

1. Since  $f(X)$  is countable, we can denote  $f(X) = \{r_1, r_2, \dots\}$  with every  $r_n \in \mathbb{R}$ . We then denote  $A_n = f^{-1}(\{r_n\}) = \{x \in X : f(x) = r_n\}$ . We note that  $\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n = X$ . Since  $(X, d)$  is complete, by Baire Category Theorem 2,  $X$  is of second category. In other words, it is not of first category, which implies that there must exist an  $A_k$  that is not no-where dense.

**Claim:** If  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is cts then for a closed set  $C \subseteq Y$ ,  $f^{-1}(C)$  is closed.

By contradiction,  $f^{-1}(C)$  is not closed. Then, there exist an  $(x_n) \subseteq f^{-1}(C)$  where  $x_n \rightarrow x \notin f^{-1}(C)$ . However,  $f$  is continuous, so  $f(x_n) \rightarrow f(x)$ . Thus,  $f(x) \in C$  since  $(f(x_n)) \subseteq C$  and  $C$  is closed. This implies that  $x \in f^{-1}(C)$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

We note that  $\{r_k\}$  is a closed set in  $\mathbb{R}$ . From the claim, it implies that  $A_k$  is closed as well. Hence,  $\text{Int}(\overline{A_k}) = \text{Int}(A_k)$  is non-empty. Since  $\text{Int}(A_k) \subseteq A_k$  and is open, we get that  $f(\text{Int}(A_k)) = \{r_k\}$ , so  $f$  is constant on  $\text{Int}(A_k)$ .  $\square$

2. (a) For  $d(v, B)$ , let us denote  $i = \inf\{\|v - b\| : b \in B\}$ . For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we note that there exist a  $b_1 \in B$  where  $i + 1/n < \|v - b_n\|$ . Hence, we note that  $\|v - b_n\| - i < 1/n$ . Thus, since  $1/n \rightarrow 0$ , we get that  $\|v - b_n\| \rightarrow i$ . Since  $(b_n) \subseteq B$  and  $B$  is compact, we note that  $(b_n)$  has a converging subsequence  $b_{n_k} \rightarrow b \in B$  and that  $\|v - b\| \geq i$ . We note that  $\|v - b\| \leq \|v - b_n\| + \|b_n - b\|$ . Since our choice of  $n$  is arbitrary,  $\|v - b\| \leq i + 0$ . Hence, we get that  $\|v - b\| = i$ , so  $i$  is a minimum in  $\{\|v - b\| : b \in B\}$ .

For  $d(A, B)$ , let us denote  $s = \sup\{d(a, B) : a \in A\}$ . We select a  $a_n \in A$  where  $s - 1/n < d(a_n, B)$ . Since,  $1/n \rightarrow 0$ , we note that  $s - d(a_n, B) < 1/n$  and  $d(a_n, B) \rightarrow s$ . Note that  $(a_n) \subseteq A$  and  $A$  is compact. This implies that there exists a  $(a_{n_k})$  where  $a_{n_k} \rightarrow a \in A$ . We now consider the following for any given  $b \in B$ :

$$\|a - b\| \leq \|a - a_{n_k}\| + \|a_{n_k} - b\|$$

We note since  $d(a, B)$  is the minimum, we get that  $d(a, B) \leq \|a - b\|$ . Since this holds true for any  $b \in B$ , we can select  $b'$  where  $\|a_{n_k} - b'\| = d(a_{n_k}, B)$ . Hence:

$$\begin{aligned} d(a, B) &\leq \|a - a_{n_k}\| + d(a_{n_k}, B) \\ d(a, B) - d(a_{n_k}, B) &\leq \|a - a_{n_k}\| \end{aligned}$$

We then consider:

$$\|a_{n_k} - b\| \leq \|a_{n_k} - a\| + \|a - b\|$$

We can apply a similar logic where  $d(a_{n_k}, B) \leq \|a_{n_k} - b\|$  and select the  $b' \in B$  where  $d(a, B) = \|a - b'\|$ . This gets us:

$$d(a_{n_k}, B) - d(a, B) \leq \|a_{n_k} - a\|$$

This implies that  $|d(a_{n_k}, B) - d(a, B)| \leq \|a_{n_k} - a\|$ . Note that  $\|a_{n_k} - a\| \rightarrow 0$ , so it follows that  $d(a_{n_k}, B) \rightarrow d(a, B)$ . We then note that  $(d(a_{n_k}, B))$  is a subsequence of  $(d(a_n, B))$ , so  $(d(a_{n_k}, B)) \rightarrow s$ . Limits are unique, so  $s = d(a, B)$ . Since  $s \in \{d(a, B) : a \in A\}$ , it is a maximum.  $\square$

