - e)$  and  $(e) + (1 - e) = R$ . This allows us to apply Theorem 8.24 to get that  $R/((e)(1 - e)) \cong R/(e) \times R/(1 - e)$ . We then note that for any  $a, b \in R$ , we get that

$$(1 - e)a \cdot (e)b = (e - e^2)ab = 0ab = 0$$

This implies that any finite sum in the form of  $\sum (e)a_i(1 - e)b_i$  is a sum of finitely many zeros, which sums to zero. Hence,  $(1 - e)(e) = \{0\} = (0)$  and we get that  $R \cong R/(0) \cong R/(e) \times R/(1 - e)$  or  $R \cong R/(e) \times R/(1 - e)$  as desired.

- (d) Let  $|R| = 2$  where  $R = \{0, 1\}$ . By Theorem 7.16,  $|R| \cdot 1 = 0$ . Thus,  $\text{char}(R) = 2$  (we note that  $\text{char}(R) = 1$  is impossible because it implies  $0 = 1$ ). By Exercise 7.5 (I proved it in HW5 1c), since  $\text{char}(R) = |R|$ , we get that  $R \cong \mathbb{F}_2$ . By induction, we assume all finite commutative ring  $R$  where every element is idempotent with  $2 \leq |R| \leq k$  for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  is isomorphic to a product of  $\mathbb{F}_2$ . We now assume such ring  $R$  where  $|R| = k + 1$ .

If there exists an  $e \in R$  where it is non-zero and non-unit, by c), we get that  $R \cong R/(e) \times R/(1 - e)$ . For all  $r \in R$ , we note that:

$$\begin{aligned} [r]_e^2 &= [r^2]_e = [r]_e \\ [r]_{1-e}^2 &= [r^2]_{1-e} = [r]_{1-e} \end{aligned}$$

Since the natural projections  $R \rightarrow R/(e)$  and  $R \rightarrow R/(1 - e)$  are surjective, we note that all elements in both rings are idempotent. Since both  $(e)$  and  $(1 - e)$  are the kernels of their respective natural projections, by the pigeonhole principle, a non-injective but surjective map implies  $|R/(e)|, |R/(1 - e)| < |R|$ . Hence, by the induction hypothesis, both are isomorphic to a product of  $\mathbb{F}_2$ . Hence, we get that:

$$\begin{aligned} R &\cong (\mathbb{F}_2 \times \cdots \times \mathbb{F}_2) \times (\mathbb{F}_2 \times \cdots \times \mathbb{F}_2) \\ R &\cong \mathbb{F}_2 \times \cdots \times \mathbb{F}_2 \end{aligned}$$

Meanwhile, if there is no non-zero and non-unit element in  $R$ , then  $R$  must be a field because every non-zero element is a unit. For all  $a \in R^\times$ , there exists a  $b \in R^\times$  where  $ab = 1$ . This implies  $a(ab) = a$ , but  $a^2b = ab$ , so  $1 = ab = a$ . Thus,  $R^\times = \{1\}$ . Since  $R$  is a field, we get that  $R = \{0, 1\}$ , which contradicts our assumption of  $|R| = k + 1$ , making it impossible.

We proved that all finite commutative rings  $R$  where every element is idempotent is isomorphic to a product of  $\mathbb{F}_2$ .

5. (a) We first prove that  $\text{im}(ev_a)$  is a subring of  $\mathbb{C}$ . We note that  $1, 0 \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ , so  $0, 1 \in \text{im}(ev_a)$ . For all  $d, e \in \text{im}(ev_a)$ , there exists a  $f, g \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  where  $f(a) = d$  and  $g(a) = e$ . We note that  $-f \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ , so  $-f(a) = -d \in \text{im}(ev_a)$ . Meanwhile,  $f + g, fg \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ , so  $f(a) + g(a) = d + e, f(a)g(a) = de \in \text{im}(ev_a)$ . This proves  $\text{im}(ev_a)$  is a subring of  $\mathbb{C}$ . We also note  $x \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ , so  $a \in \text{im}(ev_a)$ .

We now prove it is the smallest subring containing  $a$ . For any subring  $S$  containing  $a$ , for all  $x \in \text{im}(ev_a)$ , there also exists an  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  where  $f(a) = x$ .  $f$  is a polynomial and  $S$  is closed under addition and multiplication for all of its elements. We also note  $\mathbb{Z} \subseteq S$  because we can add  $1, -1 \in S$  and we can add them indefinitely. This implies  $f(a) = x \in S$ , so  $\text{im}(ev_a) \subseteq S$ . Since all subrings  $S$  containing  $a$  contains  $\text{im}(ev_a)$ , it is the smallest subring containing  $a$ , which implies  $\text{im}(ev_a) = \mathbb{Z}[a]$ .

- (b) For each  $\beta_k$ , there exists a  $f_k \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  where  $f_k(a) = \beta_k$  from our result in (a). We then denote  $d = \max\{\deg(f_1), \dots, \deg(f_k)\} + 1$ . Since  $-a^d \in \mathbb{Z}[a]$ , there exists,  $c_1, \dots, c_n$  where  $c_1 f_1(a) + \dots + c_n f_n(a) = -a^d$ . We construct the polynomial:

$$f(x) = x^d + c_1 f_1(x) + \dots + c_n f_n(x)$$

We note that  $f(a) = 0$ . Since  $\deg(x^d) \geq \deg(f_k)$  for all  $1 \leq k \leq n$ , the leading coefficient of  $f$  is 1. Thus, we constructed a monic polynomial where  $f(a) = 0$ .

- (c) We denote  $C = \{c_0 + c_1 a + \dots + c_{d-1} a^{d-1} : c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{d-1} \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ .

For all  $c \in \mathbb{Z}[a]$ , there exists  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  with  $f(a) = c$  as proven in (a). By Proposition 9.4,  $f(x) = q(x)g(x) + r(x)$  for  $q, r \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  since  $g(x)$  is monic, so its leading coefficient is a unit and  $\deg r < \deg g$ . Since  $g(a) = 0$ , we get  $f(a) = r(a)$ . Since  $\deg r \leq d - 1$ , we get that  $r(a)$  is a sum of integer coefficients up to  $a^{d-1}$ , so  $r(a) = c \in C$ .

For all  $c \in C$ , we have  $c = c_0 + c_1 a + \dots + c_{d-1} a^{d-1}$ . The polynomial  $f(x) = c_0 + c_1 x + \dots + c_{d-1} x^{d-1} \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ , so  $f(a) = c \in \mathbb{Z}[a]$ . Hence,  $\mathbb{Z}[a] = C$ .

6. (a)