- (b) Consider the sets  $A = \{(0, 1), (5, 1)\}$  and  $B = \{(3, 1), (4, 1)\}$ . (Note that on the midterm, we proved that any finite set is compact because any sequence with it must have a constant subsequence). We note that  $d(A, B) = \|(0, 1) - (3, 1)\| = 3$  and  $d(B, A) = \|(3, 1) - (5, 1)\| = 2$ . Hence,  $d(A, B) \neq d(B, A)$ .  $\square$
- (c) **Property 1:**  $D(A, B) = 0$  iff  $A = B$ .

We assume  $A = B$ , then  $D(A, B) = 0$  because for any  $a \in A$ ,  $d(a, B) = 0$  because the minimum value is when we select  $b \in B$  where  $a = b$ . Since  $\{d(a, B) : a \in A\} = \{0\}$ , the supremum is 0, so  $d(A, B) = 0$ . We can follow the same train of logic to get that  $d(B, A) = 0$ . Thus,  $D(A, B) = \max\{0\} = 0$ .

For the converse, if  $D(A, B) = 0$ , then  $\max d(A, B), d(B, A) = 0$ . Note that the  $\|x - y\| \geq 0$ , so it suffices to state that  $d(A, B) \geq 0$ . Since 0 is the minimum,  $d(A, B) = d(B, A) = 0$ . For  $d(A, B)$ , it implies that for every  $a \in A$ , the minimum of  $\{\|a - b\| : b \in B\}$  is 0. This implies that there is a point  $b \in B$  where  $a = b$ . Hence,  $A \subseteq B$ . Applying the vice-versa gives us  $B \subseteq A$  thus  $A = B$ .  $\square$

**Property 2:**  $D(A, B) = D(B, A)$

For the second property, it suffices to note that  $D(A, B) = \max\{d(A, B), d(B, A)\}$  and  $D(A, B) = \max\{d(B, A), d(A, B)\}$ . We note that  $\max\{d(A, B), d(B, A)\} = \max\{d(B, A), d(A, B)\}$ , so  $D(A, B) = D(B, A)$ .  $\square$

**Property 3:**  $D(A, C) \leq D(A, B) + D(B, C)$  for any arbitrary  $A, B, C \in X$ .

We first prove the following:

**Claim:**  $d(A, C) \leq d(A, B) + d(B, C)$ .

From (a), we note that  $d(A, C) = d(a', C)$  for some  $a' \in A$  and  $d(a', C) = \|a' - c'\|$  for some  $c' \in C$ . Since  $\|a' - c'\|$  is the minimum, for any  $c \in C$  we have  $\|a' - c'\| \leq \|a' - c\|$ . By the same reasoning from (a), we also have  $d(a', B) = \|a' - b'\|$  for some  $b' \in B$ , and  $d(b', C) = \|b' - \hat{c}\|$  for some  $\hat{c} \in C$ . We now put these together with the following inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} d(A, C) &= \|a' - c'\| \\ &\leq \|a' - \hat{c}\| \\ &\leq \|a' - b'\| + \|b' - \hat{c}\| \\ &\leq d(a', B) + d(b', C). \end{aligned}$$

Lastly, since  $d(A, B)$  and  $d(B, C)$  are maxima from (a), we have  $d(A, B) \geq d(a', B)$  and  $d(B, C) \geq d(b', C)$ . Substituting these gives the desired inequality

$$d(A, C) \leq d(A, B) + d(B, C).$$

$\square$

Since our selection of  $A, C$  is arbitrary, we can apply the claim to also get

$$d(C, A) \leq d(C, B) + d(B, A) = d(B, A) + d(C, B).$$

We then note that since  $D(A, B)$  is the maximum,  $D(A, B) \geq d(A, B)$  and  $D(A, B) \geq d(B, A)$ . The same holds true for  $D(B, C)$ . Hence:

$$d(A, C) \leq d(A, B) + d(B, C) \leq D(A, B) + D(B, C),$$

$$d(C, A) \leq d(B, A) + d(C, B) \leq D(A, B) + D(B, C).$$

Since  $D(A, C)$  is either  $d(A, C)$  or  $d(C, A)$ , we get that  $D(A, C) \leq D(A, B) + D(B, C)$  as desired.  $\square$